

These volunteers, well over 500 strong, worked hard to maintain security zones and to provide direct assistance and support to the Coast Guard.

Because of the dedication of these individuals and active Coast Guard members, no problems or catastrophes occurred during this incredibly busy time in New York Harbor.

In fact, the dedication of the members of the First Southern freed active Coast Guard personnel to perform necessary life-saving search-and-rescues during Military Salute Week.

These volunteers were a critical part of an Independence Day celebration that I am sure will always be remembered by New Yorkers.

I salute my constituents and all of the men and women of the First Southern District and the active Coast Guard for a job well done.

REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KASICH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Sec. 314 of the Congressional Budget Act, I hereby submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD revisions to the allocations for the House Committee on Appropriations. For fiscal year 2000, the allocation established by H. Con. Res. 290, as adjusted, is increased to reflect \$1,779,000,000 in additional new budget authority and \$0 in additional outlays. This will change the fiscal year 2000 allocation to the House Committee on Appropriations to \$588,253,000,000 in budget authority and \$614,029,000,000 in outlays. Budgetary aggregates will increase to \$1,484,852,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,455,479,000,000 in outlays.

Outlays from that additional budget authority occur in fiscal year 2001. The allocation for the House Committee on Appropriations printed in House Report 106-729 is therefore increased to reflect \$1,273,000,000 in additional outlays. This will establish a fiscal year 2001 allocation to the House Committee on Appropriations of \$601,208,000,000 in budget authority and \$632,312,000,000 in outlays. Budgetary aggregates become \$1,529,413,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,501,533,000,000 in outlays.

As reported to the House, House Report 106-754, the conference report to accompany the bill making fiscal year 2001 appropriations for the Department of Defense, includes \$1,779,000,000 in fiscal year 2000 budget authority for emergencies. Outlays flowing from that budget authority are \$41,273,000,000 in fiscal year 2001.

Questions may be directed to Dan Kowalski or Jim Bates at 67270.

IN HONOR OF FOUR AFRICAN-AMERICAN WOMEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-

woman from Ohio (Mrs. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. JONES of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening to honor and celebrate four African-American women.

I would like to begin with the memoir for Jean Ford Clayton. Jean Ford Clayton, a retired Cleveland police detective, died on July 8 at her home in University Heights, Ohio. Mrs. Clayton was an exemplary police officer who is credited with leveling the playing field for other female detectives with their male counterparts.

In 1972, women who joined the police force were automatically assigned to the Women's Bureau and limited to handling cases involving neglected and abused children, juvenile delinquency and rape.

Mrs. Clayton challenged this policy by filing charges of sex discrimination against the Cleveland Police Department with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

As a result of Mrs. Clayton's lawsuit, the doors of opportunity were opened to all female police officers in roles traditionally reserved for men. Her tenacity and perseverance helped to change the face of law enforcement locally and nationally.

After retiring from the Cleveland Police Department, Mrs. Clayton continued her community involvement by working with juveniles and as a chief investigator for the Cleveland Job Corps Center.

In addition to her second career, Mrs. Clayton worked for 22 years as a counselor with the National Football League's Youth Development Camp.

She is survived by her husband of 54 years, Eddie Clayton, two daughters, one son, and 16 grandchildren. Her son is deceased, and she is survived by 16 grandchildren.

On a personal note, I would like to say it was through the support of Jean Clayton that I was able to serve well as both a judge and a prosecutor in Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

The second woman I would like to honor is living. Her name is Bishop Vashti McKenzie.

After 213 years, the African Methodist Episcopal Church has finally selected a woman for the position of Bishop, Rev. Dr. Vashti McKenzie was elected Bishop at the A.M.E. General Conference in Cincinnati on July 11, 2000.

She is a pastor of the 1,700 member Payne Memorial A.M.E. Church in Baltimore. Dr. McKenzie is an Ordained Itinerant Elder in African Methodist Episcopal Church and the Pastor of Payne Memorial A.M.E. Church in Baltimore City.

The 101-year-old historic congregation has tripled in membership since her arrival. Under her leadership, there are 15 new ministries designed to enhance, enrich, inspire and meet critical needs of the community.

She is the wife of Stan McKenzie, former star in the National Basketball Association; and they have three children, Jon-Mikael, Vashti-Jasmine, and Joi-Marie.

In the November 1993 issue of Ebony Magazine, she was selected for the Honor Roll of Great African-American Preachers. She was selected after a poll of national, civic, social, religious and academic leaders. Her "Ministry of Equality and Hope" was featured in 1999 in Ebony Magazine. She is characterized as an electrifying speaker in an issue of Jet; is a graduate of the University of Maryland, College Park; holds a Master of Divinity Degree from Howard University. She earned a Doctor of Ministry Degree from United Theological Seminary in Dayton, Ohio.

She is a member of several service organizations. One of them, Delta Sigma Theta Sorority is my sorority. She is the granddaughter and namesake of one of the founders of Delta Sigma Theta, the late Vashti Turley Murphy. She serves as the spiritual leader of more than 175,000 college-trained women as the national chaplain. She has traveled considerably and continues to do so across the United States.

As one of the newest bishops in the A.M.E. Church, Bishop McKenzie will be presiding over the 18th Episcopal District, which includes portions of Southern Africa.

The last two young women that I would like to celebrate today, Mr. Speaker, are Serina and Venus Williams, the winners of Wimbledon, Venus as the singles winner and Serina and Venus as the doubles winner.

What better role models could we have for young women throughout this country than to see these two fantastic young women who have been successful in the tennis arena?

I am very proud to be able to stand today, Mr. Speaker, to celebrate four strong African-American women.

IN HONOR OF OFFICER JOHN KELLY, STATEN ISLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York (Mr. FOSSELLA) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FOSSELLA. Mr. Speaker, John Kelly was a young man, 31 years old, who grew up in the Oakwood section of Staten Island, attended high school there, was a parishioner at Lady Star of the Sea in Huguenot, had a wonderful wife, also a police officer with the New York City Police Department.

John, after graduating, fulfilled his desire like so many of his family members, his brothers Thomas, James and Daniel, as well as other family members, to go become a New York City police officer.

He did that for 8½ years. He had two beautiful children, a 2-year-old and a 9-

month-old. He had his whole life ahead of him, until yesterday. This decorated New York City police officer was killed while he was doing his job protecting the people of New York City and specifically the people of Staten Island.

He is the third police officer to die in the last 3 years in Staten Island alone, adding to the list of hundreds of others who have given their life for their country and for the community.

So now a 2-year-old and a 9-month-old grow up without a father. Patricia, with our prayers, along with her family, will live on.

John's mother, Margaret, as well as his brothers Michael, Robert and Patrick, hopefully will find some comfort and solace from the other people of our community knowing that Officer John Kelly, a decorated officer with four commendations during his career, who went above and beyond the call of duty for the people he loved so much, the community he loved so much, as well as for the job he took so much pride in performing day in and day out. His partners and everyone who worked with him on Staten Island have nothing but praise for him.

I just thought it was appropriate that from time to time while others, like cats on mice, jump to disparage what good police officers do throughout our Nation, that we understand and pause for just a moment to remember that people like John Kelly, just 31 years old, gave his life for the very reason that he took the oath to be a New York City police officer.

So if anything comes out of this, I just would hope that the people of this Nation remember the Kelly family in their prayers. We wish, on behalf of the people of Staten Island, that they find some comfort in knowing that John Kelly died a hero.

NUCLEAR FUEL RELIABILITY ACT OF 2000

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. STRICKLAND. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening to inform the House that I am introducing a major piece of legislation which would make it possible for this Government to once again assume the ownership of the nuclear fuel production industry in this country. The act is entitled the Nuclear Fuel Reliability Act of 2000.

Why is this legislation necessary? I think it is important for this House to understand that approximately 2 years ago actions were taken that for the first time privatized the industry which is responsible for enriching uranium in this country.

What that means, in practical terms, is that the industry that is responsible for producing approximately 20 percent of all of the electricity that is gen-

erated in this country has been placed in private hands.

Now, that may not be so bad if the company that became the owner of this industry had acted responsibly and had kept faith with this Government once privatization had occurred.

One of the obligations placed upon the private company was to operate the two enrichment plants which exist in this country today, one in Paducah, Kentucky, and one in Piketon, Ohio, to operate those plants through the year 2004. Recently, the company has made the decision to close the Piketon, Ohio, plant in June of next year.

Who has benefited from privatization, Mr. Speaker? I think the only ones who have benefited from privatization are those select few individuals who oversaw the privatization process and have enriched themselves. And I am speaking specifically of the CEO of that private corporation, Mr. Nick Timbers.

As I have said before, as a Government employee, his salary was approximately \$350,000, which is a respectable income. He was given permission to oversee privatization, to make recommendations, to advocate; and he did those things and he did so in a way that enriched himself.

As the CEO of the now private corporation, his salary is somewhere in the vicinity of \$2.48 million; and he has a golden parachute of \$3.6 million.

What has been the result? Who has benefited other than Mr. Timbers and a select few of Wall Streeters? Well, I will tell my colleagues who has not benefited. Have the investors benefited? Absolutely not.

At the point of privatization, the stock of the company was worth approximately \$14.50 a share. It is now hovering around \$4 a share. So the investors have not benefited.

Has the Government benefited? Absolutely not. We find ourselves, as a government, facing a situation where we may become dependent on foreign sources for up to 23 percent of all of the electricity that is generated in this country.

Have the communities where these plants are located benefited? Absolutely not. My community is being absolutely annihilated as workers who have spent 25 and 30 years of their lives working in the service of this country are being summarily discharged and dismissed.

I am terribly troubled by the actions of this corporation. I am terribly troubled as a result of the process that led to privatization. I think it was a process that was corrupted, it was a process that enabled individuals to benefit themselves, to enrich themselves personally at great expense to the well-being of this Nation and to our local communities and to the investors.

□ 1845

That is why I have asked for an investigation of these matters. That is

why I look forward this fall to the Commerce Committee's hearings into these matters, because I think they will bring many things to light that the American people need and deserve to know.

And so as I introduce my bill this evening, it is my hope that multiple Members of this House will see fit to join me in supporting this legislation. It is the right thing to do for our country.

VICTIM OF "DRIVE-BY" POLITICS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BRADY of Texas). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. TANCREDO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. TANCREDO. Mr. Speaker, earlier today I was talking to a gentleman from Common Cause. I had called him in regard to a statement that they sent out asking all Congressmen to sign the statement. One of the points on the statement that they were asking us to sign on to was a commitment to vote for any ban on soft money, banning all soft money going to political organizations coming from corporations, coming from unions, coming from wealthy individuals.

We got to talking about this. I had called them and asked them to give me their thoughts on this because, of course, this kind of thing happens often, the kind of thing that they are trying to deal with; and they explained that for a long time there had been a relatively effective ban on the kind of money coming into politics that has a corrupting influence. They use the words "corrupting influence." It started with the Teddy Roosevelt era. But that interestingly in 1992, the Clinton campaign found a way around it and found a way that they could use soft money in the creation of ads attacking their opponents but doing so sort of in a way that separated them from the ad itself. They could set up these dummy little organizations and run ads that were not part of the campaign, and they could use soft money to fund it. So all of a sudden they found this loophole. Now everybody is doing it, essentially. Once they found out how to do it, both parties use it and certainly many, many organizations use it.

Members know the kind of ad that I am talking about. Many people have seen these ads run, where the group comes on, they usually have some name you have never heard of and they will say something like, gee whiz, isn't it horrible that certain Congressmen would do X, Y or Z. Why don't you call them and ask them why they did such a terrible thing.

Now, Common Cause says that this kind of thing has a corrupting influence on the system, and that is why they would like to try to stop it. They want to try to stop these thinly veiled