

assets must consist of real property, mortgages, government securities, and cash items; the subsidiaries' stock would not count toward that total. However, dividends or interest from a taxable REIT subsidiary would count toward the requirement that a REIT must realize at least 95 percent of its gross income from those sources plus all types of dividends and interest.

Under our proposal, the income a REIT subsidiary would receive from REIT tenants and others would be fully subject to corporate tax. In addition, the proposal includes strict safeguards to ensure that neither a REIT nor a taxable REIT subsidiary could improperly manipulate pricing or the allocation of expenses to reduce the subsidiary's tax burden. Our bill is supported by the American Resort Development Association, the International Council of Shopping Centers, the National Apartment Association, the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, the American Seniors Housing Association, the Mortgage Bankers Association of America, the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties, the National Association of Realtors, the national Multi Housing Council, and the National Realty committee.

In sum, Mr. Speaker, our legislation will provide REITs the flexibility they need to be competitive. We must not allow the Tax Code to inhibit the ability of REITs to compete and to offer the full range of services demanded by residential and commercial tenants. Mr. CARDIN and I and our cosponsors urge our colleagues to review this legislation and we hope that they give this legislation every possible consideration.

WORKERS MEMORIAL DAY IN  
YORK, PA: "MOURN FOR THE  
DEAD, FIGHT FOR THE LIVING"

### HON. WILLIAM F. GOODLING

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 28, 1999

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, today, ceremonies of memory and reflection marking Workers Memorial Day are taking place in cities and towns across the country, including York, PA, which is in my congressional district. The ceremony in York will particularly remember eight individuals from the 19th Congressional District of Pennsylvania who have been killed in tragic accidents while at their respective work sites this past year: Joyce E. Born, Michael L. Brashears, Sr., C. William Brinkmann, Bradley M. Dietrick, William E. Keeney, Jr., Bernard L. Rishel, and Dennis J. Stough.

Ceremonies such as the one taking place in York are an important reminder to us all of the importance of workplace safety. Accidents are never planned. Avoiding accidents requires the consistent efforts and vigilance of employers and employees. Government too plays a role in encouraging safe work practices.

For far too long, federal efforts to limit workplace safety have been focused on enforcement for "enforcement's sake." This has led the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) to concentrate their limited re-

sources on issues peripheral to worker safety including, but not limited to: paper work violations, duplicative inspections, and issuing citations as a performance bonus for inspectors.

Congress has made progress over the past several years in redirecting and refocusing OSHA toward a different approach that maximizes their resources while increasing the overall quality of safety in America's workplaces. Instead of focusing on enforcement alone, we have worked to expand consultation, partnership, and outreach programs offered by OSHA.

We can be grateful that workplace fatalities and workplace injury rates have declined and are now at the lowest levels since those records have been maintained. These record lows have even been achieved even though we are in the midst of a tight job market, a time in which injury rates have historically increased.

Still, any workplace death is too many. I want to join with my constituents in remembering those who died, and using this day to encourage employers and employees to renew their efforts to prevent future tragedies from occurring.

### INTRODUCTION OF THE PATENT FAIRNESS ACT

#### HON. JIM McDERMOTT

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 28, 1999

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, today I have introduced a proposal that encompasses three principles—fair play, equity and depoliticization.

The United States must do whatever possible to assure patent integrity, so we can continue to receive the desired public benefits from pharmaceutical research. Creating a fair and impartial process where an independent body can determine whether or not to restore lost patent life is a matter of fairness. It also is a matter of ensuring adequate incentives for research and development in the future.

In this case, several drugs were caught in a review process that took significantly longer than Congress anticipated. Thus, the patent life of certain of these "pipeline" drugs was reduced by an unintended consequence that had nothing to do with their medical safety.

There are two important questions: What type of process can we put in place to guarantee a fair and reasonable evaluation of the issues? And, what types of assurances should be embedded in this process to make sure it is equitable and removed from politics?

Our bill answers these questions. Our bill establishes a process that is fair, equitable, independent, separated from politics, and fully open to the public, and subject to judicial review. Let me expand on these features.

The bill establishes an independent and public review process within the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. This would be a new administrative procedure—one that is fair and impartial. The experts at the Patent and Trademark Office are the right experts to hold a hearing about these issues, because these issues involve questions not of medical research, but legal issues involving patent life.

Within the office, a procedure would be established to review claims for patent term restoration to compensate for unanticipated lengthy regulatory review of ten years or more in the FDA's New Drug Approval proceeding.

The process established by this legislation would be akin to a court hearing. Any company that believed its product was unintentionally deprived of patent protection would have the opportunity to present its case. Any other interested party would also be free to make its case. Both sides would be treated equally. Everything would occur in the open. The review board would be bound by objective criteria.

By turning over the issues to an independent panel of experts, the process would be driven by public policy objectives—not politics. This is an important point. Our bill is driven by the principle that it is best to take politics out of the equation, to de-politicize the process, to take Congress out of the job of deciding individual patent issues.

Finally, fairness and equity are assured by another provision. The decision would be subject to judicial review.

Another way to describe the legislation is to outline what it does not involve. There is no preferential treatment for any affected pipeline drug. There are no arbitrary decisions. There are no guarantees. Our bill is about process, not about answering a predetermined outcome.

We are convinced this is the right solution. As a medical doctor and psychiatrist, I have seen the benefits of breakthrough drugs and innovations. They truly can make people's lives better, and there is more to do.

### HONORING NATIONAL ADVANCED PLACEMENT SCHOLARS

#### HON. BOB SCHAFFER

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 28, 1999

Mr. SCHAFFER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize one of Colorado's top high school students, Ms. Emily Brooks upon receiving a National Advanced Placement Scholar from the College Board. The academic achievement of Aaron places this student among the best young scholars in the nation.

Emily was one of only 1,451 students to earn the distinction of being named a National AP Scholar out of 635,000 students who took Advanced Placement (AP) exams in 1998. To qualify for this high honor, each scholar had to achieve grades of 4 or above (the top grade is 5) on at least eight AP exams and have accumulated the equivalent of the first two years of college prior to high school graduation. By choosing this most challenging curriculum, Emily can expect to attend any one of this nation's most demanding universities.

The College Board established the AP program in 1955 to challenge high school students with rigorous college-level academic courses. The program is recognized nationally for its high academic standards and assessments. In 1998, more than one million AP exams were administered in 32 different subject areas. Of the nation's 21,000 high schools, almost 12,000 currently offer at least one AP course.