Give me a break. Even if we could persuade Putin to go against his self-interest—our immediate, total and inevitable impossibility—of course such a deal would only fuel the Serbs’ oft-noted passion for blaming others for misfortunes that they themselves have created. Why else would the foreigners have gotten rid of Milosevic if they hadn’t somehow been responsible for him in the first place?

And what are we to make of the article’s nice plan that part of the deal would be free and fair elections in Serbia under international supervision? I can just imagine what the other war criminals in the Yugoslav and Serbian governments would think of that idea!

The most likely result of an arranged Milosevic departure would be another set of gangsters, not democrats elected by universal suffrage. The Panic op-ed is entitled “Exit Milosevic.” Just as just as well be entitled “Enter Seselj”—that is, Vojislav Seselj, the fascist Deputy Prime Minister of Serbia. Mr. Panic’s naïveté gives us a pretty good clue as to why Milosevic so easily managed to keep him in 1993.

Morality, Serbian politics, and the Hague Tribunal aside, granting asylum to Milosevic would be a political disaster for the United States and for NATO.

Last year President Clinton had a difficult time in rounding up support within NATO’s nineteen members for Operation Allied Force, and then sustaining that support until Milosevic’s troops and paramilitaries were forced out of Kosovo. But he skillfully managed to do it, and alliance unity was preserved.

Then we got our European allies and others to assume 85 percent of the burden of KFOR in Kosovo and also to fund the vast majority of the cost of the Stability Pact for South East Europe.

Now, after pardoning Milosevic, I suppose we could turn to our European allies and say, “Incidentally, friends, we really didn’t need to fight that pesky, little air war after all. We could have just bought off old Slobo last year and sent him packing. But please don’t ignore fulfilling the commitments you made to the Defense Capabilities Initiative at the Washington NATO Summit. We really do need troops, teeth, so you still have to spend a lot to upgrade your forces. Don’t worry, though. The Milosevic buyout was just a one-time event. Nothing like that will happen again. NATO is really not in the army business. It’s just that the Serbs needed us to take the monkey off their back, and we’re sure that Slobo’s successors will now choose to cooperate with us.”

Pardon my sarcasm, Mr. President, but the honesty idea is just too politically naive to believe.

The Panic article also reveals an impatience as American as apple pie. We all want a quick fix. But, my friends, there are few quick fixes in life that have any permanence, and trying to set the Balkans right by way of shortcuts certainly isn’t one of them.

To have any chance of creating a modicum of stability in the former Yugoslavia and elsewhere in the region, solutions must be largely home-grown, if under the security umbrella provided by NATO.

So, let’s consign the Panic op-ed to the sophomoric political science seminars and think-tank luncheons—but not to serious consideration by our Government.

Let’s get on with the vital, if prosaic, business of rebuilding Bosnia and Kosovo and supporting the opposition in Serbia through a variety of programs, which are in place, ongoing, and which, in time, I believe, will succeed.

**VICTIMS OF GUN VIOLENCE**

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, it has been more than a year since the Columbine tragedy, but still this Republican Congress refuses to act on sensible gun legislation.

Since Columbine, thousands of Americans have been killed by gunfire. Until we act, Democrats in the Senate will read some of the names of those who lost their lives to gun violence in the past year, and we will continue to do so every day that the Senate is session.

In the name of those who died, we will continue this fight. Following are the names of some of the people who were killed by gunfire one year ago today, June 21, 1999.

Larry Davis, 28, St. Louis, MO; Anthony Douglas, 19, New Orleans, LA; Helen Elizabeth Foster-El, 55, Washington, DC; Izeali Hester, 41, Miami-Dade County, FL; Curtis Hill, 20, Oakland, CA; Stefan Sure, 38, New Orleans, LA; Larry Van Lam, San Francisco, CA; Michael D. Washington, 21, Chicago, IL; Laron Wilson, 25, Louisville, KY.

**HATE CRIMES PREVENTION ACT**

Mr. L. CHAFEE. Mr. President, yesterday the Senate debated an issue of critical importance—preventing hate crimes. Hate crimes are attacks on our civil rights, and as a nation we went a third of the reported hate crimes were perceived race, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender, or disability. Second, it eliminates constraints that make the current law ineffective. The federal government, with the approval of a state’s Attorney General, would be empowered to prosecute crimes that cause death or bodily injury “because of the actual or perceived race, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender, or disability” of the victim. According to FBI statistics, in 1996, almost two-thirds of the reported hate crimes were due to race, while 12% were based on sexual orientation. It is important that protection from hate crimes be extended to all of America’s citizens.

The Supreme Court has already signaled the constitutionality of hate crime statutes. In Wisconsin v. Mitchell, the Supreme Court unanimously upheld the constitutional right of states to enact hate crimes statutes. I believe that it is now time for Congress to act.

Mr. President, I cosponsored the Hate Crimes Prevention Act because it was the right thing to do. The issue here is civil rights, and as a nation we went a long way in the last century toward assuring that the civil rights of ALL Americans were not infringed upon.

Let’s start this new century with another step in the right direction.

**PLACING CHECHNYA ON THE AGENDA OF THE G–7 SUMMIT**

Mr. WELLSSTONE. Mr. President, I rise today to once again draw attention to the continuing war in Chechnya and to urge the Administration to include Chechnya high on the agenda at next month’s G–7 summit.

Colleagues, last Wednesday I met with Mr. II-yas AK-ma-dov who was here to present a peace proposal on behalf of the Chechen people. This peace