

benefit of finally doing away with this antiquated law deserves credit; and I do not mind at all Democrats, Republicans, everybody in the House coming to the floor and taking credit for doing this.

It is certainly a happy day for seniors in this country, and I think a happy day for the Congress to finally do something that makes a lot of good old-fashioned common sense to all of us in this country but particularly our seniors, our Social Security recipients.

I thank the Chair for yielding and encourage him to keep up the good work.

Mr. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Georgia (Mr. BISHOP).

Mr. BISHOP. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time.

Madam Speaker, I feel it is a blessing that many people today are able to continue working and leading productive lives when they reach their golden years. That is why I urge my colleagues to support the Senate amendments to this bill.

Productivity helps give meaning to life. For many it helps prolong life.

□ 1745

We should honor our seniors, not deny them what is rightfully theirs. The earnings penalty is a disincentive to work. In today's world, many seniors need the extra income, particularly when burdened with the high cost of prescription drugs and other essential needs. With so many seniors needing every single penny, Madam Speaker, we must help them in any way we can.

It is about time that we reach out and help our mothers, our fathers, and all those who have helped to shape this Nation. Currently, the amount of income withheld from Georgia beneficiaries exceeds \$91.2 million yearly and more than \$4.2 billion is withheld nationally. This measure will not only put money in the pockets of nearly 17,000 Georgians but more than 700,000 seniors nationwide.

Let us send this bill to the President and eliminate this burdensome earnings penalty.

Mr. SHAW. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I would again just urge my colleagues to vote for the conference report. Only two changes were made that were technical in nature. Obviously, we want to move this bill on to the President, who strongly supports this legislation.

Again, I want to commend my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for a job well done and for the bipartisan cooperation I think that we saw on both sides of the aisle. That is why we were able to get 422 votes when the bill left

the House. I am sure the vote will be unanimous here.

So, again, I urge a yes vote.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SHAW. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, when I was in my district this last weekend, an older lady was working where we were eating, and she was waiting on tables. I had helped her some years ago with a matter concerning her son, who is very badly retarded on an SSI matter.

I mentioned it to her, and I asked her her age. Her age is a little above 65 but below 70. She is working waiting on tables, very hard work for someone that age, on her feet all day long, never complains. And yet we are taxing her at such an unconscionable rate. I told her that we were going to be passing this and that she would not only no longer be penalized but that she was going to receive back the penalties that she has incurred from the first of this year.

I do not know whether she really believed me or not, but I am going to be very pleased to go home and tell her that indeed we did. And then I will go home again and tell her indeed that the President joined with this Congress and signed this great piece of legislation.

This is a first step, only a first step, towards Social Security reform, but it is one that is purely one of fairness. It is so unfair for us to have continued to penalize older workers just simply because they were between the age of 65 and 70, saying that they could not keep their entire benefit. So many of them had to work. Whether they were waiting on tables, whether they were working in construction, no matter what they were doing, these wonderful people were working, many because they just wanted to work and many because, as the case of Mary, she had to work.

This is very important that we stay together on this legislation. And I also want to compliment the other body. That is something we do not hear very often in this House is compliments for the other body, but they kept this legislation clean.

The President asked for it to be clean. We asked for it to be clean, and they obliged us and they passed a clean bill. So I think this is really a landmark day for this House. We are coming together in complete cooperation with the Democrats in the White House and with the Republicans controlling the legislative branch.

It is a wonderful day, and I would urge all Members to vote yes and make this again a unanimous statement by this House of Representatives showing our commitment to American seniors.

Again, I want to thank the gentleman from California (Mr. MATSUI), the ranking member on the Democratic side, and the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL).

Of course, again, I want to compliment the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARCHER), who has steadfastly stood for elimination of the earnings penalty for many, many years now, as he demonstrated on the House floor earlier.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT). Pursuant to the order of the House of today, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW) to concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 5.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. SHAW. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 6 p.m.

Accordingly (at 5 o'clock and 51 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until approximately 6 p.m.

□ 1802

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT) at 6 o'clock and 2 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will now put the question on the motion to concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 5 and on each motion to suspend the rules on which further proceedings were postponed earlier today in the following order:

H.R. 2412, by the yeas and nays;

House Concurrent Resolution 292, by the yeas and nays;

House Concurrent Resolution 269, by the yeas and nays;

Concurring in Senate amendment to H.R. 5, by the yeas and nays.

The Chair may reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first such vote in this series. The Chair intends to conduct this series of four votes as one 15-minute vote followed by two 5-minute votes followed in turn by another 15-minute vote.