

Metcalf	Rogan	Stupak
Mica	Rogers	Sununu
Miller (FL)	Rohrabacher	Sweeney
Miller, Gary	Ros-Lehtinen	Talent
Moore	Rothman	Tancredo
Moran (KS)	Roukema	Tauzin
Moran (VA)	Royce	Taylor (MS)
Morella	Ryan (WI)	Taylor (NC)
Myrick	Ryun (KS)	Terry
Nethercutt	Salmon	Thomas
Ney	Sandlin	Thornberry
Northup	Sanford	Thune
Norwood	Saxton	Thurman
Nussle	Scarborough	Tiahrt
Ortiz	Schaffer	Toomey
Ose	Sensenbrenner	Trafficant
Oxley	Sessions	Turner
Packard	Shadegg	Upton
Pallone	Shaw	Vitter
Pascrell	Shays	Walden
Paul	Sherwood	Walsh
Pease	Shimkus	Wamp
Peterson (MN)	Shows	Watkins
Peterson (PA)	Shuster	Watts (OK)
Petri	Simpson	Weldon (FL)
Phelps	Sisisky	Weldon (PA)
Pickering	Skeen	Weller
Pitts	Skelton	Wexler
Pombo	Smith (MI)	Weygand
Porter	Smith (NJ)	Whitfield
Portman	Smith (TX)	Wicker
Pryce (OH)	Souder	Wilson
Quinn	Spence	Wise
Radanovich	Stabenow	Wolf
Ramstad	Stearns	Wu
Regula	Stenholm	Young (AK)
Reynolds	Strickland	Young (FL)
Riley	Stump	

NAYS—146

Abercrombie	Hastings (FL)	Mollohan
Ackerman	Hill (IN)	Nadler
Allen	Hilliard	Neal
Baird	Hinche	Oberstar
Baldacci	Hinojosa	Obey
Baldwin	Houghton	Olver
Barrett (WI)	Hoyer	Owens
Becerra	Inslee	Pastor
Berman	Jackson (IL)	Payne
Berry	Jackson-Lee	Pelosi
Blagojevich	(TX)	Pickett
Blumenauer	Jefferson	Pomeroy
Bonior	John	Price (NC)
Boucher	Johnson (CT)	Rahall
Brady (PA)	Johnson, E. B.	Rangel
Brown (FL)	Jones (OH)	Reyes
Brown (OH)	Kanjorski	Rivers
Capuano	Kaptur	Rodriguez
Cardin	Kilpatrick	Roemer
Carson	Kind (WI)	Roybal-Allard
Clay	Kleczka	Rush
Clayton	Klink	Sabo
Clement	Kucinich	Sanchez
Clyburn	LaFalce	Sanders
Conyers	Lantos	Sawyer
Coyne	Larson	Schakowsky
Cramer	Lee	Scott
Cummings	Levin	Serrano
Davis (L)	Lewis (GA)	Sherman
DeGette	Lofgren	Slaughter
Delahunt	Lowe	Snyder
DeLauro	Luther	Stark
Dicks	Maloney (CT)	Tanner
Dingell	Maloney (NY)	Tauscher
Dixon	Markey	Thompson (CA)
Doggett	Matsui	Thompson (MS)
Dooley	McCarthy (MO)	Tierney
Edwards	McDermott	Towns
Engel	McGovern	Udall (CO)
Eshoo	McKinney	Udall (NM)
Evans	McNulty	Velazquez
Farr	Meehan	Visclosky
Fattah	Meek (FL)	Waters
Filner	Meeke (NY)	Watt (NC)
Ford	Millender-	Waxman
Frank (MA)	McDonald	Weiner
Frost	Miller, George	Woolsey
Gejdenson	Minge	Wynn
Gonzalez	Mink	
Gutierrez	Moakley	

NOT VOTING—13

Baca	Brady (TX)	Lazio
Barton	Campbell	
Boswell	Hilleary	

McIntosh	Napolitano	Spratt
Murtha	Smith (WA)	Vento

□ 1810

Ms. SANCHEZ and Mrs. MINK of Hawaii changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. PHELPS and Mr. CROWLEY changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on roll-call No. 414. I was inadvertently detained and was not recorded. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

APPOINTMENT ON CONFEREES ON H.R. 4577, DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 4577) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT OFFERED BY MR. OBEY

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to instruct conferees.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill, H.R. 4577, be instructed to insist on no less than the \$42,674,645,000 in the Senate amendment for the Department of Education which provides an increase of \$179,999,000 over the President's budget request; no less than \$7,353,141,000 in the Senate amendment for the Individuals with Disabilities Act to help fulfill the commitment the House of Representatives made on May 3, 2000 in adopting H.R. 4055, the IDEA Full Funding Act of 2000; no less than \$8,692,000,000 in the Senate amendment for the Pell Grant Program to provide a maximum Pell grant award of \$3,650; no less than \$6,267,000,000 in the Senate amendment for the Head Start Program which provides the President's budget request; no less than \$817,328,000 in the Senate amendment for the Child Care Development Block Grant which provides the President's budget request for fiscal year 2001; and no less than \$20,512,735,000 in the Senate amendment for the National Institutes of Health which provides an increase of

\$2,723,399,000 over the President's budget request; and to insist on disagreeing with provisions in the Senate amendment which deny the President's request for dedicated resources to reduce class sizes in the early grades and for local school construction and, instead, broadly expands the Title VI Education Block Grant with limited accountability in the use of funds.

Mr. OBEY (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the motion be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

□ 1815

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). The gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) and the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. PORTER) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY).

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this motion to instruct is very simple. It says that the conferees should bring back a Labor-HHS-Education conference report that provides the increased funding in the Senate bill for the Department of Education in total and for several key programs such as special education, Pell grants, Head Start, child care, the National Institutes of Health.

The Senate provides a total of \$42.6 billion for the Department of Education. That is \$3.1 billion over the bill passed by the House. This motion instructs the conferees to provide at least every single one of the dollars that the Senate has added.

Included within the overall total is \$7.3 billion for special education authorized under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act.

Mr. Speaker, let me simply say that this motion to instruct with respect to special education would result in an increase of \$803 million in additional spending over the House bill for that item.

I would point out when the House adopted on May 3 of this year H.R. 4055, the IDEA Full Funding Act of 2000, it promised to provide an increase of \$2 billion over last year for IDEA. Just about a month later, the Labor-HHS-Education bill adopted by the majority failed to keep that promise, and provided an increase of only \$513 million over last year. We think that we ought to provide the full amount.

The Senate bill also does not fully meet the promise that we made, but it would provide \$1.3 billion over last year for IDEA to help reach the goal of a \$2 billion increase in the Federal contribution toward the additional cost of educating children with disabilities. Every Member who voted for the IDEA Full Funding Act to increase funding for special education ought to support this motion to instruct.

The Senate bill also provides, Mr. Speaker, \$8.3 billion to fund the maximum Pell grant of at least \$3,650, an increase of \$384 million over the House bill. This motion also instructs the conferees to agree with that increase.

The Senate bill provides \$6.26 billion for Head Start, which is the President's request, and \$600 million over the House bill. With these additional resources, more than 53,000 disadvantaged children would benefit from early learning opportunities to get a good start in life.

The Senate bill also provides the President's request for \$817 million in additional funding for the child care block grant in fiscal year 2001, while the House bill cuts the request only \$400 million. This motion would go to the full Senate amount and would provide extra resources for an additional 80,000 low-income children.

The motion would also instruct the conferees to adopt the Senate funding levels for NIH, which provide an additional \$1.7 billion in real dollars for NIH research, unlike the House bill, which pretended to provide this increase in the front of the bill, but then took it away in the back of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, my motion also instructs the conferees to insist on disagreeing to the Senate's provision concerning class size reduction and school construction. The Senate bill denies two of the President's highest education priorities by merging the funding requested for the class size and school construction initiatives into the title VI education block grant.

Fundamentally, block grants are little more than revenue-sharing programs with little accountability for addressing Federal needs.

Mr. Speaker, if we are going to provide funding for class size initiative, we really need to actually provide it for that initiative, rather than to have a "let's pretend" initiative which in fact allows money to be spent for something else.

A large majority, 61 percent, feel that the Federal government spends too little on education. They support targeted Federal investments to hire new teachers, to reduce class size, and to repair and modernize our schools.

So what we are asking in this motion is that we reject the Senate language, which prevents or which denies the President's request for dedicating those resources to reduce class size in the early grades and for local school construction, and instead, broadly expands the title VI education block grant with limited accountability in the use of those funds. This motion to instruct would ask the conferees to in fact reject that portion of the Senate action.

I might point out that in the past, if we take a look at some of the uses that this money was put to by States or local districts, we will see that in the

past some of this money was used for unnecessary State bureaucracy. It was used by one State or by one district to hire a mariachi band when we had the old Chapter II program in effect. Personal computers were bought for boards of education. Printing bills for a district were paid, the entire printing bill for one district was paid. Entertainment costs were paid. We think that there ought to be very specific targeting for these funds.

MODIFICATION TO MOTION TO INSTRUCT  
OFFERED BY MR. OBEY

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the motion to instruct to correctly reflect that the increase provided in the Senate amendment for NIH is \$1.7 billion, rather than \$2.7 billion over the Senate request.

There is a typo in the amendment before us.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). The Clerk will report the modification.

The Clerk read as follows:

Modification to motion to instruct offered by Mr. Obey:

Strike out "\$2,732,399,000" and insert "\$1,700,000,000".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The motion to instruct is modified.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I simply want to explain, it simply corrects the typo to make clear that the increase of the Senate over the President's budget request for the National Institutes of Health was \$1.7 rather than \$2.7 billion.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman from Wisconsin well knows, I did not support the budget resolution that passed the House of Representatives early this year because I felt it would not provide adequate funding levels for many of the priorities which are reflected in this motion.

So when the gentleman proposes that we yield to the higher number in each case in the Senate bill for important national priorities, I do not disagree with that. We have consistently attempted, when we have had a good budget allocation, to be at or ahead of the President for the Department of Education because we place education at the very highest priority, and have funded it at the maximum number whenever we have had adequate fund to do so.

Certainly no one has been a stronger advocate than our own chairman, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. GOODLING), in increasing funding for special education under the IDEA pro-

gram, and during the last 6 years funding has been more than doubled, from 6 percent to 13 percent of the amount that we need to provide full funding at 40 percent for the IDEA program. So we certainly agree that this account should be plussed up, and we will support that higher figure.

The Pell Grant program we have consistently increased at a higher number than the President, and I would again agree that this is a very high priority for our country, and \$3,650 is a proper figure to accede to in conference.

Head Start has been a high priority, and we agree that the number ought to be the Senate number rather than the House number, since the House was forced to mark up at a far smaller overall number than the Senate. Child care is, of course, also a very high priority. We support the higher Senate number as well.

Finally, on the number side, if we look at the National Institutes of Health, we have done everything possible to double funding for the National Institutes of Health over 5 years, and for the last 2 years have provided 15 percent increases in each of those 2 years.

If we provide a 15 percent increase this year, in the last 6 years we will have increased NIH by 82 percent, and we will, if 2 more years are added, have increased NIH from \$11 billion in fiscal year 1996 to \$27 billion by fiscal year 2003.

Now, I might add to my colleague, the gentleman from Wisconsin, during that time the President of the United States has vastly underfunded this account, in some years providing an increase in his budget as low as 1 percent. Thank goodness this past year the increase he suggested was at 4.5 percent. That is some improvement. But we have been consistent in our support for a 15 percent increase for biomedical research through the National Institutes of Health, and certainly would support the higher number in conference.

Where the gentleman loses me on his motion to instruct is with the last few sentences that say, "and to insist on disagreeing with the provisions of the Senate bill which deny the President's request for dedicated resources to reduce class sizes in the early grades and for local school construction, and instead, broadly expands the title VI education block grant with limited accountability in the use of funds."

□ 1830

Mr. Speaker, here is where we get into a very clear philosophical difference. We believe very strongly that all the wisdom does not reside in Washington at the Department of Education, and that the best decisions are made by those responsible for primary and secondary education in America. It is not the Government in Washington.

It is the States and the local school districts. They can make the decision best as to how these funds can be spent, whether they are needed for more teachers, whether they are needed for teacher training, whether they are needed to equip classrooms for computers, whether they are needed for construction. Those decisions should not be made by Washington mandate. We should give our local school districts maximum flexibility to make those decisions for themselves.

So while I can agree with the gentleman on the higher funding levels reflected in the Senate bill that had a little bit more than \$5 billion more than the House in its allocation, I certainly disagree with the gentleman in terms of giving less flexibility to the local school districts, less flexibility to the States, more control to Washington over education. There I think the gentleman is wrong, and I would oppose the motion to instruct for that reason.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me simply take a minute to respond to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. PORTER); and if he is inclined, I will then yield back my time, and we can have a vote.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is important that this motion to instruct include the language to which the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. PORTER) objects. I want to be very clear about this. This motion, in addition to requiring the higher numbers for special education, Pell Grants, Head Start, child care, and the National Institutes of Health, it would also instruct the conferees to insist on disagreeing to the Senate provisions concerning class size reduction and school construction.

The Senate bill purports to provide funding for the President's initiatives for class size and school modernization; and, yet, in reality, it denies the President's highest education priorities by merging the funding requested for class size and school construction initiatives into the title VI education block grant.

As I tried to indicate earlier on the floor, fundamentally, in my view, block grants are little more than revenue sharing programs with little accountability for addressing Federal needs.

The gentleman from Illinois refers to the need of local school districts and school officials to have flexibility. I certainly agree they need a significant amount of flexibility, but I think that when it comes to spending taxpayers money, we also need accountability.

I did not come here to simply be the tax collector for some other level of government. I came here to try to help identify legitimate national priorities and direct hard-earned taxpayers funds to those priorities. That is why the motion to instruct is structured as it is.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to instruct.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair announces that he will reduce to 5 minutes the vote by electronic device on the motion to suspend the rules on which the yeas and nays were postponed yesterday. That vote will immediately follow the vote on the pending motion to instruct conferees.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 207, nays 212, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 415]

YEAS—207

Abercrombie	Dicks	Kildee
Ackerman	Dingell	Kilpatrick
Allen	Dixon	Kind (WI)
Andrews	Doggett	Klecza
Baird	Dooley	Klink
Baldacci	Doyle	Kucinich
Baldwin	Edwards	LaFalce
Barcia	Engel	Lampson
Barrett (WI)	Eshoo	Lantos
Becerra	Etheridge	Larson
Bentsen	Evans	Lee
Berkley	Farr	Levin
Berman	Fattah	Lewis (GA)
Berry	Filner	Lipinski
Billray	Fletcher	Lofgren
Bishop	Forbes	Lowe
Blagojevich	Ford	Lucas (KY)
Blumenauer	Frank (MA)	Luther
Bonior	Frost	Maloney (CT)
Borski	Gejdenson	Maloney (NY)
Boucher	Gephardt	Markey
Boyd	Gonzalez	Mascara
Brady (PA)	Gordon	Matsui
Brown (FL)	Green (TX)	McCarthy (MO)
Brown (OH)	Gutierrez	McCarthy (NY)
Capps	Hall (OH)	McDermott
Capuano	Hastings (FL)	McGovern
Cardin	Hill (IN)	McIntyre
Carson	Hilliard	McKinney
Clayton	Hinche	McNulty
Clement	Hinojosa	Meehan
Clyburn	Hoefel	Meek (FL)
Condit	Holden	Meeks (NY)
Conyers	Holt	Menendez
Costello	Hooley	Millender-
Coyne	Hoyer	McDonald
Cramer	Inslee	Miller, George
Crowley	Jackson (IL)	Minge
Cummings	Jackson-Lee	Mink
Danner	(TX)	Moakley
Davis (FL)	Jefferson	Mollohan
Davis (IL)	John	Moore
DeFazio	Johnson, E. B.	Moran (VA)
DeGette	Jones (OH)	Morella
DeLahunt	Kanjorski	Nadler
DeLauro	Kaptur	Napolitano
Deutsch	Kennedy	Neal

Oberstar	Roybal-Allard	Tauscher
Obey	Rush	Taylor (MS)
Olver	Sabo	Thompson (CA)
Ortiz	Sanchez	Thompson (MS)
Owens	Sanders	Thurman
Pallone	Sandlin	Tierney
Pascrell	Sawyer	Towns
Pastor	Schakowsky	Turner
Payne	Scott	Udall (CO)
Pelosi	Serrano	Udall (NM)
Peterson (MN)	Sherman	Velazquez
Phelps	Shows	Visclosky
Pickett	Sisisky	Waters
Pomeroy	Skelton	Watt (NC)
Price (NC)	Slaughter	Waxman
Quinn	Snyder	Weiner
Rahall	Spratt	Wexler
Rangel	Stabenow	Weygand
Reyes	Stark	Wise
Rivers	Stenholm	Woolsey
Rodriguez	Strickland	Wu
Roemer	Stupak	Wynn
Rothman	Tanner	

NAYS—212

Aderholt	Gilchrest	Ose
Archer	Gillmor	Oxley
Armey	Gilman	Packard
Bachus	Goode	Paul
Baker	Goodlatte	Pease
Ballenger	Goodling	Peterson (PA)
Barr	Goss	Petri
Barrett (NE)	Graham	Pickering
Bartlett	Granger	Pitts
Bass	Green (WI)	Pombo
Bateman	Gutknecht	Porter
Bereuter	Hall (TX)	Portman
Biggert	Hansen	Radanovich
Bilirakis	Hastings (WA)	Ramstad
Bliley	Hayes	Regula
Blunt	Hayworth	Reynolds
Boehlert	Hefley	Riley
Boehner	Heger	Rogan
Bonilla	Hill (MT)	Rogers
Bono	Hilleary	Rohrabacher
Brady (TX)	Hobson	Ros-Lehtinen
Bryant	Hoekstra	Roukema
Burr	Horn	Royce
Burton	Hostettler	Ryan (WI)
Buyer	Houghton	Ryan (KS)
Callahan	Hulshof	Salmon
Calvert	Hunter	Sanford
Camp	Hutchinson	Saxton
Canady	Hyde	Scarborough
Cannon	Isakson	Schaffer
Castle	Istook	Sensenbrenner
Chabot	Jenkins	Sessions
Chambliss	Johnson, Sam	Shadegg
Chenoweth-Hage	Jones (NC)	Shaw
Coble	Kasich	Shays
Coburn	Kelly	Sherwood
Collins	King (NY)	Shimkus
Combest	Kingston	Shuster
Cook	Knollenberg	Simpson
Cooksey	Kolbe	Skeen
Cox	Kuykendall	Smith (NJ)
Crane	LaHood	Smith (TX)
Cubin	Largent	Souder
Cunningham	Latham	Spence
Davis (VA)	LaTourette	Stearns
Deal	Leach	Stump
DeLay	Lewis (CA)	Sununu
DeMint	Lewis (KY)	Sweeney
Diaz-Balart	Linder	Talent
Dickey	LoBiondo	Tancredo
Doolittle	Lucas (OK)	Tauzin
Dreier	Manzullo	Taylor (NC)
Duncan	Martinez	Terry
Dunn	McCollum	Thomas
Ehlers	McCrery	Thornberry
Ehrlich	McHugh	Thune
Emerson	McInnis	Tiahrt
English	McKeon	Toomey
Everett	Metcalf	Traficant
Ewing	Mica	Upton
Foley	Miller (FL)	Vitter
Fossella	Miller, Gary	Walden
Fowler	Moran (KS)	Walsh
Franks (NJ)	Myrick	Wamp
Frelinghuysen	Nethercutt	Watkins
Galgley	Ney	Watts (OK)
Ganske	Northup	Weldon (FL)
Gekas	Norwood	Weller
Gibbons	Nussle	

Whitfield Wilson Young (AK)  
Wicker Wolf Young (FL)

## NOT VOTING—15

Baca Greenwood Pryce (OH)  
Barton Johnson (CT) Smith (MI)  
Boswell Lazio Smith (WA)  
Campbell McIntosh Vento  
Clay Murtha Weldon (PA)

□ 1854

Messrs. GOODLING, KINGSTON, CALVERT, CHAMBLISS, NORWOOD, WHITFIELD, SIMPSON, LINDER and COX changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. RODRIGUEZ and Ms. WOOLSEY changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to instruct was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 415 I put my card in the voting box but it failed to register. I would have voted "nay."

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). Without objection, the Chair appoints the following conferees: Messrs. PORTER, Young of Florida, BONILLA, ISTOOK, MILLER of Florida, DICKEY, WICKER, Mrs. NORTHUP, Messrs. CUNNINGHAM, OBEY, HOYER, Ms. PELOSI, Mrs. LOWEY, Ms. DELAURO, and Mr. JACKSON of Illinois.

There was no objection.

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will now put the question on the motion to suspend the rules on which further proceedings were postponed yesterday.

## DRUG ADDICTION TREATMENT ACT OF 2000

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 2634, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BLILEY) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2634, as amended, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 412, nays 1, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 416]

YEAS—412

Abercrombie Andrews Baird  
Ackerman Archer Baker  
Aderholt Arney Baldacci  
Allen Bachus Baldwin

Ballenger Ehrlich Kleczka Pomeroy Sessions Thompson (MS)  
Barcia Emerson Klink Porter Shadegg Thornberry  
Barra Engel Knollenberg Shaw Shadegg Thornberry  
Barrett (NE) English Kucinich Price (NC) Sha ys Thurman  
Barrett (WI) Eshoo Kuykendall Pryce (OH) Sherman Tiaht  
Bartlett Etheridge LaFalce Quinn Sherwood Tierney  
Bass Evans LaHood Radanovich Shimkus Toomey  
Bateman Everett Lampson Rahall Shows Towns  
Becerra Ewing Lantos Ramstad Shuster Traficant  
Bentsen Farr Largent Rangel Simpson Turner  
Bereuter Fattah Larson Regula Skeen Udall (CO)  
Berkley Filner Latham Reyes Skelton Udall (NM)  
Berman Fletcher LaTourette Reynolds Slaughter Upton  
Berry Foley Leach Riley Smith (MI) Velazquez  
Biggart Forbes Lee Rivers Smith (NJ) Smith (NJ) Visclosky  
Billray Ford Levin Rodriguez Smith (TX) Vitter  
Bilirakis Fossella Rogan Snyder Walsh Walden  
Bishop Fowler Lewis (GA) Rogers Souder Walsh  
Blagojevich Frank (MA) Lewis (KY) Rohrabacher Spence Wamp  
Bliley Franks (NJ) Linder Ros-Lehtinen Spratt Watkins  
Blumenauer Frelinghuysen Lipinski Rothman Stabenow Watt (NC)  
Blunt Frost LoBiondo Roukema Stark Watts (OK)  
Boehlert Gallegly Lofgren Roybal-Allard Stearns Waxman  
Boehner Ganske Lowey Royce Stenholm Weiner  
Bonilla Gejdenson Lucas (KY) Ryan (WI) Strickland Weldon (FL)  
Bonior Gekas Lucas (OK) Ryun (KS) Stump Weldon (PA)  
Bono Gephardt Luther Sabo Stupak Weller  
Borski Gibbons Maloney (CT) Sanchez Sununu Wexler  
Boucher Gilchrist Maloney (NY) Sanders Talent Weygand  
Boyd Gillmor Manzullo Sandlin Tancred o Whitfield  
Brady (PA) Gilman Markey Sawyer Tanner Wilson  
Brady (TX) Gonzalez Martinez Saxton Tauscher Wise  
Brown (FL) Goode Mascara Scarborough Tauzin Wolf  
Brown (OH) Goodlatte Matsui Schaffer Taylor (MS) Wolfsey  
Bryant Goodling McCarthy (MO) Schakowsky Taylor (NC) Wu  
Burr Gordon McCarthy (NY) Scott Terry Wynn  
Burton Goss McCollum Sensenbrenner Thomas Young (AK)  
Buyer Graham McCrery Serrano Thompson (CA) Young (FL)  
Callahan Granger McDermott  
Calvert Green (TX) McGovern  
Camp Green (WI) McHugh  
Canady Gutierrez McInnis  
Capps Gutknecht McIntyre  
Capuano Hall (OH) McKeon  
Cardin Hall (TX) McKinney  
Carson Hansen McNulty  
Castle Hastings (FL) Meehan  
Chabot Hastings (WA) Meek (FL)  
Chambliss Hayes Meeks (NY)  
Chenoweth-Hage Hayworth Menendez  
Clayton Hefley Metcalf  
Clement Herger Mica  
Clyburn Hill (IN) Millender-  
Coble Hill (MT) McDonald  
Coburn Hilleary Miller (FL)  
Collins Hilliard Miller, Gary  
Combest Hinchey Miller, George  
Condit Hinojosa Minge  
Conyers Hobson Mink  
Cook Hoefel Moakley  
Cooksey Hoekstra Mollohan  
Costello Holden Moore  
Cox Holt Moran (KS)  
Coyne Hooley Moran (VA)  
Cramer Horn Morella  
Crane Hostettler Myrick  
Crowley Houghton Nadler  
Cubin Hoyer Napolitano  
Cummings Hulshof Neal  
Cunningham Hunter Nethercutt  
Danner Hutchinson Ney  
Davis (FL) Hyde Northup  
Davis (IL) Inslee Norwood  
Davis (VA) Isakson Nussle  
Deal Istook Oberstar  
DeFazio Jackson (IL) Obey  
DeGette Jackson-Lee Olver  
DeLahunt (TX) Ortiz  
DeLauro Jefferson Ose  
DeLay Jenkins Owens  
DeMint John Oxley  
Deutsch Johnson (CT) Packard  
Diaz-Balart Johnson, E. B. Pallone  
Dickey Johnson, Sam Pascarell  
Dicks Jones (NC) Pastor  
Dingell Jones (OH) Paul  
Dixon Kanjorski Payne  
Doggett Kaptur Pease  
Dooley Kasich Peterson (MN)  
Doolittle Kelly Peterson (PA)  
Doyle Kennedy Petri  
Dreier Kildee Phelps  
Duncan Kilpatrick Pickering  
Dunn Kind (WI) Pickett  
Edwards King (NY) Pitts  
Ehlers Kingston Pombo

NAYS—1

Sanford

NOT VOTING—21

Baca Kolbe Salmon  
Barton Lazio Sisisky  
Boswell McIntosh Smith (WA)  
Campbell Murtha Sweeney  
Cannon Pelosi Vento  
Clay Roemer Waters  
Greenwood Rush Wicker

□ 1904

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read:

"A bill to amend the Controlled Substances Act with respect to registration requirements for practitioners who dispense narcotic drugs in schedule III, IV, or V for maintenance treatment or detoxification treatment."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FOSSELLA). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 1999, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

## PIPELINE SAFETY REGULATIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. METCALF) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. METCALF. Mr. Speaker, on June 10, 1999, a liquid gasoline pipeline owned by the Olympic Pipeline Company ruptured and spilled over 200,000