

threatened and endangered salmon stocks on the West Coast while assisting the farmers who are voluntarily seeking measures to protect these stocks, albeit at great financial cost.

Under H.R. 1444, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the Bureau of Reclamation would be allowed to develop and implement projects for fish screens, fish passage devices, and other facilities in the States of Oregon, Washington, Montana, Idaho and California. These fish screens would prevent juvenile and adult salmon from passing through irrigation diversions and gaining access to ditches and water intake devices.

Mr. Speaker, presently, irrigation districts throughout the West are being mandated to comply with the Endangered Species Act. In order to comply with the ESA and other regulations, irrigation districts are required to construct these sophisticated devices to prevent salmon and other fish from gaining access to their ditches. The construction of these devices come at great expense to the farmers, without any return on their capital costs.

Under H.R. 1444, farmers would be allowed to enter into voluntary agreements with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the Bureau of Reclamation to share the costs of construction of these fish screen devices. Privately held lands and irrigation districts would have to put up 35 percent of the cost with the government paying the remainder.

The farmers in my district, including those belonging to the Lower Valley Ditch District in Wallowa County and Talent Irrigation District in Jackson County say this is exactly the type of assistance they need to help them be able to protect these salmon and other fish in the rivers and streams.

They are not looking for a way to avoid ESA; they are merely looking for an affordable way to provide the systems to help prevent the loss of fish.

This cost-share program gives our farmers in the West some assistance in building these environmentally friendly fish screening devices, while simultaneously easing the burden of taking affirmative, proactive actions. It is a win/win proposal for the fish and the farmers.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly support passage of H.R. 1444, the DeFazio-Walden fish screen bill.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN) for his assistance in drafting and moving this bill through the House. As he pointed out, the need is great. In fact, numbers I have seen estimate that we could spend more than twice the amount of money allocated for these five states in Oregon alone to take care of this problem. So this is not an ultimate solution, but it is a down payment and

something that will help us move along in protecting these fish in the Pacific Northwest and in northern California.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMPSON).

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) for yielding me this time. He has been on the forefront leading this effort to help the salmon fisheries throughout the entire Pacific Northwest, and for that I am greatly appreciative.

Mr. Speaker, virtually every salmon stock in northern California has been added to the endangered species list. State and Federal regulations have cut fishing effort to an all-time low and this has had a devastating impact on the area that I represent in California, not just for the sport and the commercial fisheries, but for virtually every industry or every community of interest that has to operate in that part of these great United States.

Mr. Speaker, we need to do everything that we possibly can to help bring back the salmon stocks in the Pacific Northwest, and my district is no different. This is one very important step to be able to provide help for screening in regard to water diversions. It is going to help a great deal. It is not only going to help the coastal area that I represent, but the inland area as well.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) and ask all of my colleagues to vote in support of this measure.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMPSON). He has been a real force in helping to move this legislation forward, and particularly in making certain that his State and his district are included within the scope of the legislation. Without his perseverance, that would not have happened.

Mr. Speaker, at this time I would like to thank a few staff who helped with the issue. Although this would seem kind of like a no-brainer since it is good for fish, the farmers, the economy and the Federal taxpayers, it was not easy working with the numerous agencies of jurisdiction and potential jurisdiction, and it took a while to wend our way through this maze. So Cynthia Suchman, Ben Grumbles, Bob Faber, Steve Lanich, and Kathie Eastman of my staff were all key with helping move this bill forward.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SAXTON. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SAXTON) that the House suspend the

rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1444, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to plan, design, and construct fish screens, fish passage devices, and related features to mitigate adverse impacts associated with irrigation system water diversions by local governmental entities in the States of Oregon, Washington, Montana, Idaho, and California."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1100

#### COMMEMORATING THE "I HAVE A DREAM" SPEECH AT THE LINCOLN MEMORIAL

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2879) to provide for the placement at the Lincoln Memorial of a plaque commemorating the speech of Martin Luther King, Jr., known as the "I Have A Dream" speech.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 2879

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. ADDITION OF PLAQUE AT LINCOLN MEMORIAL COMMEMORATING MARTIN LUTHER KING, JUNIOR'S, I HAVE A DREAM SPEECH.

(a) PLACEMENT OF PLAQUE.—The Secretary of the Interior shall insert on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial in the District of Columbia a suitable plaque to commemorate the speech of Martin Luther King, Jr., known as the "I Have A Dream" speech. The plaque shall be placed at the location on the steps where Martin Luther King, Jr., delivered the speech on August 28, 1963.

(b) ACCEPTANCE OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary of the Interior may accept contributions to help defray the cost of preparing the plaque and inserting the plaque on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial as required by subsection (a). Amounts received shall be credited to the appropriation supporting the maintenance and operation of the Lincoln Memorial.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HOBSON). Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. HANSEN) and the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Utah (Mr. HANSEN).

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 2879, introduced by the gentlewoman from Kentucky (Mrs. NORTHUP).

H.R. 2879 would provide for the placement at the Lincoln Memorial of a plaque commemorating the speech of Martin Luther King, Jr., known as the "I Have A Dream" speech. The plaque

would be placed in an appropriate location on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial where Dr. King delivered his famous civil rights speech on August 28, 1963.

This bill also directs the Secretary of the Interior to accept contributions to help offset any costs associated with the preparation and placement of the plaque.

Mr. Speaker, this is an important bill and has bipartisan support. I urge all my colleagues to support H.R. 2879.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 2879 directs the Secretary of the Interior to insert on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial a plaque, a plaque that would commemorate the speech of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., known as the "I Have A Dream" speech.

Several years ago, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. LEWIS), who was present and was one of the speakers that famous day in 1963 along with Dr. King, was instrumental in a campaign by school children and others in establishing a permanent exhibit at the Lincoln Memorial commemorating the important civil rights events, including the "I Have A Dream" speech that occurred at the Memorial.

It is our understanding that H.R. 2879 is noncontroversial and that it is consistent with what has been done previously at the Memorial to commemorate similar events.

I strongly support passage of this legislation and this permanent commemoration of that historic speech in American history.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from Kentucky (Mrs. NORTHUP), the author of this legislation.

Mrs. NORTHUP. Mr. Speaker, all of us are touched each year as we see how many Americans, particularly school children, come to Washington to, not just view the buildings, but to be inspired by our history and be inspired to become leaders themselves.

They move around this city, they come to this Capitol, they come to our memorials, and they are reminded as they stand in the places that previous leaders have stood, as they understand what role those leaders had in the history of this country.

I had a constituent that came to Washington in 1997, and he wrote me the most moving letter, and I would like to read a couple of paragraphs from that letter.

He said, "My wife and I walked to the Lincoln Memorial where, at the steps of the Memorial to one of our Nation's greatest Presidents, Martin Luther King delivered the 'I Have A Dream' speech.

"I looked for the spot on which Martin Luther King stood when he spoke. I looked for a marker to remind me and others for a single moment on a hot August day, a descendant of a slave held the most prominent space in our Nation and delivered words that will always stay with that space. I could not find a marker or the words on that step."

Later in his letter, he said that "I saw a day when I would bring my yet unborn children to the spot where Martin Luther King spoke, and I could show them that marker and read them the words of his dream. I could tell them that this is still a Nation where a simple Kentucky farmer could rise to the heights of President, and the son of a slave could inspire future generations with the power of his words and his compassion."

Mr. Speaker, it is hard to imagine that school children and Americans from all over this country could come and walk in this most important spot in this Capital, see where our leaders have changed the course of this country's history, and not have a recognition that, on that spot, on those steps was a place where Dr. Martin Luther King gave his "I Had A Dream" speech.

For many of these children, it might be the first time that they ever really would be called to understand what "that place in history" meant.

But for those of us that can remember the changes that went on between 1960 and 1965 and the role that Dr. Martin Luther King had in calling us forward to change the laws of this country and the practices that separated us so badly, it is important that all Americans recognize that spot and that leader and the difference that he made in this country.

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I have no other requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. LEWIS).

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from Oregon for yielding me this time. I want to thank the gentleman from Utah (Mr. HANSEN) for bringing this legislation along with the gentleman from Oregon before us.

It is fitting and appropriate that a plaque be placed near the statue of Lincoln near the Lincoln Memorial in honor of the speech "I Have A Dream" by Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. That speech was delivered on August 28, 1963, on a very hot summer day.

On that day, Martin Luther King, Jr., spoke from his soul. He spoke from his heart. He said, "I have a dream that is deeply rooted in an American dream." I was there that day, 23 years old. When Martin Luther King, Jr., stood to speak, he was not just speaking for himself, he was speaking for all Americans, not just for those of us 36 years

ago now, but he was speaking for ongoing generations.

So this plaque, "I Have A Dream" plaque, would inspire generations yet unborn, inspire young children, would help make us one Nation, one people, one family, the American family, the American community.

It is my hope that all of our colleagues would join in together and support this little piece of legislation, that it would serve as a footnote, but more than a footnote, it would serve as a page in the history of our long struggle toward creating a sense of community, the beloved community.

Mr. Speaker, I again want to thank these two wonderful men for bringing this legislation before us today.

Mrs. NORTHUP. Mr. Speaker, along with my earlier comments on the need for passage of H.R. 2879, I submit for the RECORD the letter I received from Thomas Williams who came up with the idea for the need of a marker on the Lincoln Memorial to commemorate the "I have a Dream" speech of Martin Luther King on August 28, 1963.

Beyond paying respect to Dr. King, this bill offers acknowledgment that our legislative system works as planned. For only in the United States can an idea of an interested individual result in good legislation, and I am hopeful—law. I thank Mr. Williams for his contribution to his country and to the future of our nation.

NOVEMBER 30, 1998.

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE NORTHUP: In October of 1997 my wife and I visited Washington, D.C. The city, with its buildings, statues and monuments, was rich with symbolism. Despite the vastness of the space and the beauty of its design, what struck me most during the trip was a single man sitting on the steps of the Capitol. He sat there in plain view of the police with a sign indicating (if memory serves me) that he had fought in the Viet Nam war but was not now receiving veteran's benefits. The guard there indicated it wasn't true, but what struck me most was the fact that a single citizen could sit peacefully on the steps of the Capitol without being escorted away because he was unworthy of the space he selected to rest. There, literally on the threshold of our nation's most-powerful leaders, he sat. Other nations, I thought, might be embarrassed by the scene. Nevertheless, I somehow felt that I had witnessed—there on the steps—a living testament to our freedom and our greatness.

Later that day, my wife and I walked to the Lincoln Memorial where, at the steps of the memorial to one of our nation's greatest presidents, Martin Luther King delivered the "I Have A Dream Speech". I looked for the spot on which Martin Luther King stood when he spoke. I looked for a marker to remind me and others that—for a single moment on a hot August day—a descendant of a slave held the most prominent space in our nation and delivered words that will always stay with that space. I couldn't find a marker or the words on those steps.

Several months later at my home in Louisville, Kentucky, I attended a service at the Cathedral of the Assumption in which the Church celebrated a moment of personal revelation by Thomas Merton, the monk. Forty years earlier, when walking out of the Starks building on what was then 4th and Walnut, he realized in a profound way that we are all one. The Church celebrated the

40th anniversary of that event with a simple Mass and marker. To me, the service and the marker were both reminders that the ordinary space we sometimes occupy can become forever changed by the deeds of a person who stood there. I am confident it was no accident that the Church waited 40 years to commemorate the event.

My visit to Washington and my attendance at the Merton mass sparked a vision and a question in my mind. Wouldn't it be right to celebrate the 40th year of Martin Luther King's "I Have a Dream" speech with a ceremony and a marker at the footsteps of the Lincoln Memorial? The anticipation and planning of such an event might lead to collective good. In my mind's eye, I saw a day in which the "I Have a Dream" speech would be delivered again for those who have never heard it. I saw a day in which Martin Luther King might be remembered for the inspiration he provided to all of our citizens.

Looking even further into the future, I saw a day when I could bring my yet unborn children to that spot where Martin Luther King spoke and I could show them that marker and read them the words of his dream. I could tell him that this is still a nation where a simple Kentucky farmer could rise to the heights of President and a son of a slave could inspire future generations with the power of his words and his compassion.

My vision and these thoughts I share with you are personal—but far from novel. Perhaps something like this is already in the works and I am simply unaware. In any event, I am writing for some practical suggestions for bringing this vision to a reality.

Sincerely,

TOM WILLIAMS.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. HANSEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2879.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 2879 and add any extraneous material that they so desire.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

#### SENSE OF HOUSE REGARDING THE TRAFFICKING OF BABY PARTS

Mr. FOSSELLA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 350) expressing the sense of the House of Representatives with respect to private companies involved in the trafficking of baby body parts for profit.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. RES. 350

Whereas the National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993 effectively lifted

the ban on federally funded research involving the transplantation of baby body parts, and such Act made it a Federal felony for any person to knowingly, for "valuable consideration," purchase or sell baby body parts (with a term of imprisonment of up to 10 years and with fines of up to \$250,000 in the case of an individual and \$500,000 in the case of an organization);

Whereas private companies have sought to meet the demand by both public and private research facilities by providing baby body parts;

Whereas the definition of "valuable consideration" under the National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993 does not include reasonable payments associated with the transportation, implantation, processing, preservation, quality control, or storage of baby body parts; and

Whereas private companies appear to believe that the definition of "valuable consideration" allows them to circumvent Federal law and avoid felony charges with impunity while trafficking in baby body parts for profit: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That it is the sense of the House of Representatives that the Congress should exercise oversight responsibilities and conduct hearings, and take appropriate steps if necessary, concerning private companies that are involved in the trafficking of baby body parts for profit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from New York (Mr. FOSSELLA) and the gentleman from Colorado (Ms. DEGETTE) each will control 20 minutes. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York (Mr. FOSSELLA).

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. FOSSELLA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H. Res. 350 and to insert extraneous material on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. FOSSELLA. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H. Res. 350, a much-needed resolution which would bring greater attention to a sordid trade in the bodies of aborted babies. I salute the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. TANCREDO) for working so diligently to bring this matter to the attention of the House.

I have a copy of a brochure from a company called Opening Lines recently of West Frankfurt, Illinois, which has now moved its base of operations to an undisclosed location. This brochure boasts, "Our goal is to offer you and your staff the highest quality, most affordable, and freshest tissue, prepared to your specifications, and deliver it in the quantities you need when you need it."

This company was founded, according to its brochure, "in order to provide a convenient and efficient way for researchers to receive fetal tissue without a lot of bureaucracy."

The brochure explains that, "We have simplified the process for pro-

curing fetal tissue. We do not require a copy of your IRB approval or summary of your research, and you are not required to cite Opening Lines of the source of tissue when you publish your work. We believe in word-of-mouth advertising. If you like our service, you will tell your colleagues."

Mr. Speaker, Congress has spoken forcefully on the matter of selling aborted baby parts before. There is no question that it is illegal in the United States for any person to buy or sell fetal tissue effecting interstate commerce.

Yet, the documents we have here show very clearly that, if this is true, that anyone can buy whatever part of a dead baby may be decided. According to this brochure, it is \$50 for ears, \$150 for lungs and hearts, \$325 for a spinal column, and a pair of eyes cost \$50. But the buyer is offered a 40 percent discount for a single eye. Prices are in effect through December 31, 1999.

Mr. Speaker, companies like Opening Lines and their main competitor, the so-called Anatomic Gift Foundation, play a significant role in destroying the sanctity of innocent human life and apparently profit from this illicit activity even though it is illegal to buy and sell fetal tissue.

According to Opening Lines, "Our daily average case volumes exceeds 1,500, and we serve clinics across the United States."

How are they getting around the law? I think Congress and the American people deserve to know.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, I know a lot of folks in this body, a lot of Members come down and speak so eloquently and passionately when it comes to such things as cruelty to animals, and in many ways they are justified in their eloquence and their beliefs. I would just hope that those same Members come down to this floor and speak as eloquently and passionately when it comes to the destruction and cruelty to innocent human beings.

I ask my colleagues to cast their votes in support of H. Res. 350 and ask that we work together to shed more light on this industry that has been operating in the shadows of darkness.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DEGETTE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am wondering if my colleague from Colorado (Mr. TANCREDO) would be available to engage in a short colloquy with me.

Mr. Speaker, I just would like to try to clarify the intent behind this resolution before I make my statement. The reason is because, as I read the resolution, it says that it is a Federal crime for any person to knowingly for valuable consideration purchase or sell, quote, "baby body parts," and then it goes on.