

evasive comments. We will remember his refusal to denounce or even comment on the antitrust case against Microsoft and his unwillingness to make clear his position on protecting Columbia and Snake River dams. I challenge the Vice President again today to tell us plainly whether he supports this administration's assault on two of Washington State's economic crown jewels.

Do you, Mr. Vice President, support the Justice Department's antitrust action against Microsoft or not? And do you, Mr. Vice President, support the efforts by national environmental groups to destroy dams on the Columbia and Snake Rivers or not?

We in the Northwest await the Vice President's answers, and you can be sure that so long as silence and evasiveness carry the day, I will continue to ask these questions.

#### RETIREMENT OF WILLIAM D. LACKEY, JR.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, on February 28, 1999, the Senate said farewell to a valuable employee. William D. "Bill" Lackey, Jr., Journal Clerk of the Senate, retired after 34½ years of service to the Senate.

Bill arrived at the Senate's doorstep on September 1, 1964, from North Carolina. He served the Senate in a number of important capacities, including Assistant Executive Clerk, Bill Clerk, Assistant Parliamentarian, Assistant Journal Clerk, and from 1987 to 1999, as Senate Journal Clerk. During the last 12 years, Bill was responsible for the production of the Senate Journal. This role required that he sit at the dias here on the Senate floor to record the minutes of the Senate's legislative proceedings. His became a very familiar face to us all.

Bill Lackey has been the source of wise and good counsel to many over the years. We commend him for his outstanding service to the Senate and the Nation, and wish him Godspeed as he returns to the beloved foothills of his native Shelby, NC.

#### THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, at the close of business yesterday, Wednesday, March 10, 1999, the federal debt stood at \$5,652,343,384,711.69 (Five trillion, six hundred fifty-two billion, three hundred forty-three million, three hundred eighty-four thousand, seven hundred eleven dollars and sixty-nine cents).

One year ago, March 10, 1998, the federal debt stood at \$5,525,631,000,000 (Five trillion, five hundred twenty-five billion, six hundred thirty-one million).

Five years ago, March 10, 1994, the federal debt stood at \$4,546,801,000,000 (Four trillion, five hundred forty-six billion, eight hundred one million).

Ten years ago, March 10, 1989, the federal debt stood at \$2,737,909,000,000 (Two trillion, seven hundred thirty-seven billion, nine hundred nine million) which reflects a debt increase of almost \$3 trillion—\$2,914,434,384,711.69 (Two trillion, nine hundred fourteen billion, four hundred thirty-four million, three hundred eighty-four thousand, seven hundred eleven dollars and sixty-nine cents) during the past 10 years.

#### MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

At 12:41 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Hanrahan, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 540. An act to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to prohibit transfers or discharges of residents of nursing facilities as a result of a voluntary withdrawal from participation in the Medicaid Program.

H.R. 800. An act to provide for education flexibility partnerships.

The message also announced that the House had passed the following bill, without amendment:

S. 447. An act to deem as timely filed, and process for payment, the applications submitted by the Dodson Districts for certain Impact Aid payments for fiscal year 1999.

#### ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The message further announced that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bill:

H.R. 882. An act to nullify any reservation of funds during fiscal year 1999 for guaranteed loans under the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act for qualified begging farmers or ranchers, and for other purposes.

#### MEASURES REFERRED

The following bill was read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred as indicated:

H.R. 540. An act to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to prohibit transfers or discharges of residents of nursing facilities as a result of a voluntary withdrawal from participation in the Medicaid Program; to the Committee on Finance.

#### MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bills were read the first and second times and placed on the calendar:

H.R. 540. An act to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to prohibit transfers or discharges of residents of nursing facilities as a result of a voluntary withdrawal from participation in the Medicaid Program.

H.R. 800. An act to provide for education flexibility partnerships.

#### INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first

and second time by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 585. A bill to require health insurance coverage for certain reconstructive surgery; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. KOHL (for himself and Mr. SESSIONS):

S. 586. A bill to amend title 11, United States Code, to limit the value of certain real property that a debtor may elect to exempt under State or local law, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ASHCROFT:

S. 587. A bill to provide for the mandatory suspension of Federal benefits to convicted drug traffickers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BUNNING:

S. 588. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to provide for retirement security amounts funded by employee social security payroll deductions, to establish the Protect Social Security Account into which the Secretary of the Treasury shall deposit budget surpluses until a reform measure is enacted to ensure the long-term solvency of the OASDI trust funds, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. HARKIN:

S. 589. A bill to require the National Park Service to undertake a study of the Loess Hills area in western Iowa to review options for the protection and interpretation of the area's natural, cultural, and historical resources; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. FEINGOLD (for himself and Mr. LEAHY):

S. 590. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the percentage depletion allowance for certain hardrock mines, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. GRASSLEY:

S. 591. A bill to authorize a feasibility study for the preservation of the Loess Hills in western Iowa; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. BOND:

S. 592. A bill to improve the health of children; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. COVERDELL (for himself, Mr. TORRICELLI, and Mr. ABRAHAM):

S. 593. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase maximum taxable income for the 15 percent rate bracket, to provide a partial exclusion from gross income for dividends and interest received by individuals, to provide a long-term capital gains deduction for individuals, to increase the traditional IRA contribution limit, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 594. A bill to ban the importation of large capacity ammunition feeding devices; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. INHOFE):

S. 595. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to establish a graduated response to shrinking domestic oil and gas production and surging foreign oil imports, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mrs. BOXER (for herself, Mr. DODD, and Mr. GRAMM):

S. 596. A bill to provide that the annual drug certification procedures under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 not apply to certain countries with which the United States

has bilateral agreements and other plans relating to counterdrug activities, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. BURNS, Mr. ENZI, and Mr. MURKOWSKI):

S. 597. A bill to amend section 922 of chapter 44 of title 28, United States Code, to protect the right of citizens under the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SANTORUM:

S. 598. A bill to amend the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 to improve the farmland protection program; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. CHAFEE (for himself, Mr. HATCH, Mr. COCHRAN, Ms. SNOWE, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. SPECTER, and Ms. COLLINS):

S. 599. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide additional tax relief to families to increase the affordability of child care, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. WELLSTONE:

S. 600. A bill to combat the crime of international trafficking and to protect the rights of victims; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. COCHRAN:

S. 601. A bill to improve the foreign language assistance program; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. SHELBY (for himself, Mr. BOND, Mr. COVERDELL, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. KYL, Mr. BURNS, Mr. GRAMM, Mr. ASHCROFT, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. ABRAHAM, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. HELMS, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. GRAMS, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. HUTCHINSON, and Ms. SNOWE):

S. 602. A bill to amend chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, to provide for congressional review of any rule promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service that increases Federal Revenue, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. SHELBY:

S. 603. A bill to promote competition and greater efficiency of airlines to ensure the rights of airline passengers, to provide for full disclosure to those passengers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS**

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. MURKOWSKI (for himself, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. LOTT, Mr. HELMS, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. BURNS, Mr. KYL, and Mr. ROCKEFELLER):

S. Con. Res. 17. A concurrent resolution concerning the 20th Anniversary of the Taiwan Relations Act; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

**STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS**

By Mrs. FEINSTEIN:

S. 585. A bill to require health insurance coverage for certain reconstructive surgery; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

**RECONSTRUCTIVE SURGERY ACT OF 1999**

• Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, today, I am introducing a bill to require health insurance plans to cover medically necessary reconstructive surgery for congenital defects, developmental abnormalities, trauma, infection, tumors, or disease.

This bill is modeled on a new California law and responds to the growing incidence of denials of coverage by insurance, often managed care. Despite physicians' judgment that surgery is often medically necessary, too many plans are labeling it "cosmetic surgery." The American Medical News calls the HMO's response that these surgeries are cosmetic as, "a classic health plan word game. . . ."

Testifying before the California Assembly Committee on Insurance, Dr. Henry Kawamoto put it well. He said:

It used to be that if you were born with something deforming, or were in an accident and had bad scars, the surgery performed to fix the problem was considered reconstructive surgery. Now, insurers of many kinds are calling it cosmetic surgery and refusing to pay for it.

The Los Angeles Times reported on July 9, 1997, "There has been a virtual wipeout of coverage to repair the appearance of children whose looks are affected by illness, congenital abnormalities or trauma."

Similarly, the New York University Physician reported in their spring 1998 issue:

Before the advent of managed care, repairing abnormalities was considered reconstructive surgery and insurance companies reimbursed for the medical, hospital and surgical costs of their rehabilitation. But in today's reconfigured medical reimbursement system, many insurance companies and managed care organizations will not pay for reconstruction of facial deformities because it is deemed a "cosmetic" and not a "functional" repair.

This bill is endorsed by the March of Dimes, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the National Organization for Rare Disorders, the American Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons, the American College of Surgeons, the American Association of Pediatric Plastic Surgeons, the American Society of Craniofacial Surgery, the American Society of Maxillofacial Surgeons, the American Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons and the National Foundation for Facial Reconstruction.

The children who face refusals to pay for surgery are the true evidence that this bill is needed.

Hanna Grempp, a 6-year old from my own state of California, was born with a congenital birth defect, called bilateral microtia, the absence of an inner ear. Once the first stage of the surgery was complete, the Grempp's HMO denied the next surgery for Hanna. They called the other surgeries "cosmetic" and not medically necessary.

Michael Hatfield, a 19-year old from Texas, who has gone through similar

struggles. He was born with a congenital birth defect, that is known as a midline facial cleft. The self-insured plan his parents had only paid for a small portion of the surgery which reconstructed his nose. The HMO also refused to pay any part of the surgery that reconstructed his cheekbones and eye sockets. The HMO considered some of these surgeries to be "cosmetic."

Cigna Health Care denied coverage for surgery to construct an ear for a little California girl born without an ear and only after adverse press coverage reversed its position saying that, "It was determined that studies have show some functional improvement following surgery."

Qual-Med, another California HMO, denied coverage for reconstructive surgery for a little boy without an ear, a condition called microtia, and after only many appeals and two years delay, authorized it.

The bill uses medically-recognized terms to distinguish between medically necessary surgery and cosmetic surgery. It defines medically necessary reconstructive surgery as surgery "performed to correct or repair abnormal structures of the body caused by congenital defects, developmental abnormalities, trauma, infection, tumors, or disease to (1) improve functions; or (2) give the patient a normal appearance, to the extent possible, in the judgment of the physician performing the surgery." The bill specifically excludes cosmetic surgery, defined as "surgery that is performed to alter or reshape normal structures of the body in order to improve appearance."

Examples of conditions for which surgery might be medically necessary are the following: cleft lips and palates, burns, skull deformities, benign tumors, vascular lesions, missing pectoral muscles that cause chest deformities, Crouson's syndrome (failure of the mid-face to develop normally), and injuries from accidents.

The American Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons has released a survey on reconstructive surgery, concluding that 53.5 percent of surgeons surveyed have had pediatric patients who in the last two years were denied coverage for reconstructive surgery. Of those same surgeons surveyed whose pediatric patients were totally or partially denied coverage, 74 percent had patients denied for initial procedures and 53 percent denied for subsequent procedures.

Another reason for this bill is that only 17 out of 50 states have state legislation which requires insurance coverage for children's deformities and congenital defects. My own state, California, passed legislation in 1998 requiring insurance plans to cover medically necessary reconstructive surgery, and on September 23, 1998 it was signed by former Governor Pete Wilson. This bill was enacted after many sad personal