

Sensenbrenner	Stenholm	Upton
Serrano	Strickland	Velázquez
Sessions	Stump	Visclosky
Shadegg	Stupak	Vitter
Shaw	Sununu	Walden
Shays	Talent	Walsh
Sherman	Tancredo	Wamp
Sherwood	Tanner	Waters
Shimkus	Tauscher	Watkins
Shows	Tauzin	Watt (NC)
Shuster	Taylor (MS)	Watts (OK)
Simpson	Taylor (NC)	Waxman
Sisisky	Terry	Weiner
Skeen	Thomas	Weldon (FL)
Skelton	Thompson (CA)	Weldon (PA)
Slaughter	Thompson (MS)	Weiler
Smith (MI)	Thornberry	Wexler
Smith (NJ)	Thune	Weygand
Smith (TX)	Thurman	Whitfield
Smith (WA)	Tiahrt	Wicker
Snyder	Tierney	Wilson
Souder	Toomey	Wolf
Spence	Towns	Woolsey
Spratt	Trafficant	Wu
Stabenow	Turner	Wynn
Stark	Udall (CO)	Young (AK)
Stearns	Udall (NM)	

NOT VOTING—22

Berkley	Klink	Paul
Bonior	Lazio	Rangel
Clay	Maloney (CT)	Sweeney
Eshoo	McCollum	Vento
Franks (NJ)	McIntosh	Wise
Gephardt	Miller (FL)	Young (FL)
Hefley	Murtha	
King (NY)	Obey	

□ 1151

So the Senate bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title of the Senate bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to revise and extend programs established under the Ryan White Comprehensive AIDS Resources Emergency Act of 1990, and for other purposes."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained during rollcall vote No. 512. Had I been present I would have voted "yes."

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2941, LAS CIENEGAS NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 610 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 610

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2941) to establish the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area in the State of Arizona. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of

the Committee on Resources. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. In lieu of the amendment recommended by the Committee on Resources now printed in the bill, it shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in the Congressional Record and numbered 1 pursuant to clause 8 of rule XVIII. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. All points of order against that amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. The Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may: (1) postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment; and (2) reduce to five minutes the minimum time for electronic voting on any postponed question that follows another electronic vote without intervening business, provided that the minimum time for electronic voting on the first in any series of questions shall be 15 minutes. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Washington (Mr. HASTINGS) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, H. Res. 610 is an open rule waiving all points of order against the consideration of H.R. 2941, a bill to establish the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area in the State of Arizona.

The rule provides 1 hour of general debate to be equally divided between the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Resources. The rule makes in order as an original bill for the purpose of amendment the amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 1, which shall be open for amendment at any point. The rule waives all points of order against the amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The rule also authorizes the Chair to accord priority in recognition to Mem-

bers who have preprinted their amendments in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. The rule further allows the chairman of the Committee on the Whole to postpone votes during the consideration of the bill and to reduce voting time to 5 minutes on a postponed question if the vote follows a 15-minute vote.

Finally, the rule provides for one motion to recommit, with or without instructions.

H.R. 2941, a bill introduced by the distinguished gentleman from Arizona (Mr. KOLBE), establishes the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area in parts of Pima, Santa Cruz, and Cochise Counties in Arizona. The bill directs the Secretary of the Interior to develop a management plan for the 42,000 acre area which will conserve, protect, and enhance its resources and values.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation also authorizes the Secretary to purchase or exchange necessary acreage for the conservation area from willing sellers, both individuals and from the State of Arizona.

The bill preserves a significant amount of land that is home to an important cross-section of plants and wildlife. It also creates 142,000-plus acre planning district that is an important first step towards providing a biological corridor from the north of Tucson to Mexico for animal movements that are necessary for the long-term viability of some species.

In addition, two of southern Arizona's perennial streams, the Cienega Creek and the Babocamari River, would be protected by this legislation, ensuring a long-term sustainable riparian area.

□ 1200

Land will also be available for human use in ranching, hunting, and recreation.

H.R. 2941 was reported by unanimous consent by the Committee on Resources on September 20, 2000. Accordingly, I urge my colleagues to support both the rule, House Resolution 610, and the underlying bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this open rule, and urge my colleagues to pass it.

The underlying bill comes after extensive negotiations between the bill's supporters and the administration, and would establish the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area located in Arizona.

This land is important for a diverse cross-section of plants and wildlife. The bill creates the 137,000-acre Sonoita Valley Conservation Planning District, which includes the 42,000 acre Las Cienegas National Conservation Area.

Moreover, the bill would provide an important first step to creating a biological corridor that extends from north of Tucson to Mexico for animal movements that are necessary for the long-term viability of some species.

In addition, two of southern Arizona's perennial streams, the Cienega Creek and the Babocomari River, would be protected, ensuring a long-term, sustainable riparian area.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 1 minute to the author of this bill, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. KOLBE).

Mr. KOLBE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this rule for H.R. 2941, the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area Establishment Act.

As the gentleman from Washington said, it is an open rule, and deserves support of all the Members of this body.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 411, nays 0, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 513]

YEAS—411

Abercrombie	Bilbray	Campbell
Ackerman	Billarakis	Canady
Aderholt	Bishop	Cannon
Allen	Blagojevich	Capps
Andrews	Bliley	Capuano
Archer	Blumenauer	Cardin
Armey	Blunt	Carson
Baca	Boehler	Castle
Bachus	Boehner	Chabot
Baker	Bonilla	Chambliss
Baldacci	Bonior	Clayton
Baldwin	Bono	Clement
Ballenger	Borski	Clyburn
Barcia	Boswell	Coble
Barr	Boucher	Coburn
Barrett (NE)	Boyd	Collins
Barrett (WI)	Brady (PA)	Combest
Bartlett	Brady (TX)	Condit
Barton	Brown (FL)	Coyers
Bass	Brown (OH)	Cook
Becerra	Bryant	Cooksey
Bentsen	Burr	Costello
Bereuter	Burton	Cox
Berkley	Buyer	Coyne
Berman	Callahan	Cramer
Berry	Calvert	Crane
Biggert	Camp	Crowley

Cubin	Hyde	Oberstar
Cummings	Inslee	Olver
Cunningham	Isakson	Ortiz
Danner	Istook	Ose
Davis (FL)	Jackson (IL)	Owens
Davis (IL)	Jackson-Lee	Oxley
Davis (VA)	(TX)	Packard
Deal	Jefferson	Pallone
DeFazio	Jenkins	Pascarell
DeGette	John	Pastor
Delahunt	Johnson (CT)	Pease
DeLauro	Johnson, E. B.	Pelosi
DeLay	Johnson, Sam	Peterson (MN)
DeMint	Jones (NC)	Peterson (PA)
Deutsch	Jones (OH)	Petri
Diaz-Balart	Kanjorski	Phelps
Dickey	Kaptur	Pickering
Dicks	Kasich	Pickett
Dingell	Kelly	Pitts
Dixon	Kennedy	Pombo
Doggett	Kildee	Pomeroy
Dooley	Kilpatrick	Porter
Doolittle	Kind (WI)	Portman
Doyle	Kingston	Price (NC)
Dreier	Kleczka	Pryce (OH)
Duncan	Knollenberg	Quinn
Dunn	Kolbe	Radanovich
Edwards	Kucinich	Rahall
Ehlers	Kuykendall	Ramstad
Ehrlich	LaFalce	Rangel
Emerson	LaHood	Regula
Engel	Lampson	Reyes
English	Lantos	Reynolds
Etheridge	Largent	Riley
Evans	Larson	Rivers
Everett	Latham	Rodriguez
Ewing	LaTourette	Roemer
Farr	Leach	Rogan
Fattah	Lee	Rogers
Filner	Levin	Rohrabacher
Fletcher	Lewis (CA)	Ros-Lehtinen
Foley	Lewis (GA)	Rothman
Forbes	Lewis (KY)	Roukema
Ford	Linder	Roybal-Allard
Fossella	Lipinski	Royce
Fowler	LoBiondo	Rush
Frank (MA)	Lofgren	Ryan (WI)
Frelinghuysen	Lowe	Ryun (KS)
Frost	Lucas (KY)	Sabo
Gallely	Lucas (OK)	Salmon
Ganske	Luther	Sanchez
Gejdenson	Maloney (CT)	Sanders
Gekas	Maloney (NY)	Sandlin
Gephardt	Manzullo	Sanford
Gibbons	Markey	Sawyer
Gilchrest	Martinez	Saxton
Gillmor	Mascara	Scarborough
Gilman	Matsui	Schaffer
Gonzalez	McCarthy (MO)	Schakowsky
Goode	McCarthy (NY)	Scott
Goodlatte	McCrery	Sensenbrenner
Gordon	McDermott	Serrano
Goss	McGovern	Sessions
Graham	McHugh	Shadegg
Green (TX)	McInnis	Shaw
Green (WI)	McIntyre	Shays
Greenwood	McKeon	Sherman
Gutierrez	McKinney	Sherwood
Gutknecht	McNulty	Shimkus
Hall (OH)	Hall (TX)	Shows
Hall (TX)	Hansen	Shuster
Hansen	Hastings (FL)	Simpson
Hastings (FL)	Hastings (WA)	Sisisky
Hastings (WA)	Hayes	Skeel
Hays	Hayworth	Skelton
Hayworth	Herger	Slaughter
Hecher	Hill (IN)	Smith (MI)
Hill (IN)	Hill (MT)	Smith (NJ)
Hill (MT)	Hilleary	Smith (TX)
Hilleary	Hilliard	Smith (WA)
Hilliard	Hinche	Snyder
Hinche	Hinojosa	Souder
Hinojosa	Hobson	Spence
Hobson	Hoefel	Spratt
Hoefel	Hoekstra	Stark
Moran (KS)	Holden	Stearns
Moran (VA)	Holt	Stenholm
Morrell	Holroyd	Strickland
Morella	Hooley	Stump
Myrick	Horn	Stupak
Nadler	Hostettler	Sununu
Napolitano	Houghton	Talent
Neal	Hoyer	Tancredo
Nethercutt	Hulshof	Tanner
Ney	Hunter	Tauscher
Northup	Hutchinson	Tauzin
Norwood		
Nussle		

Taylor (MS)	Udall (CO)	Weldon (FL)
Taylor (NC)	Udall (NM)	Weldon (PA)
Terry	Upton	Weller
Thomas	Velázquez	Wexler
Thompson (CA)	Visclosky	Weygand
Thompson (MS)	Vitter	Whitfield
Thornberry	Walden	Wicker
Thune	Walsh	Wilson
Thurman	Wamp	Wolf
Tiahrt	Waters	Woolsey
Tierney	Watkins	Wu
Toomey	Watt (NC)	Wynn
Towns	Watts (OK)	Young (AK)
Trafficant	Waxman	Young (FL)
Turner	Weiner	

Baird	King (NY)	Paul
Chenoweth-Hage	Klink	Payne
Clay	Lazio	Stabenow
Eshoo	McCollum	Sweeney
Franks (NJ)	McIntosh	Vento
Goodling	Miller (FL)	Wise
Granger	Murtha	
Hefley	Obey	

NOT VOTING—22

□ 1220

Ms. MCCARTHY of Missouri changed her vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A further message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment a joint resolution of the House of the following title:

H.J. Res. 110. Joint Resolution making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2001, and for other purposes.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a point of a personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. QUINN). The Chair has been apprised of the predicate on which the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER) seeks recognition and finds (in consonance with the precedents cited in section 708 of the House Rules and Manual) that it qualifies as a question of personal privilege under rule IX.

The gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, first, I want to thank the Members of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct for concluding what has been a 4-year nightmare to myself and my family. In fact, 4 years, 1 month and 31 days ago, a group associated with Ralph Nader filed an ethics complaint against me.

I have agreed to accept a single letter of reproof to settle this matter. Now, this letter of reproof deals with matters of appearances of improprieties to which I acknowledge. I am very pleased that the committee dismissed the wild and inaccurate charges originally filed by the Nader group. I am very pleased