

and the Welfare-to-Work Tax Credit as well as providing tax relief for individuals and families by protecting at least 1 million families from higher taxes over the next 3 years due to the AMT tax. Finally, the measure includes approximately \$2.6 billion in revenue offsets over the next 5 years and \$2.9 billion over the next 10 years.

Mr. Speaker, I applaud the gentleman from Texas (Chairman ARCHER) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL), ranking member, for their leadership in resolving the many complex issues contained in this legislation and urge my colleagues to support both the rule and the conference report itself.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I want to thank the gentleman from Washington (Mr. HASTINGS) for yielding me the time.

Mr. Speaker, I have heard it said that human beings exhibit their most creative potential when they are kindergarten age. Well, whoever said that probably needs to spend a little time around here at the end of a session. There is some very creative work being done.

Vexing problems which have been around for months and may be even years are suddenly solved when the sand starts running out of the Congressional hour glass, or they are suddenly turned into bargaining chips. Witness what is happening with reproductive rights and the payment of our UN debts.

Major issues which have languished unattended are addressed and then tossed abroad whenever the legislative vehicle is leaving the station. Meanwhile, many others, such as the bill of rights protecting people from their HMOs or efforts to fight gun violence never get their tickets punched.

But rest assured, Mr. Speaker, the American people want a Patients' Bill of Rights, they want us to do better on gun violence, and they will be watching when we return in the year 2000.

As for the rule which is currently before us, H. Res. 387, it provides for the consideration of several disparate issues which have been corralled under a single bill title.

Part A of the bill is the Work Incentives Improvement Act, a bill to modernize our woefully outdated national disability policies.

When policies on Medicaid and other programs for the disabled were first developed decades ago, having a disability often meant that an individual is confined to home or an institution. Today, however, with advances in technology, training, and rehabilitation, many individuals with disabilities are allowed to hold good jobs and live very full lives in the mainstream of society.

The Work Incentives Improvement Act will allow persons with disabilities

to continue receiving certain benefits, particularly health coverage, while returning to work. The proposal also provides for more State flexibility and serving individuals with disabilities through health programs, associated services like transportation assistance, and training.

This legislation does not benefit only persons with disabilities, it also has major benefits for the Federal Government and the taxpayer. If an additional one-half of 1 percent of the current Social Security Disability and Supplemental Security Income recipients were to cease receiving benefits as a result of employment, the savings and cash assistance would total \$3.5 billion over the worklife of the individuals.

This worthy legislation was passed by the House overwhelmingly earlier this year, and I expect it will enjoy similar support today.

Part B of the underlying bill is a collection of tax extenders. I am pleased that this agreement includes a 5-year extension for research and development tax credit. Science and technology are critical for our future development, our knowledge about the world around us, and our understanding of ourselves.

I have long been a strong supporter of incentives to encourage businesses to invest in the development of new technologies and products. Through its existence, the R&D tax credit has served as a fundamental component of our Nation's competitiveness strategy by increasing the amount of research undertaken by the private sector.

One key provision which I would have strongly supported had it been allowed to remain in the bill would have entitled workers to better pension benefits through what is known as section 415 of the tax code. But, regrettably, this provision was left at the station.

In addition, the bill includes a delay in the implementation of rules proposed by the Department of Health and Human Services to restructure organ allocation in our Nation. While this delay is not likely to please people on either side of this emotional issue, it should at least allow the Congress to debate this matter more fully when we return in January.

Mr. Speaker, my main regret on the legislation is that we are dealing with what should have been several bills and are, instead, forced to consider them as a single package. This approach limits debate and prohibits many Members from exercising their right to discuss the legislation. It is unfair and it is unnecessary. There is no reason why these bills should not have been brought up earlier under open rules with full debate. This is to say nothing of the many, many worthwhile bills that are being pushed aside altogether in the majority's rush to adjourn.

But we are coming back with renewed energy and commitment to passing the Patients' Bill of Rights, in-

creasing the minimum wage for working families, and halting the violence and gunfire which threatens our homes and our communities.

Mr. Speaker, by all accounts, this will be the final rule to be considered this century. This is also the final rule of this millennium. Those of us who serve on this important committee are keenly aware of its historical and institutional role in this Congress on behalf of the American people. Grounded by that tradition and honored by the opportunity, we are thankful to the Members who have gone before us, and we look forward to the new millennium and meeting the challenges facing the American people in the 21st Century. I am grateful for my colleagues on the Committee on Rules.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER) for noting that this is the last rule of this millennium. From my perspective, I had forgotten about that, and I thank the gentlewoman for bringing it up.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I have no requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ELECTION OF MEMBER TO CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I offer a resolution (H. Res. 391), and I ask unanimous consent for its consideration in the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. RES. 391

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby, elected to the following standing Committees of the House of Representatives:

Committee on Agriculture and Committee on Science: Mr. Baca of California.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1800

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1180,
TICKET TO WORK AND WORK INCENTIVES
IMPROVEMENT ACT
OF 1999

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 387, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 1180) to amend the Social Security Act to expand the availability of health care coverage for working individuals with disabilities, to establish a Ticket to Work and Self-Sufficiency Program in the Social Security Administration to provide such individuals with meaningful opportunities to work, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Pursuant to House Resolution 387, the conference report is considered as having been read.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of November 17, 1999, at page H12174.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARCHER) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARCHER).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the conference report H.R. 1180.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, today I rise in strong support of H.R. 1180, the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Act, which also contains an important package of tax relief for American workers and families.

First, let me discuss the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Act. Most of those receiving disability benefits today, due to the severity of their impairments, cannot attempt to work. Today, however, the Americans with Disabilities Act, along with advances in technology, medicine and rehabilitation, are opening doors of opportunity never thought possible to individuals with disabilities. Now people can telecommute to work. There are voice-activated computers. And, as technology provides new ways to clear hurdles presented by a disability, government must also keep pace by providing opportunity and not just dependency. Government should be helping people to work, not building barriers to independence and freedom.

This is one more victory in a string of health care achievements that the Republican Congress has guided into law. We strengthened Medicare, we

made health insurance more portable, we passed tax breaks for long-term health care and to cut health insurance costs for people who buy their own health insurance, unfortunately, only to see all those vetoed by the President. And now we have modernized a key program for people with disabilities so that the Government is a help and not a hindrance. Mr. Speaker, that is truly a record of achievement and progress.

Another significant victory is the tax relief package in this bill. Because of our action, millions of families can now breathe easier knowing they will not get hit with a surprise tax hike for the next 3 years because we fixed the alternative minimum tax. The AMT is a perfect example of an out-of-control Tax Code. Under the AMT, taxpayers are not allowed to claim the full child tax credit, the dependent care tax credit, the Hope Scholarship tax credit, and other tax credits which Congress passed to help Americans make ends meet. So the Tax Code was giving on one hand while quickly taking away with the other. This bill, today, fixes that for middle-income families, hundreds of thousands of them, for the next 3 years.

This bill also helps American companies maintain their cutting edge of research and development which will lead to new products, better medicines and a higher standard of living for consumers because it extends the most important R&D tax credit. For the first time in a long while, we have extended the tax credit for 5 years instead of hand-to-mouth year after year, on which no one can fully depend. Now businesses can plan for the future.

Another significant achievement of this bill is that Congress convinced the President that American taxpayers are paying too much and deserve some of their money back. Yes, it is only a small portion, but any amount of taxpayer funds that can be gotten out of Washington is money that cannot be spent on making government bigger. And that is exactly what this bill does.

This is one more achievement for a Congress that keeps delivering for the American people. We have made historic progress in paying down the debt, \$140 billion alone in the last 2 years. We are locking away the Social Security surplus so it cannot be spent on other things, and we are working on a long-term plan to save Social Security for all time. And now we have agreed to start returning a portion of the non-Social Security surplus to the taxpayers who send it here, and that is real progress.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I was hoping that on this last bill, that the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARCHER) and I have worked

on together, that we might have found a more bipartisan tone than the one which the gentleman has just expressed today.

The gentleman talks about the accomplishments and what has been done for those people that are disabled as though his Democratic colleagues did not join with him to make this bill all that it is. The President presented this to the Congress and we worked together, and I agree that we do have a good bill.

There are some things that the gentleman does not talk about, and I expect that there is good reason for it. The gentleman has a delay here for the President's program dealing with transportation network for organ procurements, and the gentleman delays this from going into effect. It is controversial; it has nothing to do with taxes, but somehow the gentleman got that in there.

The gentleman has some other bill that came from the other side, a contractor that deals with NOAA. It has nothing to do with taxes or the disabled.

And then, when we get involved with taxes, the gentleman talked about a Congress that produces. Well, I had hoped that we would not end on this note; but the last I heard from the majority, they were pulling up the Tax Code by the roots. True, that was 6 years ago, 5 years ago, 4, 3, 2, 1, and continuously counting down. The closest the other side came to even dealing with the Tax Code, as I recall, was a \$792 billion tax cut that never even got off the ground. And if we were to just weigh that bill, I hardly believe that even the staunchest conservative Republican would say that it simplified the Tax Code.

Now, I would have to agree with the gentleman that on the expiring provisions, the extensions of legislation that is existing law, that the gentleman and I worked together not as a Democrat or a Republican, but we worked together as tax writers, and with the help of the administration we were able to get these provisions paid for. We were able to put it in in a responsible way.

We could not stop all of the irresponsible things the other side wanted to do, so some people might want to focus on how the Republicans intend to make electricity out of chicken waste. But the gentleman insisted on the provision, we have it here, and God bless. The gentleman can join the wind and the closed-loop biomass, and if that is the way the other side wants to spend the credits, they are the majority and they can do it. But that is one of the things that we did not want to be associated with.

But I agree with the gentleman on the other good provisions. What are they? The extensions of existing law; to say that this Congress will not be irresponsible and allow these provisions