

IV milk under a Federal milk marketing order;

“(C) the frequency of the required reporting under paragraph (1)(A)(i) does not exceed the frequency used to establish minimum prices for Class III or Class IV milk under a Federal milk marketing order; and

“(D) the Secretary may exempt from all reporting requirements any manufacturer that processes and markets less than 1,000,000 pounds of dairy products per year.

“(c) ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall promulgate such regulations as are necessary to ensure compliance with, and otherwise carry out, this subtitle.

“(2) CONFIDENTIALITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise directed by the Secretary or the Attorney General for enforcement purposes, no officer, employee, or agent of the United States shall make available to the public information, statistics, or documents obtained from or submitted by any person under this subtitle other than in a manner that ensures that confidentiality is preserved regarding the identity of persons, including parties to a contract, and proprietary business information.

“(B) RELATION TO OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no facts or information obtained under this subtitle shall be disclosed in accordance with section 552 of title 5, United States Code.

“(3) VERIFICATION.—The Secretary shall take such actions as the Secretary considers necessary to verify the accuracy of the information submitted or reported under this subtitle.

“(4) ENFORCEMENT.—

“(A) UNLAWFUL ACT.—It shall be unlawful and a violation of this subtitle for any person subject to this subtitle to willfully fail or refuse to provide, or delay the timely reporting of, accurate information to the Secretary in accordance with this subtitle.

“(B) ORDER.—After providing notice and an opportunity for a hearing to affected persons, the Secretary may issue an order against any person to cease and desist from continuing any violation of this subtitle.

“(C) APPEAL.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The order of the Secretary under subparagraph (B) shall be final and conclusive unless an affected person files an appeal of the order of the Secretary in United States district court not later than 30 days after the date of the issuance of the order.

“(ii) FINDINGS.—A finding of the Secretary under this paragraph shall be set aside only if the finding is found to be unsupported by substantial evidence.

“(D) NONCOMPLIANCE WITH ORDER.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—If a person subject to this subtitle fails to obey an order issued under this paragraph after the order has become final and unappealable, or after the appropriate United States district court has entered a final judgment in favor of the Secretary, the United States may apply to the appropriate United States district court for enforcement of the order.

“(ii) ENFORCEMENT.—If the court determines that the order was lawfully made and duly served and that the person violated the order, the court shall enforce the order.

“(iii) CIVIL PENALTY.—If the court finds that the person violated the order, the person shall be subject to a civil penalty of not more than \$10,000 for each offense.

“(5) FEES.—The Secretary shall not charge or assess a user fee, transaction fee, service charge, assessment, reimbursement fee, or any other fee under this subtitle for—

“(A) the submission or reporting of information;

“(B) the receipt or availability of, or access to, published reports or information; or

“(C) any other activity required under this subtitle.

“(6) RECORDKEEPING.—Each person required to report information to the Secretary under this subtitle shall maintain, and make available to the Secretary, on request, original contracts, agreements, receipts, and other records associated with the sale or storage of any dairy products during the 2-year period beginning on the date of the creation of the records.

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.”.

Mr. KIND. Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight to share my strong support for S. 2773—the Dairy Marketing Enhancement Act of 2000. To our nation's dairy farmers this legislation is commonly referred to as the mandatory price reporting bill. This legislation was passed by the Senate earlier today. Identical legislation, H.R. 5495, was introduced by myself, Congressman SIMPSON and others. This legislation is urgently needed to restore producer confidence in the dairy market following recent cheese and butter price/inventory reporting fiascoes that sent markets plunging.

As my colleagues who represent dairy farmers know, recent reporting errors in cheese and butter stocks have highlighted the need to make reporting of storable dairy products mandatory, verifiable and enforceable. A Chicago Mercantile Exchange warehouse reporting error resulted in a sizable inventory adjustment and caused a 10 cent drop in the double a butter price.

This latest inventory reporting error came less than a year after a similar error with the U.S. Department of Agriculture cheese inventory. Following that reporting error cheese prices dropped within a week to their lowest levels in almost a decade. These events have caused a great deal of concern among our nation's dairy producers.

Under current law, manufacturers of dairy products voluntarily provide the USDA with the amount and price of dairy commodities (cheese and butter) that the manufacturer has sold during a given month.

This information is then used by the USDA to establish the minimum monthly prices under the federal milk marketing order system. This legislation will foster a more accurate price and inventory reporting system for dairy products and enable farmers to base business decisions on the most accurate information.

By requiring mandatory reporting, dairy producers will be given more accurate, complete and timely market information. This information will lead to a better price discovery for all dairy products and allow producers and other market participants to make fully informed business decisions with respect to the marketing of raw milk.

Mr. Speaker, since the beginning of the calendar year, dairy farmers have experienced excruciating low milk prices. These inhospitable market conditions have resulted in the loss of 3-to-4 family dairy farmers in my home state of Wisconsin each day. With the loss of these farmers, the economies of our rural communities are also placed under extreme financial pressure.

While this legislation is no panacea for ailing milk prices, it will go a long way in improving prevailing attitude and restore some much needed optimism.

It is for this reason that I ask all of my colleagues to join me in passing this simple but important piece of legislation.

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of S. 2733. The bill represents a consensus among processor and producer groups. It will benefit the entire industry.

Mr. Speaker, under recently reformed Federal milk marketing orders, monthly minimum prices are determined based on market prices for manufactured dairy products, including nonfat dry milk, butter, cheddar cheese, and whey. USDA determines those product prices by surveying manufacturers. The responses are voluntary and USDA has limited authority to verify accuracy.

Mr. Speaker, because the determination of accurate market prices is key to establishing milk orders that are reflective of supply and demand, processors have agreed to subject themselves to the requirements that will result from the passage of this bill. The bill requires that USDA use the current survey format as a starting point for mandating reporting. For many processors, this will mean that little will change with the establishment of the mandatory program.

Mr. Speaker, in order to ensure accuracy, the bill allows the Secretary to require that reporting companies make their records available for Department audit. Any willful and intentional violation of requirements to make accurate and timely reports is punishable by a civil fine of up to \$20,000 under the terms of the bill.

The bill also requires that USDA guard the confidentiality of information from each reporting company.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support S. 2733.

The Senate bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on S. 2773.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Idaho?

There was no objection.

□ 1815

#### SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. OSE). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 1999, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.