

Act requires the Attorney General to apply to a special division of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the appointment of an independent counsel. The Act also gives the Attorney General broad discretion in seeking the appointment of independent counsel with regard to individuals other than high ranking executive branch officials. However, the Attorney General is not required to do so in such cases.

My bill amends the Act to treat allegations of misconduct, corruption or fraud on the part of Justice Department employees in the same manner as allegations made against high-ranking cabinet officials. My goal is to ensure that, when there is credible evidence of criminal wrongdoing in such cases, these cases are aggressively and objectively investigated.

I am very concerned over the growing number of cases in which Justice Department employees have been accused of misconduct, corruption or fraud. In several cases I have personally investigated, innocent men fell victim to overzealous or corrupt federal prosecutors. No action has ever been taken against the prosecutors.

The 1992 Randy Weaver incident that took place in Ruby Ridge, Idaho is perhaps the most notorious and disturbing example of Justice Department employees, in this case, high-ranking officials, acting in a questionable manner, and receiving no punishment other than disciplinary action. In the Randy Weaver case, an unarmed woman holding her infant child was shot to death by an FBI sharpshooter acting on orders from superiors. Former FBI deputy director Larry Potts allegedly approved the decision to change the rules of engagement the FBI sharpshooters and other federal officials at Ruby Ridge were acting on. The decision allowed FBI sharpshooters to shoot on sight any armed adults—whether they posed an immediate threat or not. As a result of this decision, Vicki Weaver was shot to death while holding her infant daughter.

While several officials, including Mr. Potts, were disciplined—some forced to leave the department—no criminal charges were ever filed against any of the officials involved in the Ruby Ridge incident. I would point out that at the outset of the incident a 14-year-old boy was shot in the back by U.S. Marshals. In August of 1996 the federal government agreed to pay the Weaver family more than \$3 million—but did not admit any wrongdoing in the incident. The Ruby Ridge incident served as a stark reminder that the Justice Department does not do a very good job in objectively and aggressively investigating potential criminal acts or misconduct on the part of Justice Department employees. This is especially true of actions involving Justice Department attorneys.

In 1990, a congressional inquiry found that no disciplinary action was taken on 10 specific cases investigated by the Justice Department's Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR) in which federal judges had made written findings of prosecutorial misconduct on the part of federal prosecutors. Several federal judges have expressed deep concern over the lack of supervision and control over federal prosecutors. In 1993, three federal judges in Chicago reversed the convictions of 13 members of the El Rukn street gang on conspiracy and racketeering charges after learning that

assistant U.S. attorneys had given informants alcohol, drugs and sex in federal offices in exchange for cooperation, and had knowingly used perjured testimony. No criminal charges have ever been made against the federal prosecutors nor has OPR taken any meaningful disciplinary action, other than firing one U.S. attorney.

Unfortunately for our democracy, over the years the Justice Department has built a wall of immunity around its attorneys so that it is extremely difficult to control the actions of an overzealous or corrupt prosecutor. In many instances, the attorney general has filed ethics complaints with state bar authorities against nongovernment lawyers who complain about ethical lapses by federal prosecutors. How has Congress let this agency get so out of control?

The majority of Justice Department officials are hardworking, courageous and dedicated public servants. The unethical and criminal actions of a few officials and attorneys are tarnishing the reputation of the department. By allowing these actions to go unpunished or by not taking aggressive action in the form of criminal indictments, the department is eroding the public's confidence in government.

As the El Rukn case illustrated, in their zeal to gain a conviction, federal prosecutors overstepped the boundaries of ethical and legal behavior. As a result, dangerous criminals were either set free or received greatly reduced sentences. Such actions are unacceptable. The federal government needs to act in an unambiguous and aggressive manner against any federal prosecutor or official who betrays the public trust in such a blatant and damaging fashion. Sadly, that was not done in the El Rukn case, and countless other cases where Justice Department officials acted in an unethical or illegal manner.

The American people expect that the Justice Department—more than any other federal agency—conduct its business with the highest level of ethics and integrity. It is imperative that the Independent Counsel Act be amended to require that allegations of criminal misconduct on the part of Justice Department employees be treated with the same seriousness as allegations made against high-ranking cabinet officials. I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill.

H. CON. RES. 124 AND H. CON. RES. 111—CONDEMNING DISCRIMINATION AGAINST ASIAN AMERICANS

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 14, 1999

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to actively support both H. Con. Res. 124, which seeks to protect the citizenship rights of Asian Americans, and H. Con. Res. 111, which seeks to condemn all forms of discrimination against Asian Americans.

In response to recent allegations of espionage and illegal campaign financing by the Chinese government, H. Con. Res. 124 conveys the very important point that all Americans of Asian descent are vital members of

our society and that they are to be treated fairly and equally as American citizens.

It is our duty to make the clear distinction between our relations with the government of China and how we treat Americans of Chinese descent. We must work together to prevent the rise of tensions similar to those existing during the World War II era with the internment of loyal Japanese Americans.

Asian Americans have made and continue to make significant contributions to our society in areas, such as the arts, education, and technology. H. Con. Res. 111 fully supports the continued political and civic participation by these citizens throughout the United States.

Organizations like the Oakland Chinese Community Council (OCCC) of the East Bay area work to not only help Americans of Asian descent assimilate into American culture, but help them to maintain their Asian heritage and identity as well. More specifically, OCCC has developed programs for career referral, voter registration, and training in efforts to aid new immigrants with successfully attaining their goals upon entering the United States.

I ask my colleagues to join with me in the outward condemning of discrimination against Asian Americans and in the protection of their rights as American citizens so that they may be treated with the equality and fairness that is rightfully expected and deserved.

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000

SPEECH OF

HON. TOM BLILEY

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, June 10, 1999

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1401) to authorize appropriations for fiscal years 2000 and 2001 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe military personnel strengths for fiscal years 2000 and 2001, and for other purposes:

Mr. BLILEY. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to express a number of concerns about H.R. 1401, the National Defense Authorization Act for FY2000, as well as about the process used to bring this legislation to the floor of the House. Key provisions of this legislation, along with a number of amendments made in order under the rule, address programs and activities of the Department of Energy that fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Commerce under the Rules of the House. Several examples will serve to highlight these areas of concern.

Section 3165 of H.R. 1401 consolidates responsibility for nuclear weapons activities, facilities, and laboratories under DOE's Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs. This effort to reorganize the responsibilities at the Department of Energy falls within the Committee on Commerce's responsibility for the general management of the Department of Energy, including its organization. The facts that have come to light about lax security controls at the Los Alamos National Laboratory highlight the dangers of a nuclear weapons laboratory trying to police its own security. Secretary