

September 18, 2000

potential as ethical, involved citizens and life-long learners guided by a professional, compassionate staff who provide a challenging, creative, and meaningful education." With their mission statement in mind, Diegueño offers many programs and services to support the learning and development of middle school age students, including a rigorous core academic program expected by their community and mandated by the state. In addition, their newly networked and technologically equipped campus supports the goals of developing students' technological skills and connecting them to an increasingly global interaction with the world. Their elective program, lunchtime activities, after school programs, classes and sports teams offered in conjunction with the City of Encinitas and the Boys and Girls' Club help students to discover interests which support and enhance their academic efforts. It is indeed Diegueño's goal to show all their students that they are an integral part of our school, a necessary element of the larger surrounding community, and valuable citizens of the world.

IN HONOR OF CAROL VIOLA,
UNITED WAY'S CONGRESSWOMAN
MARY T. NORTON MEMORIAL
AWARD WINNER

HON. ROBERT MENENDEZ

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 18, 2000

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Carol Viola for winning the United Way's Congresswoman Mary T. Norton Memorial Award. The award, which was initiated by United Way of Hudson County in 1990, recognizes those who exhibit a deep commitment to human service as exemplified by Congresswoman Norton during her 13 terms in the House of Representatives (1925-1950). The Congresswoman was a forward-thinker who advocated for government action to help address issues we are still grappling with today, such as day care, fair employment practices, health care for veterans, and inclusion of women in high levels of government service.

Carol Viola has been the cornerstone of support in the Executive Office of the United Way of Tri-State since 1991. The Tri-State United Way conducts the single largest annual workplace campaign in the nation for the benefit of people in need. She began working at Tri-State just four years after it was formed, and she has served the organization's three most recent presidents: Calvin Green, Betty Beene (a 1990 recipient of the Mary T. Norton Award and now President of United Way of America), and Douglas Wams.

Ms. Viola has fulfilled the important responsibility of maintaining and coordinating relationships with United Way of Tri-State's key constituents and stakeholders. These individuals include CEOs and senior executives of Fortune 100 Companies, influential labor leaders and prominent community leaders who serve as Governors and volunteers of Tri-State, and 31 Chief Professional Officers of the participating local United Ways. Through

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

her commitment to excellence and to people, Carol has provided the support that enabled many busy executives to give their time and talents to United Way and those it serves.

Ms. Viola has been happily married to Joe Crum for 13 years. She manages her mother's household and is active in her church, professional women's organizations, and neighborhood nonprofit organizations.

Carol Viola embodies the life work of Congresswoman Mary T. Norton. On behalf of my colleagues in the House of Representatives, I congratulate her for her outstanding service to the community and for carrying on the work of Congresswoman Mary T. Norton.

TRIBUTE TO MANATEE CHAMBER
OF COMMERCE, 2000 CHAMBER OF
THE YEAR

HON. DAN MILLER

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 18, 2000

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, this year, the Florida Chamber of Commerce Executives (FCCE) named the Manatee County Chamber of Commerce, their 2000 Chamber of the Year. Through this and many other notable accomplishments, the strength and spirit of the Manatee Chamber embodies the foundations for economic leadership that our community relies upon. The invaluable service of its pro-Florida, pro-business membership continually enhances our lives and builds a better future for all of Manatee County.

Through its many ventures in the Manatee area, the Manatee Chamber of Commerce has displayed an innovative and effective approach to business and community relations. This approach has been validated by the Chamber's 87% membership retention record. Not only does the Chamber boast a highly successful Economic Development Council, it also touts a rapidly growing menu of business services, including seminars, workshops and roundtable discussion groups. These, along with countless other services provided by the Chamber, are the attributes that make this body the best of its kind in the state of Florida.

I have had the pleasure to work with several members of the Manatee Chamber of Commerce, including the current Chairman Byron Shinn and Immediate Past Chairman Brian Murphy, and can personally testify to the quality of work put forth by the volunteers and staff of this great organization. It makes me proud to have such an outstanding group in Florida's 13th District. I commend the Manatee Chamber of Commerce for its past record and look forward to witnessing its future accomplishments.

18313

MARRIAGE TAX RELIEF RECONCILIATION ACT OF 2000—VETO MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

SPEECH OF

HON. J.C. WATTS, JR.

OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 13, 2000

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of overriding the Clinton/Gore Administration's veto of the Marriage Tax Penalty Relief Reconciliation Act pending before the House today, and urge my colleagues to join me in supporting hard working American families by voting "yes" on this override today.

This is about people. It is about families. It is about hard working moms and dads who work from paycheck to paycheck to make ends meet. Why should the government increase their taxes just because they are married? It not only doesn't make sense, it just isn't right.

And this injustice is not affecting just a few American families. According to the Congressional Budget Office, more than 25 million couples pay an average of \$1,400 a year to the IRS just because they are married. This is unconscionable, and it has to stop.

Mr. Speaker, I am tired of the misleading tirade coming from those whose agenda is to keep taxpayers' money in Washington because they want to spend the federal budget surplus on more government bureaucracy. This bill is not tax relief for the rich. The fact is that marriage penalty relief is middle class tax relief because middle-income families are hit the hardest by this penalty. Most marriage penalties occur when the higher-earning spouse makes between \$20,000 and \$75,000 per year, according to the Congressional Budget Office. If these couples had remained single and just lived together they would not be facing this increased tax penalty. And increasing a couple's taxes just because they have chosen to make a commitment to one another in marriage, and work to build a future together, is just plain wrong.

I firmly believe that the tax revenue surplus is the American people's money, not Washington's. We should start giving back some of this tax surplus to families who work hard to put food on the table, clothe their children, pay their taxes, and who are currently forced to sacrifice their family time to earn a little more money to make ends meet.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting these hardworking moms and dads and vote "yes" to override the Clinton/Gore veto of the Marriage Tax Penalty Relief bill.

CONGRATULATING GUAM'S PUBLIC TELEVISION STATION, KGTF, ON ITS 30TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. ROBERT A. UNDERWOOD

OF GUAM

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 18, 2000

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, there is at least one generation in my district who grew to

adulthood with Kermit the Frog, Miss Piggy, Big Bird, and the Cookie Monster. Although they have probably turned their attention to Nova, Masterpiece Theater, Mystery! and other more adult television fare, their children are now tuning into Sesame Street, Reading Rainbow, Mr. Rogers, Teletubbies, and, of course, Barney, thanks to KGTF, Channel 12, Guam's Public Television Station.

Unlike in times past, when KGTF competed for viewers with only one commercial television station, Guam now enjoys the great variety of programming—but not C-Span, I regret—provided by cable television. As the debate rages here in our nation about the increasing number of cable channels and independent networks and the declining quality of television programming, public television remains unscathed by criticism. In Guam, as here in the States, viewers can always count on high quality shows that are educational as well as entertaining, thanks to KGTF. Despite the overwhelming programming choices available, 24 hours a day, on a multitude of channels, the people of Guam have not abandoned KGTF. As viewers, they tune in time and time again, to watch their favorite shows, shows that air only on public television. As supporters of public television, they open their wallets year after year, to give what they can so that KGTF can continue to serve them.

Mr. Speaker, on October 30, KGTF will celebrate its 30th anniversary. In a place in which commercial television has been available for just over 40 years, KGTF's longevity is not so much a testament to our social addition to television in general, but to the visionary leaders of Guam who established public television in Guam and to the people of Guam who have continued to support it successfully throughout the years. KGTF signed on the air for the first time on October 30, 1970, with a grant for \$150,000 from the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare and \$50,000 from the Government of Guam. It had only five employees who operated out of an old Butler building in Mangilao. In 1974, the 12th Guam Legislature passed P.L. 12-194, establishing the Guam Educational Telecommunications Corporation, a nonprofit public corporation to operate KGTF. In 1997 KGTF won the Guam Developmental Disabilities Council's Media Representative of the Year award for its outstanding services and sensitivity to Guam's disabled community. In 1999, the Micronesia Chapter of the Society of Professional Journalists awarded the station its Professional Achievement and Performance Award for outstanding community service.

Today, KGTF's annual budget is a little over \$1 million. The funding is provided by the Government of Guam, the federal Community Service Grant and private donations. Through good economic times and bad, the people of Guam have never allowed KGTF to sign off the air. This, I believe, is an indication of its value to the community, to a desire it fulfills, and to a service it renders. In 1991, the station purchased a remote broadcast van and in 1994 constructed a large station facility, both of which were funded entirely by contributions.

I am proud to congratulate KGTF's Board of Trustees, Chairman Carlos Baretto, Vice Chairwoman Joleen Flores, Dan Tinsay and Ariel Dimalanta, on the quality of their guid-

ance and leadership. And I gratefully commend General Manager Ginger Underwood, Operations Manager Benny Flores, Engineer Mesegui Diaz, Administrative Officer Lorraine Hernandez, Accounting Technician Tina Poblete, Program Coordinator Dois Gallo, Program Assistant Vickey Manglona, Development Director Sonia Suobiron, Development Assistant Mary Perez, Production Manager John Muna, Studio Supervisor Edmond Cheung, Broadcast Technician Rodney Sapp, Camera Operators Mike Lizama, Curb Crisostomo and Shingpe Wang, and Master Control Operators Jason Fernandez, Reynald La Puebla and Seigfred Cabanday for making it all happen.

Si Yu'os ma'ase, hamyo todos. Maolek che'cho'-miyo para i taotao-ta. Long live KGTF!

ESTUARY RESTORATION ACT OF 2000

SPEECH OF

HON. GEORGE MILLER

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, September 12, 2000

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, the decline in estuary habitats nationwide has been well-documented in the scientific and resource management literature for over 30 years. Worse, we are now finally seeing how ruinous this habitat loss has been to our coastal environment through degraded water quality, depleted commercial and recreational fisheries, and destructive shoreline erosion and subsidence.

Within my own district, the baylands provide some form of food, shelter, or other benefits to over 500 species of fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals. In addition, there are almost as many species of invertebrates in the ecosystem as all the other animals combined. This brings the total number of animal species that use or call the baylands ecosystem home to over one thousand. Unfortunately, this area has lost over 95 percent of its tidal wetlands and continues to be besieged by invasive and aquatic nuisance species.

These impacts are real. Fortunately, we have an opportunity to begin the effort to reverse that trend. H.R. 1775, the Estuary Restoration Act, would provide a reasonable, balanced approach to both preserve remaining estuarine habitats and to facilitate effective, locally-driven estuary restoration.

I commend the Chairman of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, Mr. SHUSTER, and the senior ranking Democrat member, Mr. OBERSTAR, as well as the Chairman of the Committee on Resources, Mr. YOUNG, for their collaborative efforts and cooperation in developing this compromise legislation. I would also like to thank the bill's sponsor, Mr. GILCHREST, for his energy and persistence in pursuing this worthwhile and important bill.

I am glad to see that the bill will include as eligible restoration plans any Federal or State plan developed with the participation of public and private stakeholders. This will mean that many innovative, collaborative plans devel-

oped for the San Francisco Bay estuary, such as the Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals Plan, the San Pablo Baylands Restoration Plan, and the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan will become eligible for project funding.

I am also pleased that non-governmental organizations (NGOs) will be eligible to participate in the program. NGOs, such as Save the Bay and The Bay Institute in the Bay Area, embody the locally driven focus of this legislation. In addition, NGOs contribute valuable matching funds, expertise and local support—all factors critical to the long-term success of estuary restoration projects. I share the concerns raised by my colleague, Mr. OBERSTAR, that the burden placed on these organizations to participate might be excessive. There is little need for further restrictions on NGO participation because the stringent review process within the bill will ensure that only the most outstanding projects are selected and funded. I hope that this will be addressed in conference with the Senate.

I appreciate the willingness of the bill's sponsors to direct the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) as the manager of monitoring data gathered within this program. NOAA has impressive scientific expertise and superb competence in environmental data management. In addition, NOAA programs such as the National Estuarine Research Reserves and Coastal Services Center, will be useful conduits for dissemination of estuary restoration data to coastal resource managers nationwide.

The establishment of an Estuary Habitat Restoration Council within the bill is of paramount importance due to the largely experimental and innovative nature of many estuary restoration techniques. The science of estuary restoration, at present, is imprecise. It is important to recognize that we will have to learn from our mistakes; undoubtedly, not every project will meet expectations. I had hoped to include a more rigorous post-construction monitoring and evaluation process in the bill. In its absence, the Corps would be wise to work closely with the Council to prioritize and select projects based upon successes validated in the field.

In lieu of the recent criticism that has been directed at the Corps, I retain some reservations about the wisdom of Congress authorizing the Corps to take on such a significant expansion of its mission at this time. I am sure we have all been closely following the series of articles that have appeared in the Washington Post this week. Since its inception, the Corps has launched tens of billions of dollars worth of public works projects around the country, many of which have severely damaged the environment because of a lack of oversight.

I am encouraged by the efforts of several colleagues to address this issue, notably Congressman RON KIND, Congresswoman TAMMY BALDWIN and Congressman EARL BLUMENAUER. Public works projects will always be needed, but at the same time we also need to ensure the protection of the environment. Environmental considerations should be taken as seriously as economic ones when analyzing projects. Certainly, the Corps should not approve projects with severe ecological consequences.