

THE INTRODUCTION OF THE EDUCATION FLEXIBILITY PARTNERSHIP ACT OF 1999

HON. MICHAEL N. CASTLE

OF DELAWARE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 23, 1999

Mr. CASTLE. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to introduce the Education Flexibility Partnership Act of 1999. Teaching children to master skills and knowledge is the key to our nation's future success and economic growth and the surest ticket to a better life for our Nation's citizens. As the House Education Subcommittee Chairman on Early Childhood, Youth, and Families, I offer this legislation—which I began work on in the 105th Congress—as the first item on the Subcommittee's agenda in pursuit of attaining educational excellence for children across the Nation.

The Education Flexibility Partnership Act of 1999, also known as Ed-Flex, will bring much needed relief to our schools, while boosting the productivity and the academic achievement of students. There is nothing more important to the future of our country than ensuring our students receive a challenging and enriching education. In talking to teachers about our schools, one of the complaints I hear repeatedly is that the Federal Government often weighs in on local school matters in a counter-productive and burdensome way. Often times, regulations put in place at the Federal level—intended to assist local schools in attaining educational excellence—actually have the opposite effect. Instead of strengthening teachers' time in the classroom, some regulations end up taking talented teachers away from students so they can fill out paperwork or assess program spending. Again, the intention of these regulations are good. Everyone wants students to achieve at higher rates and schools to provide better educational opportunities. However, because each school district is structured differently and because each student body has diverse needs, regulations sometimes actually interfere with the schools main focus of educating children. In these instances, we have actually added to the barriers of attaining educational excellence, instead of breaking them down. A 'one size fits all solution' rarely works for everyone, and though they provide a framework for schools, they do not cross every 'T' or dot every 'I'. We can help fill in this gap, however, by supporting education flexibility.

Under current law, 12 states are authorized to participate in an enormously popular pilot program known as Ed-Flex. My proposal extends that authority to all states. Under Ed-Flex, states can grant schools waivers of certain federal requirements that, while intending to assist, actually inhibit the school's ability to improve educational opportunities for its students. For example, in Ohio, the program was used to significantly reduce paperwork for schools, school districts, and the state education agency. In addition, the state granted two statewide waivers. Each of these required school districts to describe the specific regulatory barrier, show how the removal of the barrier will benefit students, and describe a plan to evaluate the waiver's effect on teach-

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

ing and learning. The time saved on completing applications frees up staff time to address more substantive and crucial needs of the students.

Texas has successfully used Ed-Flex waiver authority to improve student performance through more than 4,000 programmatic and administrative waivers, such as permitting schools to offer school-wide Title I programs, changing the priorities for professional development activities under the Eisenhower Professional Development program, and reducing paperwork for schools. After only two years of implementation, preliminary statewide results on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills show that districts with waivers outperformed districts without waivers 87 percent to 84 percent in reading and 82.6 percent to 80.2 percent in math. For African-American students, the gains were even bigger. For example, at Westlawn Elementary School in La Marque, Texas, African-American students improved almost 23 percent over their 1996 math test scores with 82 percent of students passing. The statewide average was 64 percent.

Maryland, another Ed-Flex state, used its waiver authority to reduce student-teacher ratios for students with the greatest need in math and science from 25 to 1 to 12 to 1. Under the Howard County waiver, the school will provide additional instruction time in reading and math and increase each student's time on task. The State holds schools accountable through performance on the Maryland School Performance Assessment Program. Ed-Flex allows schools to tailor waivers to meet their individual needs. I believe all States should have the opportunity to obtain similar improvements in their regulatory process and, more importantly, in academic achievement.

In response to a report released by the General Accounting Office on the Ed-Flex demonstration project, my proposal strengthens accountability in the program by ensuring that states demonstrate that student performance improves through the use of waivers and adds to the list of programs eligible for waiver under Ed-Flex. My proposal also ensures that states do not issue waivers to allow schools to participate in Title I that are more than 5 percent below the average poverty rate—thereby maintaining targeted funding for disadvantaged children.

Ed-Flex facilitates a seamless system of services for students because the federal and state programs can be well coordinated. In testimony and reports submitted to Congress by the U.S. Department of Education, states gave examples of how Ed-Flex has given them not only greater flexibility, but also the ability to set even higher expectations for student performance—by asking for a higher level of accountability in exchange for Ed-Flex waivers. In addition, by enacting this legislation now, the immediate experiences of the States can help Congress identify the areas of federal regulatory burden for school districts. We can then address these problems during the reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. Ed-Flex will allow our schools to work more creatively in meeting student needs while ensuring that important Federal education priorities remain in effect.

February 23, 1999

THE LINE-ITEM VETO CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

HON. BILL ARCHER

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 23, 1999

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing a joint resolution to amend the Constitution in order to give the President line-item veto authority on appropriations approved by Congress. I first introduced this resolution during the 99th Congress. As the Supreme Court confirmed on June 25, 1998 in ruling that the 1996 Line Item Veto Act was unconstitutional, a constitutional amendment is indeed necessary.

During this era of "as far as the eye can see" surpluses, I am deeply concerned that our commitment to fiscal discipline will be eaten away. The "desire" to cut spending may no longer be enough to fight the Washington spending machine. Last year's 40-pound, 4000-page, \$520 billion "omnibus" spending bill is compelling evidence of this point.

President Clinton's FY2000 budget was an even further retreat from his earlier claim that the "era of big government is over." Without any thought of giving back some of the surplus to the people who put it there, President Clinton called for more than \$200 billion in new domestic spending over 5 years, including nearly 40 new mandatory programs and almost 80 new discretionary programs. How does he propose to pay for this spending spree? \$108 billion in new taxes and fees!

Obviously, a fixed mechanism to fight unnecessary and abusive spending must be put in place. A constitutional line-item veto amendment must be adopted—to restore fiscal discipline to the Federal Government and to save the well-being of our Nation. I want American Presidents to have the tools they need (just like the governors of 43 States) to resist the inevitable pressures to spend our Nation's assets.

A TRIBUTE TO BRIGADIER GENERAL ROGER W. SCEARCE, USA

HON. BILL McCOLLUM

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 23, 1999

Mr. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in tribute to a great General, a great leader, a great soldier and citizen from my home state of Florida, Brigadier General Roger W. Searce, on the occasion of his retirement from the United States Army. On this day, he deserves our gratitude and our respect for his 28 years of dedicated and honorable service to his country.

General Searce represents the finest attributes of United States military service—he is a true example for all to emulate. He progressed through the ranks to achieve the most senior position in the Army Finance Corps. He has seen the battlefield of Desert Storm, and served in every clime and place throughout the globe.

For some people, democracy is simple arithmetic; their citizenship is a matter of addition

February 23, 1999

and subtraction. They are experts at taking from others but strangers to giving to others. By contrast, General Searce has selflessly given his time and talents to the United States. He has worn the badge of citizen-soldier, and by his act of patriotism, made that a badge of honor.

I am personally grateful for what General Searce and his family have sacrificed over the years, a sacrifice so many of us take for granted. To support and defend the Constitution of the United States is sometimes a thankless deed, but it is the glue that holds our country together. Service to this great nation is a time-honored tradition that few of our citizens will ever undertake or understand. So from the bottom of my heart, thank you, General Searce.

I am happy and proud to join Roger's family, friends, and colleagues, indeed all of America, when I say congratulations to you and your family upon your retirement from the U.S. Army after 28 years of dedicated service.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTION ON GREEK SOVEREIGNTY OVER THE ISLETS OF IMIA

HON. FRANK PALLONE, JR.

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 23, 1999

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, on December 25, 1995 a Turkish bulk carrier ran ashore on the islets of Imia, one of two uninhabited islets which are part of the Dodecanese islands group in the Aegean Sea. This incident nearly escalated into armed conflict between NATO allies Turkey and Greece due to Turkey's belligerent claim that the islets, which are sovereign Greek territory, belonged to Turkey.

Hostilities were avoided after the Greek government refused to attack a detachment of Turkish commandos who had been dispatched to the islets and President Clinton personally intervened to help defuse the crisis.

Despite Turkey's continued insistence that the islets are Turkish territories, the historical record on this issue is clear. The Dodecanese islands group was ceded by Turkey to Italy in the Lausanne Treaty of 1923. The boundaries delineating the exact sovereignty between Turkey and the islands group were finalized in a December 1932 protocol between Turkey and

Italy. That protocol, which was annexed to the Convention Between Italy and Turkey for the Delimitation of Anatolia and the Island of Castellorizio, placed the islets of Imia under the sovereignty of Italy. In the 1947 Paris Treaty of Peace with Italy, Italy ceded the Dodecanese islands group to Greece.

The legal status of the Dodecanese islands group remained unchallenged by Turkey until its bulk carrier ran aground in late 1995 and Ankara began making its unfounded claims in 1996. Today, Turkey continues to promote instability in the region by ignoring the historical record with its claim of sovereignty over the islets of Imia.

This unfounded claim should not go unnoticed by Congress. To that end, today I am introducing a resolution that documents the historical record establishing Greek sovereignty over the Dodecanese islands group and expresses the sense of the Congress that: the islets of Imia in the Aegean sea are sovereign territory of Greece under international law; and Turkey should agree to bring this matter before the International Court of Justice at The Hague, Netherlands, for a resolution.

I encourage all Members to join me in reaffirming Greek sovereignty over the islets, protecting the rule of international law, and advocating a peaceful settlement to this matter.

2879