The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 380, nays 19, answered “present” 1, not voting 34, as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. HOEKSTRA) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4079, as amended, on which to revise and extend their report with President Clinton. I so moved.

Mr. Speaker, I want the record to reflect that had I been present for the vote on H.R. 4079, requiring an Audit for the Department of Education, I would have voted “yea.”

PERSONAL EXPLANATION
Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent on a matter of critical importance and missed the following votes:

On page of the Journal, I would have voted “yea.”

On the bill, H.R. 4079, to require the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a comprehensive fraud audit of the Department of Education, introduced by the gentleman from Michigan, Mr. HOEKSTRA, I would have voted “yea.”

PERSONAL EXPLANATION
Mr. BALDACCI. Mr. Speaker, this morning, I was unavoidably detained at the White House at the release of a rural prescription drug coverage report with President Clinton. I missed rollcall vote 247 (approving the journal) and rollcall vote 248 (passage of H.R. 4079). Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on both.

GENERAL LEAVE
Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members who may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their report with President Clinton. I missed rollcall vote 247 (approving the journal) and rollcall vote 248 (passage of H.R. 4079). Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on both.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?