

Jackson-Lee (TX)	Meehan	Sanchez
Jefferson	Meek (FL)	Sanders
John	Meeks (NY)	Sandlin
Johnson, E.B.	Menendez	Sawyer
Jones (OH)	Millender-McDonald	Schakowsky
Kanjorski	Miller, George	Scott
Kaptur	Minge	Serrano
Kennedy	Mink	Sherman
Kildee	Moakley	Shows
Kilpatrick	Mollohan	Sisisky
Kind (WI)	Moore	Skelton
King (NY)	Moran (VA)	Slaughter
Kleczka	Murtha	Smith (NJ)
Kucinich	Nadler	Smith (WA)
LaFalce	Napolitano	Snyder
Lampson	Oberstar	Stabenow
Lantos	Obey	Stark
Larson	Olver	Stenholm
Lee	Ortiz	Strickland
Levin	Pallone	Tanner
Lewis (GA)	Pascrell	Tauscher
Lipinski	Pastor	Taylor (MS)
Lofgren	Payne	Thompson (CA)
Lowe	Pelosi	Thurman
Lucas (KY)	Peterson (MN)	Tierney
Luther	Phelps	Towns
Maloney (CT)	Pickett	Turner
Maloney (NY)	Pomeroy	Udall (CO)
Markey	Price (NC)	Udall (NM)
Mascara	Rahall	Velázquez
Matsui	Rangel	Visclosky
McCarthy (MO)	Reyes	Waters
McCarthy (NY)	Rivers	Watt (NC)
McDermott	Rodriguez	Weiner
McGovern	Roemer	Wexler
McHugh	Rothman	Weygand
McIntyre	Roybal-Allard	Woolsey
McKinney	Rush	Wu
McNulty	Sabo	Wynn

NOT VOTING—26

Blagojevich	Klink	Packard
Brady (PA)	LaTourette	Peterson (PA)
Campbell	Lazio	Spratt
Chenoweth-Hage	Lewis (CA)	Stupak
Crowley	McCollum	Talent
Danner	McIntosh	Thompson (MS)
Engel	Metcalf	Waxman
Franks (NJ)	Neal	Weldon (PA)
Hoekstra	Owens	

□ 1309

Mr. HORN changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A further message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 5178. An act to require changes in the bloodborne pathogens standard in effect under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970.

The message also announced that the Senate has passed with amendment in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 2498. An act to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for recommendations of the Secretary of Health and Human Services regarding the placement of automatic external defibrillators in Federal buildings in order to improve survival rates of individuals who experience cardiac arrest in such buildings, and to establish protections from civil liability arising from the emergency use of the devices.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 2335

Mr. INSLEE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove my name as a cosponsor of H.R. 2335.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 4942, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 653 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 653

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 4942) making appropriations for the government of the District of Columbia and other activities chargeable in whole or in part against the revenues of said District for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes. All points of order against the conference report and against its consideration are waived. The conference report shall be considered as read.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Georgia (Mr. LINDER) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. FROST), pending which I yield myself such time as I might consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, H. Res. 653 is a typical rule providing for consideration of H.R. 4942, the conference report for the District of Columbia Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2001. The rule waives all points of order against the conference report and its consideration, and provides that the conference report shall be considered as read.

The House rules provide 1 hour of general debate, divided equally between the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations, and one motion to recommit, with or without instructions, as is the right of the minority members of the House.

I want to briefly discuss the conference report that this rule makes in order. The conference report appropriates \$445 million for the District of Columbia, and it appropriates \$37.5 billion for the Departments of Commerce, Justice and State, the Federal Judiciary, and 18 related agencies.

□ 1315

For the District of Columbia, the bill provides \$17 million for the college as-

sistance, \$5 million to help move children from foster care to adoptive families, \$1 million for pediatric health clinics, and provides for the largest ever drug testing and treatment program. These appropriations go directly to improving the lives of the District's residents.

The bill provides a \$384 million increase for the DEA, the FBI, and the U.S. Attorneys to ensure that our Federal law enforcers have the tools that they need in the 21st century. The bill provides an additional \$548 million for the Immigration and Naturalization Service to ensure the safety of our borders and the efficiency of our immigration process.

For local and State law enforcement, the bill appropriates \$4.7 billion, a total that includes dollars for law enforcement block grants and funding for Violence Against Women Act programs.

Equally important for the safety of our people, the bill provides the State Department with \$6.9 billion. This total, more than the President requested, will ensure worldwide security improvements at our embassies to ensure the safety of U.S. personnel. The bill also provides full funding for our current year United Nations assessments.

I might add, it is the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS), chairman for the subcommittee, whose own interest in worldwide safety of our embassies has held sway in all of these debates and provided the funding for these embassies.

Mr. Speaker, I am sad to say that I have heard that the President intends to veto this bill, he intends to stop this money for local law enforcement, money for Federal law enforcement, money for the residents of the District of Columbia, money for the safety of our embassies, and money for the United Nations.

Mr. Speaker, do my colleagues know why he has threatened to veto this bill? Because it does not contain language to provide mass amnesty for those who have flouted U.S. law and come to this country illegally. Such language was not included in the House-passed bill. Such language was not included in any Senate version. Yet, the President today seems to be insisting that it is his way or the highway.

He seems to be saying today that he wants to provide amnesty to law breakers rather than provide funding to law enforcers. Rather than provide the funding to those who protect our borders, he wants to provide amnesty to those who have illegally crossed them.

See, Mr. Speaker, the President is insisting on a rider on the appropriations bill, precisely the same kind of legislative rider that caused him to veto, 5 years ago, a continuing resolution and