

The answer to the first part of this question, at least for the foreseeable future, is a resounding No Way. The other answers, however, are provocative enough to make it worthwhile to suspend disbelief and indulge the fantasy of a post-Milosevic Balkans.

Let's start, though, with the real world. Policy makers and long-time students of the West's slow-motion intervention in Yugoslavia during the 1990's see no possibility of Mr. Milosevic's military defeat or of Serbia's occupation.

An agreement last week between the West and Russia outlined the kind of solution the outside powers would seek instead—a withdrawal from Kosovo of the Yugoslav Army, policy and paramilitary fighters, with an international security force to replace them. Details of the deal are still being argued over, but one thing was clear: If the outside powers can get him to sign on, Mr. Milosevic would remain in power in his shrinking Yugoslavia. Thus, he would have the opportunity to "cleanse" another day. The West's calculation seems to be that avoiding a land war, keeping NATO together and cementing relations with Russia outweigh the long-term costs of letting Mr. Milosevic off the hook.

That, then, is the real world.

Such a course does nothing, of course, to eradicate extreme Serb nationalism.

The only way to stamp out the disease, protect Serbian's minorities and bring lasting peace to the Balkans is a Japan- or Germany-style occupation of Serbia, according to Daniel Serwer, who until two years ago was the director of European intelligence and research for the State Department. Mr. Serwer concedes that occupation has never been on the West's list of serious options, but he echoes many experts on the Balkans when he argues that it should be.

"It is very hard to see how Serbia undergoes this process all on its own," said Mr. Serwer, now a fellow at the U.S. Institute of Peace, a research group in Washington. "This regime is deeply rooted. It is not like some dictatorship that you take off its head and it will die. It is so corrupt and the corruption is not superficial."

Daniel Johah Goldhagen, a Harvard historian who wrote "Hitler's Willing Executions: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust," published a kind of manifesto last week that demands Serbia "be placed in receivership."

"Serbia's deeds are, in this essence, different from those of Nazi Germany only in scale," Mr. Goldhagen wrote in *The New Republic*. "Milosevic is not Hitler, but he is a genocidal killer who has caused the murders of many tens of thousands of people."

It is worth remembering, though, that Mr. Milosevic is an elected leader, having won three elections that were more or less fair. That, along with the Serb leader's soaring popularity in the wake of NATO bombing, support an argument that what ails Serbia goes far deeper than one man.

No one makes this argument more powerfully than Sonja Biserko, director of the Helsinki Committee for Human Rights in Serbia and a former senior advisor in the European department of the Yugoslav Foreign Ministry. Ms. Biserko, who fled Belgrade a week after the NATO bombings began, said in *New York* last week that Serbia's fundamental problem is not Mr. Milosevic, but a "moral devastation" that has infected her nation.

"People in Serbia were undergoing a mass denial of the barbarity of the ethnic cleansing in Kosovo," Ms. Biserko said. "The denial is itself commensurate to the crime taking place before the eyes of the world."

Ms. Biserko, who met 10 days ago with Secretary of State Madeleine K. Albright and urged her to consider occupation, believes that Serbia's opposition politicians are incapable now of coming to grips with a culture of victimhood. "Serbs have managed now with the NATO bombing to convince themselves they are victims and as victims they cannot be responsible for what happened in Kosovo," she said.

A surreal sense of victimhood in Serbia is nothing new. During the siege of Sarajevo, when Serb forces ringed the city with artillery and routinely killed its civilians, Belgrade television reported that Bosnian Muslims were laying siege to themselves. "The Serbs continue to defend their centuries-old hills about Sarajevo," and Radio-Television Serbia.

To shatter this Looking Glass victimhood, Ms. Biserko offers a prescription: Indictment of Mr. Milosevic by the War Crimes Tribunal. A military defeat of Serbia and demilitarization of the country. Highly publicized trials that will force Serbs to confront the savagery committed in their name. A Western takeover of the mass media, with strict prohibitions against the dissemination of extreme Serb nationalism. A Marshall Plan for the Balkans.

Asked why the West should be willing to undertake an occupation that would risk many lives, cost billions and take years, Ms. Biserko shrugged: "What other choice is there?"

"The Western world has lost its political instinct," she said. "To bring substance to the ideals of human rights, at some point you must be willing to commit troops."

But could the occupation of Serbia work? Could it break the cycle of violence? Two prominent historians believe it could, if done properly.

"The key in Japan was unconditional surrender," said John W. Dower, a professor of history at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and author of "Embracing Defeat: Japan in the Wake of World War II." "The Americans went in and they did everything. They had a major land reform. They abolished the military, simply got rid of it. They drafted a new constitution. This is what you can do when you have unconditional surrender."

Mr. Dower was struck by the eagerness with which a defeated people welcomed reform. "In Japan the average person was really sick of war and I think that would be the case in Yugoslavia," he said. "The Americans cracked open a repressive military system and the people filled the space."

The occupation of Germany also suggests ways of dealing with Yugoslavia, according to Thomas Alan Schwartz, a historian at Vanderbilt and author of "America's Germany."

"When Germany was totally defeated, it provided opportunity," he said. "You could be physically there, controlling the flow of information and using war-crime trials to show the Germans that atrocities were done in their name."

Without something similar in Serbia, Mr. Schwartz said, "We can look forward to more trouble in Serbia."

"What reminds me of Germany is the comparison to the end of World War I," he added. "Then, the Germans had this powerful sense of being victims. There was a deep resentment that Hitler was able to exploit. It will be the same in Serbia when NATO bombing stops."

The Japan and German analogies, of course, are flawed. Those major-league pow-

ers ravaged a part of the world that America cared about. Occupation was nothing less than emergency triage for the worst violence in history.

Mr. Milosevic, by comparison, is small potatoes. He leads a minor-league country that periodically lays waste to poor, unpronounceable, strategically irrelevant places. Pristina is not Paris.

There is, though, an inkling that the West has begun to try for a solution. In Bosnia, 32,000 NATO-led troops and High Commissioner Carlos Westendorp are even now doing the hard, slow, complex work of healing that country.

Mr. Westendorp has not attempted a Japan-style remake of the Serb-populated half of Bosnia (just as nobody has tried to do that in neighboring Croatia, with its own accomplishments in ethnic cleansing). The indicted war criminals Radovan Karadzic and Ratko Mladic have not been hunted down. Radical Serb parties have not been banned. But tough action is being taken. Mr. Westendorp ordered radical Serb nationalists out of state television. He has fired the nationalist zealot who was elected the Bosnian Serbs' president. If Serbs violently object to what the peacekeepers do, NATO-led forces shoot to kill.

In a recent interview in Sarajevo, Mr. Westendorp said most Bosnian Serbs are cooperating because they are sick of war. It will take time, he said, but the West has enough money and muscle in Bosnia to extinguish the will to war. The one insoluble problem, he said, was the leader in Belgrade. "If getting rid of Milosevic fails," he said, "then everything fails."

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Member (at the request of Mr. WOLF) to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. JONES of North Carolina, for 5 minutes, today.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 2 o'clock and 11 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, May 11, 1999, at 12:30 p.m., for morning hour debates.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 8 of rule XII, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

1949. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulatory Management and Information, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Bifenthrin; Extension of Tolerance for Emergency Exemptions [OPP-300852; FRL-6077-5] (RIN: 2070-AB78) received April 22, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

1950. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulatory Management and Information,

Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Fluroxypyr 1-Methylheptyl Ester; Extension of Tolerance for Emergency Exemptions [OPP-300845; FRL-6073-7] (RIN: 2070-AB78) received April 22, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

1951. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulatory Management and Information, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Sulfosate; Pesticide Tolerance [OPP-300849; FRL-6076-1] (RIN: 2070-AB78) received April 22, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

1952. A letter from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting the FY 1998 Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) Multi-Year Program Plan which describes proposed program activities to facilitate weapons destruction and nonproliferation in the former Soviet Union (FSU); to the Committee on Armed Services.

1953. A letter from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting a report that it intends to obligate up to \$57.7 million of FY 1998 funds to implement the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1954. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Financial Institutions Examinations Council, transmitting the 1998 Annual Report, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 3305; to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services.

1955. A letter from the Deputy Executive Director and Chief Operating Officer, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule—Use of Alternative Dispute Resolution—received April 9, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

1956. A letter from the Deputy Executive Director and Chief Operating Officer, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule—Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing Benefits—received April 9, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

1957. A letter from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting a report to Congress on the Native Hawaiian Revolving Loan Fund (NHRLF) for Fiscal Years 1995 through 1997; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

1958. A letter from the Procurement Executive, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's final rule—Commerce Acquisition Regulation; Agency Protest Procedures [Docket No. 990127035-9035-01] (RIN: 0605-AA15) received April 6, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

1959. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Roof Crush Resistance [Docket No. NHTSA-99-5572; Notice 3] (RIN: 2127-AF40) received April 22, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

1960. A letter from the Office of Regulatory Management and Information, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories: Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry and Other Processes Subject to the Negotiated Regulation for Equipment Leaks; Technical Amendments [AD-FRL-6330-3] (RIN: 2060-AC19) received April 21, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

1961. A letter from the Director, Regulations Policy and Management Staff, Food and Drug Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule—Over-The-Counter Human Drugs; Labeling Requirements; Correction [Docket Nos. 98N-0337, 96N-0420, 95N-0259, and 90P-0201] (RIN: 0910-AA79) received April 21, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

1962. A letter from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting the 1998 Annual Report on the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) Contraception and Infertility Research Load Repayment Program (CIR-LRP); to the Committee on Commerce.

1963. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule—Conformance to National Policies For Access to and Protection of Classified Information (RIN: 3150-AF97) received April 7, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

1964. A letter from the Chief Counsel (Foreign Assets Control), Department of the Treasury, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule—Iranian Transactions Regulations: Implementation of Executive Order 13059—received April 21, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on International Relations.

1965. A letter from the Director, U.S. Trade And Development Agency, transmitting the Agency's annual audit to Congress; to the Committee on International Relations.

1966. A letter from the General Counsel, United States Information Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Exchange Visitor Program—received April 16, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on International Relations.

1967. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission, Department of Justice, transmitting a copy the report of the Consumer Product Safety Commission in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act during the calendar year 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(j); to the Committee on Government Reform.

1968. A letter from the Assistant Attorney General for Administration, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department's final rule—Exemption of Records System Under the Privacy Act [AAG/A Order No. 159-99] received April 21, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Government Reform.

1969. A letter from the Executive Director, Federal Labor Relations Authority, transmitting the Authority's final rule—Revision of Freedom of Information Act Regulations—received April 26, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Government Reform.

1970. A letter from the Comptroller General of the United States, General Accounting Office, transmitting a monthly listing of new investigations, audits, and evaluations; to the Committee on Government Reform.

1971. A letter from the Chairman, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting a report on the Commission's Fiscal Year 1998 Accountability Report, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform.

1972. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board, transmitting the annual statistical report of the U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board, Cases Decided by the U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board, Fiscal Year 1998; to the Committee on Government Reform.

1973. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Administration's final rule—Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod for Vessels Using Hook-and-line and Pot Gear in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands [Docket No. 990304063-9063-01; I.D. 041599A] received April 22, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

1974. A letter from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting the Department's annual report on the National Transportation Safety Board's (NTSB) Recommendations to the Secretary of Transportation for Calendar Year (CY) 1998; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

1975. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulations Management, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule—Loan Guaranty: Requirements for Interest Rate Reduction Refinancing Loans (RIN: 2900-AI92) received April 21, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

1976. A letter from the Secretary of Labor, transmitting the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994 (USERRA) Annual Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 1998; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

1977. A letter from the Regulatory Policy Officer, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, transmitting the Bureau's final rule—Firearms and Ammunition Excise Taxes, Parts and Accessories (97R-1457P) [T.D. ATF-404; Ref: Notice No. 836] (RIN: 1512-AB49) received April 21, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

1978. A letter from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting the first report from the Multi-site Evaluation of the Welfare-to-Work Grants Program, "Early Implementation of the Welfare-to-Work Grants Program: Report to Congress"; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

1979. A letter from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting a report entitled "Chiropractic Services in Medicare HMOs and MedicareChoice (MC) Organizations"; jointly to the Committees on Commerce and Ways and Means.

1980. A letter from the Director, Office of Management and Budget, transmitting the annex on domestic preparedness to the report on government-wide spending to combat terrorism; jointly to the Committees on Armed Services, the Judiciary, and Transportation and Infrastructure.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska: Committee on Resources. H.R. 150. A bill to amend the Act popularly known as the Recreation and Public Purposes Act to authorize disposal of certain public lands or national forest lands to local education agencies for use for elementary or secondary schools, including public charter schools, and for other purposes; with amendments (Rept. 106-132). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER: Committee on Science. H.R. 1550. A bill to authorize appropriations for the United States Fire Administration for fiscal years 2000 and 2001, and