

us who lived through the Vietnam era say do not do this again.

REASONS TO HAVE GRAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE STEWARDSHIP OF FOREIGN POLICY BY THIS ADMINISTRATION

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Madam Speaker, we just received two instances of the MO of the liberals on the Hill. It is fear and smear first, scare the elderly about Medicare, then come back and attack the new Speaker of the House.

Very interesting. We have been down this road before.

But as my colleagues know, Madam Speaker, there is a reason to have grave concerns about the stewardship of foreign policy by this administration, especially Madam Speaker, when this administration, the Clinton-Gore team, took campaign cash from the Communist Chinese and then ignored the warnings of the intelligence community with reference to nuclear espionage.

Madam Speaker, it is incumbent upon this House to exercise its oversight capabilities to make sure that our genuine interests are, in fact, protected, because Madam Speaker, if the administration is more susceptible to Chinese campaign cash, then this House must protect the American people.

WE PLEDGED AN OATH TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION, NOT THE WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Madam Speaker, even though Article 21 of GATT clearly states any Nation can take action when their military security is threatened, the White House has vowed to veto any bill on steel imports.

Beam me up.

We cannot defend America with plastic and Styrofoam. It seems the White House is more concerned with violating the World Trade Organization than they are in violating America's steel workers.

Let me remind Members of Congress we pledged an oath to the Constitution of the United States of America, not the World Trade Organization.

I yield back all the bankruptcy, despair, downsizing, layoffs and foreclosure of America's steel workers.

WE MUST STOP DRUNK DRIVERS FROM DESTROYING THE LIVES OF INNOCENT PEOPLE

(Mr. CLEMENT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1

minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CLEMENT. Madam Speaker, this past week I lost a true friend as well as my chief of staff, Alex Haught, who was killed in an automobile wreck in Nashville, Tennessee, the victim of a drunk driver.

Perhaps the only thing more shocking than the suddenness of Alex's death was the information about the reckless individual who got behind the wheel of the 2-ton van that slammed into Alex's car. In the past 20 years he had been arrested over 70 times for crimes, including frequent public drunkenness, he had been convicted of driving while intoxicated, and his license had been revoked for over 8 years. Worse yet, he had gotten out of jail having served only 3 days of a 10-day sentence the day he killed Alex.

This sickens me, Madam Speaker. Our system has broken down at every level, the local, State and Federal. We must revisit laws at every level of government to find ways to keep drunk drivers from destroying the lives of innocent people. In addition, we are going to have to look at some harsh measures that we have never looked at before.

Are we going to keep operating the ambulance in the valley, or are we going to build a permanent fence to help our people, to help our families, to help our loved ones and to ensure that this senseless loss of life does not happen again? I assure Alex that we are going to look at those laws at the local, State and Federal level and do everything we possibly can to use you as well as others as an example that the time has come that we have got to get these drunk drivers off the road. God bless you, Alex.

INTRODUCTION OF THE FAMILY FARM PROTECTION ACT

(Mr. GREEN of Wisconsin asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GREEN of Wisconsin. Madam Speaker, on Monday I was back in northeastern Wisconsin unveiling what will be my first bill before this House, a proposal that I call the Family Farm Protection Act.

Now this simple plan exempts farmers from a Federal capital gains tax when they sell their farm to a family member when they try to keep their family farm within the family.

Now, while the U.S. economy is booming, our family members, some of the hardest working people in America, face a tragic crisis. Traditionally, when a farm crisis comes along, we in the Congress look at ways to create more programs, to build more government help. All too often we forget that it is the government itself which is at the heart of many problems that our farm-

ers face. My proposal removes an onerous tax that forces families out of farming and is contributing to the destruction of our Nation's lifelong agricultural heritage.

I ask my colleagues to join me in this effort and to become original cosponsors of the Family Farm Protection Act.

SENIOR CITIZENS' FREEDOM TO WORK ACT OF 1999

(Mr. LUCAS of Kentucky asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LUCAS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, it is imperative that we pass the Senior Citizens' Freedom to Work Act of 1999. The proposed measure would eliminate the Social Security earnings limit for retirement age Americans. We must end the practice of penalizing seniors and discouraging work. With their wealth of information and experience, senior citizens are truly vital to the stability of our work force and the development of the work force of tomorrow.

□ 1015

The current limit takes away retirement benefits from those who have rightfully earned them through a lifetime of hard work. We should not be punishing our senior citizens for continuing to work but, rather, encouraging them. That is just common sense.

BIG BROTHER IS BACK

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CHABOT. Madam Speaker, they are at it again. We learned this morning from The Washington Post that those big government loving bureaucrats in the Clinton administration are up to their old tricks again. When we last heard from our friends in the Federal health care data collection business, they were attempting to carry out a little known provision in the law that would require every single American to have a special identification number so that their medical records could be tracked by the government.

Now we learn that the administration seeks to create a new database that would collect personal information about millions of Americans who receive in-home benefits under the Medicare program. Under the guise of improving service, the Clinton administration intends to conduct a 19-page assessment of each patient, including questions concerning the patient's sense of failure, or socially inappropriate behavior.

Enough already. Let us put a stop to this nonsense before it begins. Let us protect the privacy of millions of Americans. Let us once again say no to Big Brother.