

March 9, 2000

independence from the rule of the former Soviet Union; and H.J. Res. 86—Recognizing the 50th anniversary of the Korean War and the service by members of the Armed Forces.

HONORING NANNIE PARKS ROGERS AS THE 1999 NCNW APPRECIATION AWARD RECIPIENT

HON. ROSA L. DeLAURO

OF CONNECTICUT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 9, 2000

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, it gives me great pleasure to rise today to join the New Haven National Council of Negro Women in posthumously honoring my good friend, Nannie Parks Rogers, with their annual appreciation award.

Each year the NCNW of New Haven recognizes outstanding men, women, and youngsters for their efforts on behalf of our community. These annual awards honor individuals who have reached out to the community and dedicated themselves to the continued improvement and enhancement of Greater New Haven.

Nan Rogers was an extraordinary figure who enriched the lives of everyone she touched. Spending more than forty years in the field of education, Nan worked closely with people as both an educator and counselor. Her dedication and strong belief in the vital importance of education led her through an unparalleled career. Nan valued the opportunities her career offered—from young children beginning their formal education, to teens as they made their choices about life, and finally to adults returning to college and restructuring their lives.

A longtime resident of the Newhallville neighborhood in New Haven, Nan was an active member in many organizations throughout the city. Among the myriad of activities she was involved in were her memberships in St. Andrew's Episcopal Church, the National Council of Negro Women, the Mary B. Ashford Adult Services Center, the NAACP, the Business and Professional Women's Club, and the Inner City Day Care Council, Inc. Nan is also credited as a founder of the African American Women's Agenda, a community based group whose goal is to address the issues affecting African American women and to ensure that their voices are heard, both locally and nationally. Nan was a true advocate for her community, striving to enhance the quality of life for our children and families.

Sadly, Nan passed away in March of this year at the age of 70. I am fortunate enough to have known Nan and blessed to have called her my friend. I would like to extend my sincere sympathies to her daughter, Robin, grandchildren, Marcus and Sarah, family, and friends. Nan will certainly be missed but her contributions will not be forgotten. I am truly honored to stand today to pay tribute to Nannie Parks Rogers as the recipient of the 1999 NCNW Appreciation Award Recipient.

## EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

SENIOR CITIZENS FREEDOM TO WORK ACT OF 1999

SPEECH OF

HON. BENJAMIN A. GILMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 1, 2000

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to strongly support H.R. 5, The Senior Citizens Freedom to Work Act of 2000. I ask my colleagues to join me in supporting this worthwhile piece of legislation.

This objective of this bill is simple and straightforward: it would totally remove the future earnings limit for working seniors who receive Social Security.

For too many years, those senior citizens, aged 65–69, who chose to continue to work, have had their Social Security benefits deducted by one dollar for every three dollars earned once their earnings went over the limit. For many years, this limit was \$12,500 annually.

The 104th Congress made a much needed change in 1997, by raising the limit to \$30,000 by 2002.

I have long believed that more needs to be done on this issue. Ever since coming to Washington, in the 93rd Congress, I have introduced legislation to either raise the earnings limit, or eliminate it, altogether. I believe that repeal of this regulation is one of the most effective things we in Congress can do to show our seniors that we recognize the value of their contributions to both our Nation's economy and to the character of our individual communities.

The Social Security earnings limit is a relic from the Great Depression era, when concern over mass unemployment led many to believe that the imposition of the limit would prevent retired individuals from competing with younger workers for scarce jobs. While the limit's utility in the 1930s is debatable, most everyone agrees with the argument that it has no place in today's work environment.

The earnings limit only serves to discourage seniors from working and diminishes their potential impact on society. It is a condescending regulation that conveys the message that seniors have nothing to contribute and are better off not serving in the work force. In doing this, it both reduces the standard of living for working seniors, as well as rob the country of the valuable experience and workplace skills of those senior citizens who, because of the earnings limit, forego returning to the workplace.

Thanks to revolutionary advances in the field of medicine, Americans are living longer than ever before in our Nation's history. Consequently, senior citizens are the fastest growing component of our country's population.

Moreover, the U.S. economy is currently running at very close to full employment. While the unemployment rate is at a historic low, demand for finished goods shows no signs of abating. Employers recognize this, and are searching for ways to address this challenge. Many have turned to senior citizens, who are a vast, largely untapped, labor resource. Consequently, recruitment of senior citizens by private industry is on the rise, and shows more signs of increasing in the future.

2677

Given this, it simply makes no sense to maintain an arbitrary earnings limit that penalizes those individuals of retirement age who wish to continue being productive members of the work force. Nobody who wishes to enjoy retirement should be forced to work, however, those who do work should not be unfairly penalized for doing so.

Our senior citizens have their own unique and invaluable contributions to make to our society as a whole. I have long encouraged my colleagues in Congress to recognize and reward this initiative, rather than penalize it by clinging to outmoded regulatory relics.

For far too long, the poor budgetary environment made repeal of this limit a practical impossibility. Today's environment of growing surpluses has knocked away this last obstacle to reform. We need to seize this opportunity to provide simple, but effective reform for our working seniors.

Moreover, while important, the repeal of this limit should only be the first step towards improving the economic welfare of our senior citizens. Congress still needs to repeal the earnings limit for those seniors aged 62–64, and this debate should be the prelude to a full review of the taxes levied on our senior citizens, with the goal of repealing all taxes on Social Security benefits, which in effect are a discriminatory form of double taxation.

I am pleased to see that the President has finally stated his public support for the elimination of the earnings limit, and I commend my colleagues on the Ways and Means Committee for their diligence and attention to this issue in their recent favorable consideration of this bill.

I ask my colleagues to join me in supporting this timely, and important legislation.

HONORING THE SOUTH BAY WOMEN OF THE YEAR

HON. STEVEN T. KUYKENDALL

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 9, 2000

Mr. KUYKENDALL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to some exceptional women from my district being honored tomorrow as the South Bay Women of the Year. The honorees are Mrs. Katharine Ward Clemmer, the Honorable Katy Geissert, Ms. Jill Gomes, Mrs. Renee Henry, Mrs. Pamela Kenoyer, Mrs. Elaine Klessig, Mrs. Mary Jane Schoenheider, and Mrs. Darla Voorhees.

This honor is given to several remarkable women each year by the Switzer Center School and Clinical Services located in the City of Torrance, which serves children with learning, emotional, or social challenges. The 2000 South Bay Women of the Year Awards are presented to women who are making a difference in the lives of others. These individuals are being recognized for selflessly giving their time and efforts to improve the community. They are making an impact in the lives of others, not because they have to, but because they want to.

I thank the Switzer Center for recognizing these women and their significant accomplishments. I commend these eight women for their