

From the Committee on Resources, for consideration of sections 312, 601, 1501, 2853, 2883, and 3402 of the House bill, and sections 601, 1059, title XIII, 2871, 2893, and 3303 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. YOUNG of Alaska, TAUZIN, and GEORGE MILLER of California.

From the Committee on Commerce, for consideration of sections 601, 725, and 1501 of the House bill, and sections 342, 601, 618, 701, 1073, 1402, 2812, 3133, 3134, 3138, 3152, 3154, 3155, 3167–3169, 3171, 3201, and 3301–3303 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. BLILEY, BARTON of Texas, and DINGELL.

Provided that Mr. BILIRAKIS is appointed in lieu of Mr. BARTON of Texas for consideration of sections 601 and 725 of the House bill, and sections 601, 618, 701, and 1073 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference.

Provided that Mr. OXLEY is appointed in lieu of Mr. BARTON of Texas for consideration of section 1501 of the House bill, and sections 342 and 2812 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference.

From the Committee on Education and the Workforce, for consideration of sections 341, 342, 504, and 1106 of the House bill, and sections 311, 379, 553, 669, 1053, and Title XXXV of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. GOODLING, HILLEARY, and Mrs. MINK of Hawaii.

From the Committee on Government Reform, for consideration of sections 518, 651, 723, 801, 906, 1101–1104, 1106, 1107, and 3137 of the House bill, and sections 643, 651, 801, 806, 810, 814–816, 1010A, 1044, 1045, 1057, 1063, 1069, 1073, 1101, 1102, 1104, 1106–1118, Title XIV, 2871, 2881, 3155, and 3171 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. BURTON of Indiana, SCARBOROUGH, and WAXMAN.

Provided that Mr. HORN is appointed in lieu of Mr. SCARBOROUGH for consideration of section 801 of the House bill and sections 801, 806, 810, 814–816, 1010A, 1044, 1045, 1057, 1063, 1101, Title XIV, 2871, and 2881 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference.

From the Committee on Science, for consideration of sections 1402, 1403, 3161–3167, 3169, and 3176 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. SENSENBRENNER, CALVERT, and GORDON.

Provided that Mrs. MORELLA is appointed in lieu of Mr. CALVERT for consideration of sections 1402, 1403, and 3176 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference.

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consider-

ation of sections 601, 2839, and 2881 of the House bill, and sections 502, 601, and 1072 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. SHUSTER, GILCREST, and BAIRD.

Provided that Mr. PASCRELL is appointed in lieu of Mr. BAIRD for consideration of section 1072 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference.

From the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, for consideration of Sections 535, 738, and 2831 of the House bill, and sections 561–563, 648, 664–666, 671, 672, 682–684, 721, 722, and 1067 of the Senate amendment and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. BILIRAKIS, QUINN, and Ms. BROWN of Florida.

From the Committee on Ways and Means, for consideration of section 725 of the House bill, and section 701 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. ARCHER, THOMAS, and STARK. There was no objection.

□ 1115

PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE—INFRINGEMENT ON CONSTITUTIONAL PREROGATIVES

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, in order to assert the constitutional prerogatives of the House, I rise to a question of privileges of the House, and I offer a resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MILLER of Florida). The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. RES. 568

*Resolved*, That the conference report accompanying H.R. 4516, making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, in the opinion of this House, contravenes the first clause of the seventh section of the first article of the Constitution of the United States and is an infringement of the privileges of this House and that such bill be respectfully recommitted to the committee of conference.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The resolution constitutes a question of the privileges of the House.

MOTION TO TABLE OFFERED BY MR. GOSS

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I offer a preferential motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. GOSS moves to table House Resolution 568.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to table offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GOSS).

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, does this motion to table set aside the constitutional protection that all revenue matters should be coming initially and originate from the House of Representatives?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Adoption of a nondebatable motion to table constitutes a final disposition of the resolution by the House.

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I have a further parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, if indeed the motion to table prevails, would it not, from a historic sense, be the first time, based on parliamentary decisions, it would be the first time that a tax revenue issue would be raised by the other body, and then come over here and this body be disregarded? That is the parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the precedents of the House, the Chair does not put things in historical perspective. That is not a parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I have another parliamentary inquiry. If the motion to table prevails, does it not mean that the other body has violated the Constitution of the United States?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Adoption of a nondebatable motion to table constitutes a final disposition of the pending resolution by the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to table offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GOSS).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 213, noes 212, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 446]

AYES—213

Aderholt	Calvert	Dunn
Armey	Camp	Ehlers
Bachus	Canady	Ehrlich
Baker	Cannon	Emerson
Ballenger	Castle	English
Barr	Chabot	Everett
Barrett (NE)	Chambliss	Fletcher
Bartlett	Chenoweth-Hage	Foley
Bass	Coble	Fossella
Bateman	Coburn	Fowler
Bereuter	Collins	Franks (NJ)
Biggert	Combest	Frelinghuysen
Bilbray	Cook	Galleghy
Bilirakis	Cooksey	Ganske
Bliley	Cox	Gekas
Blunt	Crane	Gibbons
Boehlert	Cubin	Gilchrist
Boehner	Cunningham	Gillmor
Bonilla	Deal	Goode
Bono	DeLay	Goodlatte
Brady (TX)	DeMint	Goodling
Bryant	Diaz-Balart	Goss
Burr	Dickey	Graham
Burton	Doolittle	Cranger
Buyer	Dreier	Green (WI)
Callahan	Duncan	Greenwood

Hansen	McHugh	Sensenbrenner
Hastert	McInnis	Sessions
Hastings (WA)	McKeon	Shadegg
Hayes	Metcalf	Shaw
Hayworth	Mica	Shays
Hefley	Miller (FL)	Sherwood
Heger	Miller, Gary	Shimkus
Hill (MT)	Moran (KS)	Shuster
Hilleary	Morella	Simpson
Hobson	Myrick	Skeen
Hoekstra	Nethercutt	Smith (MI)
Horn	Ney	Smith (NJ)
Hostettler	Northup	Smith (TX)
Houghton	Norwood	Souder
Hulshof	Ose	Spence
Hunter	Oxley	Stearns
Hutchinson	Packard	Stump
Hyde	Paul	Sununu
Isakson	Pease	Sweeney
Istook	Peterson (PA)	Talent
Johnson (CT)	Petri	Tancredo
Johnson, Sam	Pickering	Tauzin
Jones (NC)	Pitts	Taylor (NC)
Kasich	Pombo	Terry
Kelly	Porter	Thomas
King (NY)	Portman	Thornberry
Kingston	Pryce (OH)	Thune
Knollenberg	Quinn	Tiahrt
Kolbe	Radanovich	Toomey
Kuykendall	Regula	Trafficant
LaHood	Reynolds	Upton
Largent	Riley	Vitter
Latham	Rogan	Walden
LaTourette	Rogers	Walsh
Lazio	Rohrabacher	Wamp
Leach	Ros-Lehtinen	Watkins
Lewis (CA)	Roukema	Watts (OK)
Lewis (KY)	Royce	Weldon (FL)
Linder	Ryan (WI)	Weldon (PA)
LoBiondo	Ryun (KS)	Weller
Lucas (OK)	Salmon	Whitfield
Manzullo	Sanford	Wicker
Martinez	Saxton	Wilson
McCollum	Scarborough	Young (AK)
McCrery	Schaffer	Young (FL)

## NOES—212

Abercrombie	DeLaunt	Kaptur
Ackerman	DeLauro	Kennedy
Allen	Deutsch	Kildee
Andrews	Dicks	Kilpatrick
Archer	Dingell	Kind (WI)
Baca	Dixon	Klecicka
Baird	Doggett	Klink
Baldacci	Dooley	Kucinich
Baldwin	Doyle	LaFalce
Barcia	Edwards	Lampson
Barrett (WI)	Engel	Lantos
Becerra	Eshoo	Larson
Bentsen	Etheridge	Lee
Berkley	Evans	Levin
Berman	Farr	Lewis (GA)
Berry	Fattah	Lipinski
Bishop	Filner	Lofgren
Blagojevich	Forbes	Lowey
Blumenauer	Ford	Lucas (KY)
Bonior	Frank (MA)	Luther
Borski	Frost	Maloney (CT)
Boswell	Gejdenson	Maloney (NY)
Boucher	Gephardt	Markey
Boyd	Gonzalez	Mascara
Brady (PA)	Gordon	Matsui
Brown (FL)	Green (TX)	McCarthy (MO)
Brown (OH)	Gutierrez	McCarthy (NY)
Campbell	Gutknecht	McDermott
Capps	Hall (TX)	McGovern
Capuano	Hastings (FL)	McIntyre
Cardin	Hill (IN)	McKinney
Carson	Hilliard	McNulty
Clay	Hinchee	Meehan
Clayton	Hinojosa	Meek (FL)
Clement	Hoeffel	Meeks (NY)
Clyburn	Holden	Menendez
Condit	Holt	Millender-
Conyers	Hooley	McDonald
Costello	Hoyer	Miller, George
Coyne	Inslee	Minge
Cramer	Jackson (IL)	Mink
Crowley	Jackson-Lee	Moakley
Cummings	(TX)	Mollohan
Danner	Jefferson	Moore
Davis (FL)	John	Moran (VA)
Davis (IL)	Johnson, E. B.	Murtha
DeFazio	Jones (OH)	Nadler
DeGette	Kanjorski	Napolitano

Neal	Rothman	Tauscher
Nussle	Royal-Allard	Taylor (MS)
Oberstar	Rush	Thompson (CA)
Obey	Sabo	Thompson (MS)
Olver	Sanchez	Thurman
Ortiz	Sanders	Tierney
Owens	Sandlin	Towns
Pallone	Sawyer	Turner
Pascrell	Schakowsky	Udall (CO)
Pastor	Scott	Udall (NM)
Payne	Serrano	Velazquez
Pelosi	Sherman	Visclosky
Peterson (MN)	Shows	Waters
Phelps	Sisisky	Watt (NC)
Pickett	Skelton	Waxman
Pomeroy	Slaughter	Weiner
Price (NC)	Snyder	Wexler
Rahall	Spratt	Weygand
Ramstad	Stabenow	Wise
Rangel	Stark	Woolsey
Reyes	Stenholm	Wu
Rivers	Strickland	Wynn
Rodriguez	Stupak	
Roemer	Tanner	

## NOT VOTING—10

Barton	Hall (OH)	Vento
Davis (VA)	Jenkins	Wolf
Ewing	McIntosh	
Gilman	Smith (WA)	

□ 1152

Messrs. HILL of Montana, GREENWOOD, PAUL, METCALF, Mrs. EMERSON, and Messrs. RADANOVICH, SANFORD, and JONES of North Carolina changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the motion to lay on the table House Resolution 568 was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4865, SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS TAX RELIEF ACT OF 2000

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 564 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

## H. RES. 564

*Resolved*, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 4865) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the 1993 income tax increase on Social Security benefits. The bill shall be considered as read for amendment. All points of order against the bill and against its consideration are waived. The amendment recommended by the Committee on Ways and Means now printed in the bill shall be considered as adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate on the bill, as amended, equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means; (2) the further amendment printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, if offered by Representative Pomeroy of North Dakota or his designee, which shall be in order without intervention of any point of order, shall be considered as read, and shall be separately debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent; and (3) one mo-

tion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MILLER of Florida). The gentleman from Texas (Mr. SESSIONS) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MOAKLEY); pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, the legislation before us is a structured rule providing for the consideration of H.R. 4865, the Social Security Benefits Tax Relief Act. The rule provides for 1 hour of debate, equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means. The rule waives all points of order against the bill and against its consideration.

The rule provides that the amendment recommended by the Committee on Ways and Means, now printed in the bill, shall be considered as adopted. The rule provides for consideration of the amendment in the nature of a substitute, printed in the Committee on Rules report accompanying the resolution, if offered by the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. POMEROY) or his designee, which shall be considered as read and shall be separately debatable for 1 hour, equally divided by the proponent and an opponent. The rule waives all points of order against the amendment in the nature of a substitute.

Finally, the rule provides one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

Mr. Speaker, passage of this rule will allow the House of Representatives to consider important bipartisan legislation to repeal a misguided tax on Social Security benefits. For most of the program's existence, Social Security has been exempt from Federal income tax. But in 1993, as part of the largest tax increase in American history, President Clinton and Vice President GORE proposed a tax increase on Social Security benefits. They claimed this tax would reduce the Federal budget deficit, at which time it was \$255 billion.

The controversial Clinton-Gore proposal was vigorously debated in this House of Representatives. Opponents of the plan argued that control of Federal spending, not tax increases, was a better way to reduce the budget deficit. At the end of the debate, the Clinton-Gore proposal was passed by a single vote in the Democrat-controlled House. Not one Republican voted for this proposal. In the Senate, Vice President GORE cast the deciding vote, enabling President Clinton to sign this tax increase on senior citizens into law.

Despite passage of the Clinton-Gore tax increase, budget deficits continued,