

that is similar to the one in the conference report and his advocacy during Senate debate and when the bill was in conference for the final bill to include the point of order. With passage of this budget which, for the first time in 30 years, balances the budget without using Social Security and puts procedures in place to protect Social Security in the future, the Senate has made protecting Social Security a high priority. I commend Senator ASHCROFT for his efforts in protecting Social Security.

Mr. LOTT addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

#### ORDER OF PROCEDURE—S. 767

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, this is an important time with a lot of very serious matters before the Senate. Obviously, we are going to be working on the budget resolution. But also, we are very much concerned about what is happening in the Balkans, we are concerned about what is happening in Kosovo, we are concerned about the impact that that is having in Macedonia and the Montenegro area, as well as countries that are not as directly impacted from a standpoint of refugees, but the impact on Albania, which obviously is housing a number of refugees, and even countries such as Romania are being affected by what we see happening there.

I think it is important that we work together in a bipartisan way to express our support for our troops, to express our support and appreciation for countries that are dealing with this influx of refugees and providing haven and humanitarian assistance working with international organizations, with military representation that has been trying to deal with this tremendous influx of refugees.

We are going to work over the next 24 hours to see if we can come together with an agreement on a bipartisan resolution expressing our appreciation and recognition for the outstanding work that is being done by our men and women of the military, by all the organizations that are helping with the refugees and for the countries that are dealing with a tremendous burden right now. But I think we should begin here at home also.

Mr. DODD. Will the leader yield to me on that point?

Mr. LOTT. Yes.

Mr. DODD. I thank the leader for those comments. It is very, very helpful, particularly coming from our leader. People who watch these floor proceedings should take note that it was a very important statement he just made. I believe he expresses the feelings of all of us here. Whatever other differences there may be, I think there is a deep sense of appreciation first and foremost for our own men and women

in uniform; secondly, for the organizations that are trying to do a good job.

I particularly commend him for his comments regarding these front-line states of Montenegro, which is showing great courage in light of some very difficult pressures; Albania, which is so poor—I think about \$600 a year is the annual earnings of the people—Macedonia, about \$1,300 a year, a small country with almost 200,000 refugees now. And particularly he mentions Romania and Bulgaria, which is very important as well.

This ought to be heartening news to these governments and to the people of these countries that it has not gone unnoticed in our country what a tremendous job they are doing handling a problem they did not ask for, flooded by a sea of humanity that needs a lot of help. We are deeply grateful to them. And I am hopeful the leader is right. I certainly want to work with him and anyone else who is interested to see if we can put some language together which would enjoy unanimous backing by all of our colleagues, to speak with one strong, solid voice about how much we appreciate their efforts, the efforts of our service men and women, and the common determination to end this crisis and get these people back to Kosovo.

So I thank him.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Connecticut. I always enjoy working with him. He is absolutely right in repeating the need for us to express our appreciation to our military men and women and to continue our commitment to the humanitarian effort that is underway and express our appreciation to the front-line states that are there dealing with this problem and the cost of the problem in a very serious way. We will work to see if we can express that appreciation and concern.

But I want to emphasize that we have our own military men and women who are doing a magnificent job. All of our Senators and House Members who have gone to the region, who have gone to Brussels and have gone to Aviano or been in Albania or Macedonia, have come back saying what a magnificent job our military men and women have been doing.

But it has gone now beyond our active-duty pilots and men and women who are involved in the exercise there. It now involves Reserve unit members, National Guard, volunteers. We have Air Guard members that are now flying the refueling aircraft that are helping in that effort. And they have been called up unexpectedly with very little notice.

Now you have spouses that are in the region that did not have time to file their income tax return, and tomorrow is the infamous day. Tomorrow is April 15. And like so many Americans, I will file my return tomorrow and send my

check along with the return, which is a very unhappy situation. But we have military men and women who are doing their duty for their country that were unexpectedly, and on very short notice, called up. And you have their spouses now scrambling, trying to perhaps deal with filing their income tax returns tomorrow, the 15th.

We have legislation now moving through the House that has been through the Ways and Means Committee that will be coming to the Senate later on today or tomorrow, and we have legislation that has been prepared in the Senate now that would give, I believe, a 60-day extension on filing returns to our military men and women that have been called up for this service to our country.

There may be some other provisions that have been cited, too, that should be outlined. It exempts U.S. troops serving in the Yugoslavia theater from being taxed on the hazardous duty pay. It grants our troops a 180-day filing extension on their 1998 income tax returns after their return from duty in the combat zone designated by the President and exempts our troops from the 3-percent excise tax levied on long distance telephone calls, which I am sure they are making now to assure their families that they are in the area and they are safe and they are doing their job. So it is more than just a 60-day extension.

I think it is the right thing to do. It is the fair thing to do. And it is important we do it today and make it clear that we are going to complete this action when the House bill comes over. That may be later on today or tomorrow. But if we do not make it clear that we are going to do it today, and if we do not get it done tomorrow, these families are going to be under the duress of either not filing on time, as the law requires, or asking for an extension, which a lot of Americans are hesitant to do.

So I think it is important that we prepare the way to get this legislation completed today, or not later than tomorrow, and make it clear to the families of our service men and women that are in the zone that they are going to have these benefits and this extension of time.

In that vein, then, I do have a unanimous consent request that we have been trying to get cleared, I hope we can get cleared, because we need to do this. And then we can get this behind us and we can move on to another resolution.

So I ask unanimous consent that—

Mr. DODD. Before you do that—

Mr. LOTT. I would withhold.

Mr. DODD. Can I make a suggestion? There is one Member, I think, who has some questions they may want to raise—let me put it in those terms—before you propound it. I would personally prefer if you could hold up for a

couple minutes until they get here. Maybe we can work something out with them.

Mr. LOTT. All right.

Mr. DODD. Other than that, I have been asked, on behalf of someone, to raise an objection. I prefer they were here to make their case if that is what they want to do. So if maybe we can wait 5 minutes.

Mr. LOTT. If we don't wait just a minute, you would have to object, and you prefer not to object; is that it?

Mr. DODD. You just hit it right on the head.

Mr. LOTT. I would certainly be prepared to honor that. Again, I hope we could work this out. I am worried on this, like I am on the other language we have been working on. We have a lot of very bright Senators that can come up with some wonderful amendments and it could go on endlessly and we could get into some very controversial amendments. No Senator—no Senator—would object to what is in S. 767 or the bill that will be coming over from the House.

Mr. DODD. I think most of us are co-sponsors.

Mr. LOTT. Nobody would object to that. Therefore, we want to lock it in. There may be other issues Senators would like to object to. I would like to say to them, there will be other bills, there will be other ways. It will give us time to focus on something that would be an expression of our appreciation and our commitment to be of assistance to not only our military men and women that are there in the area but to those that are dealing on the international basis with humanitarian needs for these front-line states.

I think we can do both. But as is usually the case, you need to do one and then the other. And so I am trying to find a way to achieve both of those.

Mr. DODD. If the leader would yield further, I appreciate him showing some patience here. This is, I think, a very good idea. By the way, I am a cosponsor of the proposal here to do this for our service men and women. I had the pleasure of being with a group of them last Friday and Saturday at Ramstein Air Force Base and flew with a crew on a C-130, a 4-hour flight from Germany down to Macedonia. And they were terrific young men and women. In the cockpit were men and women. The navigator was a woman. There were two pilots, the engineers, the crew.

Mr. LOTT. Was that Reserve or National Guard duty?

Mr. DODD. These are permanent, regular Army and Air Force people.

Mr. LOTT. Permanent, regular duty.

Mr. DODD. They do a fabulous job. And I think it is one way of saying to them how much we appreciate what they are doing. I guess by executive order, I gather, the President has issued some orders on this as well.

Mr. LOTT. The President has expressed his desire to do this. He made

that commitment, I believe, in Louisiana. Was it Barksdale Air Force Base? And he has taken some action, some executive order, but he cannot, by executive order, do what we are doing. It takes a change in the law or a revision in the law in order for these things to occur. So it is a supplement to, in addition to, what he has already done by executive order.

I yield, if I might, if I still have the floor, to Senator COVERDELL.

Mr. COVERDELL. First, I associate myself with the remarks of the leader and the Senator from Connecticut on Macedonia, Albania, Bulgaria, and Romania. We have only begun to assess the impact. You can see on television what is happening in Macedonia and Albania. But you can't see it in Romania and Bulgaria. It is very important, and we are attentive and appreciative to these second-tier states that are affected by these actions.

The point I want to make, Mr. Leader, on this issue that you just addressed, is that the clock runs out. There is no other issue we are talking about, including the one we all share on Macedonia, that has a time clock over its head.

If this could be done tonight, tomorrow is the 15th, we send immediate comfort to these thousands of families scrambling, as all of America is, by tomorrow. We ought not to leave another night lingering of question and unknown measures for all these families. It ought to be settled tonight.

There is not another issue I have heard talked about here that has that kind of deadline on it and a discomfort ramification. This is comfort for the families that we all think of every minute of every day now, and it really ought to be apart from some of these other things.

I appreciate the Senator from Connecticut recognizing that, and I wanted to say so.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, if I could describe this unanimous consent, what it will do is provide for an hour of debate equally divided, of course, so that Members could comment on the actual content in S. 767. This is the critical part. It will also say, this unanimous consent agreement, that when the House language comes over, then the House bill would be read for a third time and a vote on passage of the House bill, without any intervening language, motion or debate. So it in effect locks in the guarantee that this is going to be done by tomorrow. Our people will have that guarantee by the Senate by this unanimous consent agreement tonight. That is what I would like to do.

If it would be helpful to the Senator from Connecticut, I do not know if other Senators are seeking recognition now, we could wait just a moment more. I will notify the Senate that I would be prepared to make this unani-

mous consent request as soon as we can get further Senators on the floor.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. ABRAHAM). The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—S. 767

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that at a time to be determined by the majority leader, the Senate proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 90, S. 767, under the following limitations: 1 hour of debate on the bill equally divided in the usual form; that no amendments to the Senate bill are in order.

I further ask that at the conclusion or yielding back of time, the bill be placed back on the calendar; that then the House bill, which is the text of H.R. 1376 as printed in the RECORD, following consent, be read a third time and a vote occur on passage, all without any intervening action, motion or debate.

If I could explain, before the Chair rules on this, this is the bill that would provide relief for our military men and women who are now—many of them—unexpectedly on short notice serving in the zone where the bombing is occurring, to have these tax benefits and lock this in so that they know, today, that they will be able to count on that change.

That is my request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mrs. BOXER. Reserving the right to object, and I do not plan to object, I want to have an opportunity to let the Senate know I have been trying to work with my friends to get a very straightforward sense of the Senate attached to the Senate bill that would simply say that the armed services would do everything in their power to ensure that where there is a child of a military couple, that the husband and wife are not deployed into a combat zone. This is something that we have done in the past—during the gulf war—after we found out that, indeed, we did have a mom and dad in a combat zone together. I think it is very appropriate, as we give benefits to our brave men and women, that we protect the children at the same time.

As I understand it, we are going to discuss the Coverdell bill, but we will actually pass the House bill. I ask my leader if that is, in fact, the case? If there was a Senate bill, I would object, because I would like the opportunity to have this particular Senator's amendment included, but understanding that