designer and freelance artist, then moved into the education field where she has stayed for the past twenty years. She has been teaching art to students of all ages and abilities, including the Primary, Secondary, and University levels. Throughout her career, Ms. Barrett-Hayes has been honored with a variety of awards. Just this past year, she was given the Christa McAuliffe Fellowship Award. In 1996 she was named Florida Art Educator of the Year, and the year before Florida State University School also named her Teacher of the Year.

Debi is also the National Art Education Association Secondary Division Director and was one of the first art teachers to obtain the status of National Board Certified Teacher. Her commitment to advocating the importance of art on the national level has been impressive throughout her career. She has successfully written numerous grant requests, and has brought in over $400,000 in additional funds for her school district. Conducting over 300 workshops and being invited to speak on the state, national, and international level certainly distinguishes her remarkable career.

The greatest reflection of an educator's career is when they are recognized by their peers and students. Countless colleagues, parents and students have eagerly stepped forward to praise the work of Debi Barrett-Hayes. They are impressed with her rapport with students and with her ability to integrate art into the lives of those she teaches. She uses history, science and culture to bring about a greater understanding of the visual arts. Other impressive attributes to her career are the successes her students experience through the awards and scholarships they have received for their talents. The need for caring and effective educators in today's society is extremely important, and honoring those who have dedicated their lives to reinforcing a system of quality education is why I rise today. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, we join Debi Barrett-Hayes' family, colleagues, students and friends in honoring her as she is inducted into the National Teachers Hall of Fame.

IN HONOR OF CORNUCOPIA, INC. AND NATURE’S BIN
HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH
OF OHIO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Wednesday, June 14, 2000

Mr. KUCINICH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Cornucopia and Nature’s Bin on the occasion of their 25th anniversary.

Cornucopia, a nonprofit organization, helps people with disabilities achieve successful integration into the workplace. Since 1975, this organization has devoted its time on training programs in their natural food store, Nature’s Bin. Originally known as “The Bin,” this shop started as a humble little storefront on Madison Avenue in a section of Lakewood known as “Birdtown.” At the time, The Bin only sold produce. Since then, Nature’s Bin has become the training site for Cornucopia’s vocational programs for people with disabilities. Through encouragement and direction, Nature’s Bin has helped bring many disabled persons into the workplace. It is an important task that they have undertaken. Upon graduation from one of Cornucopia’s training programs, a person can enter the workforce as a skilled and confident individual.

It is evident that Cornucopia and Nature’s Bin has, over the years, played a crucial role in the community, and that its many years of service have been an invaluable contribution. Cornucopia and Nature’s Bin will be celebrating its 25th anniversary June 23rd through June 25th. The celebration will include several speakers throughout the weekend and will be capped with a late afternoon of jazz.

My fellow colleagues, please join me in honoring Cornucopia and Nature’s Bin for the service they have provided to those with disabilities for 25 years.

OUR CONSTITUTION PROTECTS ITS DEFENDERS
HON. TOM DeLAY
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Wednesday, June 14, 2000

Mr. DeLAY. Mr. Speaker, I am proud to be introducing today the “American Servicemembers Protection Act of 2000”. This legislation will protect our Armed Services from being prosecuted by the ill-conceived International Criminal Court which the United States has refused to join.

In some parts of America, national sovereignty is still taken seriously. Today, we take a strong step to protect the men and women who protect U.S. from an extra-constitutional monster that could very easily be abused.

The International Criminal Court is a threat to our national interests. Under this system, American servicemembers could become pawns for hostile powers seeking revenge against U.S. policymakers.

We must not allow the International Criminal Court to exert authority over our fighting forces. Administration officials admit that our armed forces could be subjected to the ICC’s jurisdiction through peacekeeping, humanitarian and other missions. That means Americans could be prosecuted or imprisoned by the court even though we never signed the treaty. This we cannot allow.

The administration refused to sign this treaty because of the threat it poses to our military personnel. This bill is a reasonable measure that gives the President the necessary tools to protect U.S. from a deeply flawed proposal.

If the President ever signed and the Senate ever ratified this treaty, then this bill will become null and void. In the meantime, we must meet our responsibility to protect our armed services from the whims of a new international bureaucracy.

American men and women in uniform take an oath to defend our Constitution from all threats, foreign and domestic. At a minimum, our soldiers, sailors, and airmen deserve all of the protections granted to them by the great document they swear to preserve.

What if we do nothing?

Under its terms, Americans could be brought before the ICC’s court and tried without important rights. They could be denied a trial by jury. The court could compel Americans to provide self-incriminating testimony. And it could deny them the right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses that testify against them.

If we don’t act to protect Americans, this court will assume unto itself powers over our citizens that the Constitution forbids. Our first duty as Members of Congress is to protect our Constitution.

Turning a blind eye to the threat posed by this International Court could constrain the options available to American officials. We have no idea what threats the future holds. Can we risk allowing the threat of actions by this court to water down our nation’s response to acts of terrorism, the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and aggression against our vital interests and allies?

Under this treaty, an American President could be tried before an international court if the prosecutor decided that an American foreign policy decision was unjustified.

This bill protects Americans in several important ways. First, it stops federal, state, and local governments from assisting the ICC. It stops U.S. officials from arresting or extraditing suspects for the ICC. It also prevents U.S. entities from performing searches and seizures. In short, this bill protects Americans from all the ways the ICC could intrude into their lives.

The bill also stops U.S. forces from taking part in missions that would expose them to the reach of this court. U.S. forces could still be deployed if the President certifies to Congress that exemptions to prosecution are in place to protect our forces. The bill also safeguards our national interests by denying classified data to the ICC.

Finally, this bill authorizes the President to use whatever means necessary to rescue Americans who are detained under the authority of the ICC.

The Clinton administration is continuing to seek revisions to the ICC treaty to protect our armed forces from the court’s jurisdiction. This legislation should reinforce the administration’s efforts by making clear to those countries that support the ICC what the future will hold if American concerns about the court are not satisfactorily addressed.

Mr. Speaker, America is not ready to timidly cede her sovereignty to an unaccountable, international entity that is not bound to respect our Constitution, and that we have refused to join. Members should support this bill and defend our first principles.

INTRODUCTION OF H. CON. RES. 352
HON. BENJAMIN A. GILMAN
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Wednesday, June 14, 2000

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I submit for the Record the text of House Concurrent Resolution 352, a resolution I am today introducing to express the concern of the Congress of the United States with regard to the increasing intimidation and manipulation of the Russian media by the Russian government, its officials and agencies.

Mr. Speaker, this resolution makes it clear that the Congress is very concerned over a
number of things that the Russian government has done—or, at times, failed to do—with regard to freedom of the press in Russia. Very little privatization has actually taken place, which comes to major sectors of the media in Russia. Enterprises such as large printing and publishing houses, newspaper distribution companies, and nationwide television frequencies and broadcasting facilities have been only partially privatized, if they have been privatized at all. In the context of the extensive privatization of state-owned enterprises that has taken place in recent years in Russia, the failure to more extensively privatize key segments of the media is inexplicable. That failure, however, has allowed the Russian government to continue to exert an immense influence over the media at all levels, an influence that we have seen employed, blatantly and cynically, for political ends in the recent parliamentary and presidential elections in Russia.

Beyond the manipulation of the media that took place in the context of the recent Russian elections, this resolution points out that the Russian government and its officials and agencies have taken steps intended to simply intimidate the mass media that it could not manipulate. A new Russian Ministry for the Press was created last July. In one of his earliest statements, the Minister in charge of that agency stated that its job was to address the “aggression” of the Russian press. As leading Russian editors said in an open letter to former Russian President Boris Yeltsin last August, high-ranking government officials have put pressure on the mass media, particularly through unwarranted raids by tax police. In fact, Mr. Speaker, as recently as May 11th, masked officers of the Russian Federal Security Service mounted an armed raid on the headquarters of “Media-Most,” which operates “NTV,” the largest independent national television station in Russia, and then, just this week, arrested the owner of Media-Most, Vladimir Guinsky, on what I understand to be rather vague charges.

Mr. Speaker, Russian reporters have been beaten and murdered, and police investigations tend to fail, more often than not, to identify the perpetrators, much less bring them to justice. Andrei Babitsky, a Russian reporter working for Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty and covering the war in Chechnya, was arrested by the Russian military and then exchanged to unidentified Chechens for Russian POWs, a blatant violation of his rights as a Russian citizen. His prosecution by the Russian government since his return to Moscow has also involved reported abuses of his rights under Russian law. Aleksandr Khinshtein, a reporter for “Moskovsky Komsomol’s,” was ordered by the Federal Security Service in January to enter a psychiatric clinic far from Moscow for an examination after he wrote critical articles concerning illegal activities by Russian officials, a disturbing return to Soviet-era practices of repression. Thankfully, Mr. Khinshtein’s lawyer appeared in time to prevent that order from being carried out, but, who can say what faces such courageous Russian reporters tomorrow?

Indeed, who can be sure what will face the Russian people tomorrow? This resolution points out a very disturbing fact. Russian intelligence agencies are right now moving to ensure total surveillance over the Internet in Russia. Under a so-called technical regulation, known as “SORM-2,” the Federal Security Service is installing a system by which all transmissions and e-mails within Russia and all such transmissions to parties in Russia can be read in real time by that agency. At the same time that the manipulation and intimidation of the Russian media is taking place, a new structure of surveillance over all of Russia’s citizens is being created.

Mr. Speaker, with regard to the abuse of freedom of the press now underway in Russia, Thomas Dine, President of Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, has to date been the only American official who has clearly and strongly identified that distressing trend. He has stated publicly that the Russian government’s efforts to intimidate the mass media in that country threaten the chances for democracy and rule of law in the long run. Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to support the passage of this important resolution.

H. CON. RES. 352

Whereas almost all of the large printing plants, publishing houses, and newspaper distribution companies, several leading news agencies, and almost all of the nationwide television frequencies and broadcasting facilities in the Russian Federation remain under government control, despite the extensive privatization of state-owned enterprises in other sectors of the Russian economy; Whereas, in December 1999 two correspondents for “Reuters News Agency” and the “Associated Press” were reportedly accused of being foreign spies after reporting high Russian casualty figures in the war in Chechnya; Whereas in December 1999 two correspondents for “Reuters News Agency” and the “Associated Press” were reportedly accused of being foreign spies after reporting high Russian casualty figures in the war in Chechnya; Whereas the Government of Russia is able to suspend or revoke broadcast and publishing licenses and impose exorbitant taxes and fees on the independent media; Whereas, in 1999, a major television network controlled by the Russian Government canceled the program “Tv-Novosti” after it reported on alleged corruption at high levels of the government; Whereas, in July 1999, the Government of Russia created a new Ministry for Press, Television and Radio Broadcasting, and Mass Communications; Whereas, in August 1999, the editors of fourteen Russian newspapers sent an open letter to then Russian President Boris Yeltsin stating that high-ranking officials of the government were censoring their newspapers, particularly through unwarranted raids by tax police; Whereas Mikhail Lesin, Minister for Press, Television and Radio Broadcasting, and Mass Communications, stated in October 1999 that the Russian Government would change its policies towards the mass media so as to address “aggression” by the Russian press; Whereas the Russian Federal Security Service or “FSB” is reportedly implementing a technical regulation known as “SORM-2” by which it could re-route, in real time and without notifying the user, all electronic transmissions over the Internet through FSB offices for purposes of surveillance, a likely violation of the Russian Constitution’s provisions concerning the right to privacy of private communications, according to Aleksei Simonov, President of the Russian “Glavnost Defense Foundation,” a nongovernmental human rights organization; Whereas such surveillance under SORM-2 would allow the Russian Federal Security Service access to passwords, financial transactions, and confidential company information, among other transmissions; Whereas it is reported that over one hundred Russian journalists have been killed over the past decade, with few if any of the government investigations into those murders resulting in arrests, prosecutions, or convictions; Whereas numerous observers of Russian politics have noted the blatant misuse of the leading Russian television channels, controlled by the Russian Government, to undermine popular support for political rivals of those supporting the government in the run-up to parliamentary elections held in December 1999; Whereas it has been reported that Russian television stations controlled by the Russian Government were used to dispare opponents of Vladimir Putin during the campaign for the presidency in the beginning of this year, and whereas it has been reported that political advertisements by those candidates were routinely relegated by those stations to slots outside of prime time coverage; Whereas manipulation of the media by the Russian Government appeared intent on portraying the Russian military attack on the separatist Republic of Chechnya to the maximum political advantage of the Russian Government; Whereas the Government of Russia is able to suspend or revoke broadcast and publishing licenses and impose exorbitant taxes and fees on the independent media. EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS June 14, 2000

Whereas almost all of the large printing plants, publishing houses, and newspaper distribution companies, several leading news agencies, and almost all of the nationwide television frequencies and broadcasting facilities in the Russian Federation remain under government control, despite the extensive privatization of state-owned enterprises in other sectors of the Russian economy; Whereas, in December 1999 two correspondents for “Reuters News Agency” and the “Associated Press” were reportedly accused of being foreign spies after reporting high Russian casualty figures in the war in Chechnya; Whereas the arrest in January 2000, subsequent treatment by the Russian military, and prosecution by the Russian Government of Andrei Babitsky, a correspondent for Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty covering the war in Chechnya, have constituted a violation of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation; Whereas in January 2000 Aleksandr Khinshtein, a reporter for the newspaper “Moskovsky Komsomol’s,” was ordered by the Russian Federal Security Service to enter a clinic over 100 miles from his home for a psychiatric examination after he accused top Russian officials of illegal activities; Whereas such detailed information was previously employed by the former Soviet regime to stifle dissent; Whereas the Russian newspaper “Novaya Gazeta” was officially warned by the Russian Ministry of the Press for printing of an interview with Aslan Maskhadov, the elected President of the Republic of Chechnya, an interview leathered in the “Novaya Gazeta,” including several articles alleging massive campaign finance violations by the
have reportedly occurred in the course of the breaches of Russian legal procedure that Andrei Babitsky and condemns those Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty journalist has now become apparent; the detention and continued prosecution of media by Russian Government officials for political or corrupt ends; Russian media that has now become apparent; timidation of Russian citizens and of the physical, economic, legal, and political in- terest in Russia; the raid on Media-Most was carried out under the authority of President Putin and Russian Government ministers who have not criticized or repudiated that action; Whereas on June 12, 2000, Vladimir Gusinsky and other leading independent media was suddenly arrested; Whereas President Putin claimed not to have known of the planned arrest of Vladim- ir Gusinsky; Whereas the continued functioning of an independent media is a vital attribute of Russian democracy and an important obstacle to the return of authoritarian or totalitarian dictatorship in Russia; and Whereas a free news media can exist only in an environment that is free of state control of the news media, that is free of any form of state censorship or official coercion of any kind, and that is protected and guaran- teed by the rule of law: Now, therefore, be it.

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the Congress—
(1) expresses its continuing, strong support for freedom of the independent media in the Russian Federation;
(2) expresses its strong concern over the failure of the government of the Russian Federation to privatize major segments of the Russian media, thus retaining the ability of Russian officials to manipulate the media for political or corrupt ends;
(3) expresses its strong concern over the pattern of Russian officials’ surveillance and physical, economic, legal, and political in- timidation of Russian citizens and of the Russian media that has now become apparent in Russia;
(4) expresses its strong concern over the pattern of manipulation of the Russian media by Russian Government officials for political and possibly corrupt purposes that has now become apparent;
(5) expresses profound regret and dismay at the detention and continued prosecution of Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty journalist Andrei Babitsky and condemns those breaches of Russian legal procedure and of Russian political and moral commitments to the rights of Russian citizens that have report- edly occurred in his detention and prosecu- tion of Russia;
(6) expresses strong concern over the breaches of Russian legal procedure that have reportedly occurred in the course of the

May 11th raid by the Russian Federal Security Service on Media-Most and the June 12th arrest of Vladimir Gusinsky;

(7) calls on the President of the United States to express to the President of the Russian Federation his strong concern for freedom of speech and the independent media in the Russian Federation and to emphasize the concern of the United States that official pressures against the independent media and the political manipulation of the state-owned media in Russia are incompatible with democratic norms; and

SEC. 2. TRANSMITTAL TO SECRETARY OF STATE.
The Clerk of the House of Representatives shall transmit a copy of this resolution to the Secretary of State with the request that it be forwarded to the President of the Russian Federation.

CITIZENS DESERVE MORE INFORMATION ABOUT 527 CAMPAIGN ATTACK ADS

HON. RUSH D. HOLT
OF NEW JERSEY

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, today, I am proud to join many of my Democratic colleagues in signing a discharge petition to bring legislation to the floor of the House of Representatives to require full disclosure of so-called 527 ads— the political attack ads that are becoming a disturbing way of life in politics today. These ads are the latest scheme to get round cam- paign finance laws. The undermine our de- mocracy. I speak from experience about 527’s. As a freshman Member of Congress, I have had these anonymous attack ads running in my central New Jersey district—both against me and against the loser of the primary election in my district.

527 ads are the political equivalent of a drive-by shooting. They are deceptive—they are anonymous—and they keep citizens in the dark about who is trying to influence their elections.

Citizens deserve the right to know who is contributing money to elections. Full disclosure allows citizens to make more informed judg- ments about issues and elections.

I urge my colleagues to join me in signing the discharge petition.

TRIBUTE TO DEPUTY MAYOR MATHEW WITECKI FROM LITTLE FALLS, NEW JERSEY

HON. BILL PASCRELL, JR.
OF NEW JERSEY

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call to your attention to the life of a man I am proud to call my friend, Mathew Witecki of Little Falls, New Jersey, who passed from this Earth on Saturday, June 10, 2000. I am only fit- ting that Mayor Witecki be honored, for he has a long history of caring, generosity and com- mitment to others. Due to his leadership and dedicated service, I am honored to submit these words to be immortalized in the annals of this greatest of all freely elected bodies.

Fifteen years ago, Mayor Witecki made his political debut by wearing a gas mask and pushing a baby carriage during a protest to stop the construction of a landfill on part of the Montclair State University Campus. Mathew, the former mayor and deputy mayor of Little Falls, joined the picket line and helped fight plans to dump garbage from New York on a site near the border of Montclair and the town- ship where he lived for 43 years.

Since his political debut, Mayor Witecki, 76, retired engineer, served on the Little Falls Township Council and was an active member of numerous community organizations until he died on this past Sunday. Mathew was the son of Polish immigrants who grew up during the depression. He is remembered as a man who never wasted time or resources. Mathew was a graduate of Newark College of Engi- neering and retired in 1986 as a senior engi- neer for Bendix Corp. after 45 years of serv- ice. He then worked as a consultant for Allied Signal. Known for his honest approach to life, Mathew took a firm stand on community issues. Most recently, he was the founder and chairman of STOP, an organization created to block plans to run a natural gas pipeline under- neath 33 North Jersey communities, includ- ing Little Falls and the 20 other towns in my Congressional District in New Jersey. I was proud to work along side of Mathew during these months fighting the pipeline. Even though we were from opposite sides of the aisle, Mathew never let politics get in the way of a cause in which he believed. We worked together in a bipartisan way to accomplish a goal on an issue we both were passionate about He was a tireless advocate of the fami- lies in the area. Along with his help, we fought the battle against the pipeline, and I pledge to continue to fight in his honor.

Mathew Witecki was a member of the Knights of Columbus Council 3835, the past president of the Passaic County Historical So- ciety, trustee of the New Jersey Intergovern- mental Insurance Fund and treasurer of Pas- saic County Vision 20/20 Inc. He was also a member of the Little Falls Planning Board, former chairman and trustee of Passaic Coun- ty Solid Waste Authority and a member of the Little Falls Garden Club.

The father of four, grandfather of 11, and great-grandfather of two, mayor Mathew Witecki is survived by his wife, the former Helen T. Stolarz; two sons, Mathew and John; two daughters, Patricia Murphy and Marybeth Witecki.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you to join me, the family of Mayor Mathew Witecki, the residents of Lit- tle Falls and Passaic County, his friends and co-workers in honoring the life of a great man.

RECOGNIZING 225TH BIRTHDAY OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY

HON. ROBERT W. NEY
OF OHIO

Mr.NEY. Mr. Speaker, Whereas, The United States Army was established by the