

### ENFORCE EXISTING GUN LAWS; DO NOT CODDLE GUN VIOLATORS

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Madam Speaker, the White House wants more gun control. Janet Reno wants more gun control. But something just does not add up, Madam Speaker. In the last 5 years, prosecution of gun violators dropped 50 percent. Gun violators serve 25 percent less time in jail, and many pardons were granted for gun violators.

Now think about it. Fewer prosecutions, early releases, pardons, but the White House wants more gun control. Beam me up, Madam Speaker.

America does not need more gun control. America needs the White House to enforce the gun laws we already have. I yield back all the coddling of these gun violators by this administration.

### BLACKHAWK HELICOPTERS FINALLY ARRIVE IN COLOMBIA

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Madam Speaker, on Sunday, October 31, 1999, the first three of six of the long awaited Blackhawk helicopters crossed into Colombian airspace, returning from extensive training in the U.S. The choppers were later received by the Director General, the legendary drug fighter Jose Serrano and his anti-drug unit at their air base near Bogota, where they train drug fighting pilots from all across Latin America.

After years of waiting for the Clinton administration to get off the dime and help our beleaguered neighbor just 3 hours from Miami, which produces 80 percent of the world's cocaine and 75 percent of the heroin sold in the United States, the GOP-led anti-drug package from last year finally arrived.

### DEMOCRATS WANT TO EXTEND LIFE OF SOCIAL SECURITY TRUST FUND

(Ms. SCHAKOWSKY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Madam Speaker, if it were not so serious, it would be funny. The Republican Party, the party that never believed in Social Security, is now posing as its savior. They say Democrats are raiding Social Security, running ads that we are stealing from Social Security. It is a joke.

First, the Republican budget has already spent about \$17 billion in the Social Security surplus. All the experts and accountants agree. They have done what they accuse the Democrats of doing.

Second, this entire debate is a hoax, and they know it. When one puts one's money into a savings account, does one think it just sits there? No. The bank uses one's money and pays one interest. That is not stealing.

It is the same with Social Security. The trust fund is either used for programs or to pay down the debt. Interest is paid back in the fund. The Republicans are desperately trying to cover up the fact that, unlike the Democrats, they have no plan to extend the life of Social Security, and that is the ugly truth.

### IF DEMOCRATS WILL HELP, WE CAN SAVE SOCIAL SECURITY

(Mr. KINGSTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KINGSTON. Madam Speaker, it is very interesting to hear all these Democrats who voted no on the appropriation bills because we were not spending enough now saying that we are taking money out of Social Security. Hello. Where does the extra money come from? I will yield the floor to any Democrat who can tell me. If they do not want to get it out of Social Security, where are they getting the money from? Hello. Hello. Silence, what I thought. Just what I thought.

Here is the words of the President's advisor: "The key goal of the Republicans is not to spend Social Security surplus." That is from their own Democrat advisor.

Now, what is our alternative? To get 1 cent out of each dollar. I am a father of four. Do my colleagues know what, we have to cut our budget weekly. We have to come up with more money. Usually when we are looking at a dollar, we have to get a quarter or 35 cents out of it. If I had to cut a penny out, it would be easy. But that is what we are doing.

All the Democrats now are crying and screaming there is no waste in government. What about when the President went to Africa and spent \$42.8 million and took 1,300 of his closest friends? I think there is a lot of waste in government. If we can get the Democrats to help us, we can save Social Security and quit spending it.

### THE DO-HARM CONGRESS

(Ms. NORTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. NORTON. Madam Speaker, this will be remembered as less than a do-nothing Congress. It is the do-harm Congress, because we are squandering a unique opportunity to begin fixing Social Security and Medicare.

When my colleagues cannot even get out 13 appropriations, the minimum

work that Congress does, they simply ought to retire and go home.

Last week we flattened the smallest appropriation, D.C., by loading the Labor-HHS on its back just to get it to the White House because the majority could not pass it. The unfairness of the maneuver is matched only by the incompetence of the majority it exposes.

Shame on the Congress. But greater shame is the long-term damage the Republicans are doing to Social Security. They are locking it in a box; and inside, they are letting Social Security wither and die.

The Republicans are selling out the largest generation in this century as their old age approaches. May the baby boomers have their revenge before it is too late.

### SOCIAL SECURITY PLAN OF THE PRESIDENT

(Mr. OSE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. OSE. Madam Speaker, I rise today again to make a point of the Social Security plan that the President has put forward.

We have heard much in terms of the ballyhoo about the President's plan, of which I have a copy and which will be reviewed at the Committee on Ways and Means on Thursday. With great respect to my friends on the other side, I am curious whether or not they support the President's plan to save Social Security. Before they answer, be careful, because I will tell them, having read it and read it carefully, it requires a reduction in the discretionary outlays for appropriations.

This is the leader on their side of the aisle saying we are going to cut appropriations. Given the situation we have today where we cannot even come to even a nominal reduction, how are we going to achieve that?

Madam Speaker, the numbers do not add up with the President's Social Security plan. I urge each of my colleagues to read it very carefully.

□ 1015

If we support it, we are supporting a reduction in discretionary appropriations of significant nature. Read it carefully. The President's plan does not add up.

### SOCIAL SECURITY

(Ms. BALDWIN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. BALDWIN. Madam Speaker, seniors everywhere are concerned about the future of Social Security, not out of selfishness, they know it will be there for them, but out of concern for future generations.