

McConnell, Craig Thomas, Rod Grams, Tim Hutchinson, Conrad Burns, Chuck Grassley, Richard Shelby.

THE WHITE HOUSE,
Washington, November 12, 1999.

Senator JAMES INHOFE,
Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR INHOFE: Thank you for your recent letter of November 10, 1999 on the need for cooperation between the Legislative and Executive branches and the President's right to recess appoint as defined by the Constitution.

We appreciate and thank the Senate, especially the Majority and Minority Leaders, for the 84 confirmations from Wednesday November 10, which includes eight republican nominees recommended by the Majority Leader. These confirmations reduce the number of nominees awaiting confirmation to 153 for this year. While nominees wait an average of six months to be confirmed, we thank you for confirming 62% of nominees this year.

We look forward to working with you on the 153 remaining nominees and new nominations this session and next session. They are important to the public, because they include nominations critical to the safety of our citizens and the integrity of our criminal justice system (US Marshals, US Attorneys and judges).

Compared with previous administrations, the President has used his authority to make recess appointments infrequently. President Reagan made 239 recess appointments. During President Bush's four-year term, 78 persons were recess appointed. We have made only 59 in 7 years, fewer than President Bush in four years. Several of our recess appointees have been republican nominees, done with the cooperation of the Senate leadership.

Because of the importance of filling these positions and pursuant to an agreement with the Majority Leader, we continue to notify the Majority and Minority Leaders of any effort the President may make a appoint temporarily a person into a vacancy, while awaiting confirmation by the Senate.

We will continue to meet with the Majority Leader's Office to accomplish our goal of confirming and appointing these nominees. We want to cultivate a cooperative relationship with you, and ask for your continued help in expeditiously confirming nominees so important to the US public.

Sincerely,

JOHN PODESTA,
Chief of Staff to the President.

Mr. INHOFE. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Acting in the capacity of the Senator from Montana, I ask unanimous consent the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now stand in recess until the hour of 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:27 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m.; whereupon, the

Senate reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer [Mr. GREGG].

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair, in my capacity as a Senator from the State of New Hampshire, suggests the absence of a quorum. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative assistant proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BANKRUPTCY REFORM ACT OF 1999—Continued

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I should note just on the bankruptcy bill, we are making more progress. This morning we were able to clear four more amendments. I understand there is a total of 31 amendments that been accepted to improve the Bankruptcy Reform Act. These are amendments that have been offered on both sides of the aisle.

I commend the distinguished deputy Democratic leader, the Senator from Nevada, Mr. REID, for his help. He has been, as I described him in the caucus, indefatigable in his efforts to move this through. He and I and the Senator from New Jersey, Mr. TORRICELLI, and the Senator from Iowa, Mr. GRASSLEY, and the Senator from Utah, Mr. HATCH, have all worked to clear amendments or to set rollcalls on those we cannot clear.

I have urged Members to have short time agreements, and they have agreed to that. I think we have gone from some 300 or more potential amendments down to only a dozen or so, if that, that are remaining.

When you are dealing with a piece of legislation as complex as this, as important as this, when we are only 2 to 3 weeks before the end of this session—when we are only 2 to 3 weeks before the end of this session—I was hoping somebody would jump up and disagree on that “2 to 3 weeks” bit—or possibly a few days before the end of this session, it shows how well we have done.

But as I said earlier, before he came on the floor, I commend the Senator from Nevada, who has worked so hard to bring down those numbers on the amendments.

Frankly, I would like to see us wrap this up. I would like to go to Vermont.

Mr. REID. Will the Senator yield?

Mr. LEAHY. Yes, of course.

Mr. REID. I just talked to someone coming out of the conference. They said: What about this bankruptcy bill? I said: It is up to the majority whether or not we have a bankruptcy bill this year. We have worked very hard these past few days on these amendments. We need time on the floor to begin to offer some of these amendments.

As the Senator knows, we have maybe 8 or 9 amendments total out of 320, and we could have a bill. And the contentious amendments—on one that is causing us not to move forward, the Senator from New York, Mr. SCHUMER, has agreed to a half hour. That is all he wants. I just cannot imagine, if this bill is as important as I think it is and, as I have heard, the majority believes it is, why we cannot get a bill.

Does the Senator from Vermont understand why we are not moving forward?

Mr. LEAHY. I am at a loss to understand why we cannot.

I say to my friend from Nevada, yesterday morning—and I normally speak at about an octave higher than this; I am coming out of a bout of bronchitis—I came back to be here at 10 o'clock because we were going to be on the bill. Instead, we had morning business, I believe, until about 4 o'clock in the afternoon. That is 6 hours. That is what it would have taken to finish the bill, especially after the work of the Senator from Nevada, and others, in clearing out so many of the Republican and Democratic amendments to get them accepted or voted on.

I understand we are waiting for the other body to get the appropriations bill over here. I would think between now and normal suppertime today we could finish this bill, if people want to. We are willing to move on our side. We are willing to have our amendments come up.

I see the distinguished Senator from California on the floor. She has waited some time. She has been here several days waiting with an amendment. She has indicated she is willing to go ahead with a relatively short period of time. The Senator from New York, Mr. SCHUMER, has said the same. We are ready to go, and I wish we would.

As I stated earlier, I would have liked very much to get this done. I would actually like very much to finish all the items we have. I wish we could have finished a couple weeks ago. I want to go to Vermont. I want to be with my family. It was snowing there yesterday, as I am sure it was in parts of the State of the distinguished Presiding Officer. I see the distinguished Senator from Maine on the floor. I expect it did in her State.

Mr. REID. It was 81 degrees in Las Vegas yesterday.

Mr. LEAHY. Eighty-one degrees in Las Vegas. How about snow in the mountains?

Mr. REID. Oh, there was snow in the mountains.

Mr. LEAHY. The Senator from Nevada has the good fortune as I do: We both represent two magnificent and beautiful States. He has the ability, however, in his State to go far greater ranges in climate, in temperature, over a distance of 100 miles or so than just about anywhere else in the country. We