Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, the subject of election reform has been talked about and discussed a great deal during the past 6 or 7 months. In fact, there have already been more than 60 hearings this year in Washington and in the States.

I appreciate the attention that has been paid to this important issue, and commend my colleague on the Senate Rules Committee, Chairman DODD, for his attention to this issue.

I think we can all agree that America needs, wants, and demands action on election reform. The Senate is in a strong position to act on this issue of tremendous national importance, and in a refreshingly bipartisan manner. On election reform, Republicans and Democrats agree on far more than we disagree.

In fact, 50 senators agree that we need meaningful election reform. Ninety Senators are cosponsoring either the bipartisan McConnell-Schumer-Torricelli election reform bill leading the election reform pact with 70 Senators on board—38 Republicans, 31 Democrats, and one Independent; the Democrats-only Dodd bill which has all Democrats and one Independent as cosponsors but no Republicans; or the McCain bill—which has 2 cosponsors.

That means the cosponsoring legislation authorizing federal funding to assist the 50 States in improving their election systems. The McConnell-Schumer-Torricelli bill, the Dodd bill, and the McCain bill all have funding in them for election reform. Federal funding is the common denominator which brings the Senate together on this critical issue and makes election reform possible for the American people.

But no money has yet been appropriated for election reform. No election reform money for all—not one thin dime—is yet in any appropriations bill for fiscal year 2002. I think we can all agree that is unacceptable. We must have election reform funding for fiscal year 2002. Otherwise, any authorization which is passed later this fall will be all-show and no-go, until subsequent appropriations are enacted.

If we do not appropriate election reform money in this round of appropriations—for fiscal year 2002—then election reform will be delayed. Election reform would either be postponed until fiscal year 2003, or be contingent upon an emergency supplemental appropriations bill at some point.

Election reform delayed is election reform denied. The Republican Leader, Senator LOTT, had planned the election reform debate in the Senate to occur during June. Senators SCHUMER, TORRICELLI and I were ready to press ahead. The organizations supporting our bill—including Common Cause and the League of Women Voters—were ready to do an all-out push for our election reform bill. Obviously, that floor debate did not happen.

It is not clear now when election reform will pass the Senate in the form of an authorization bill. In any event, any authorization for Federal funding for new voting machines and other enhancements in election systems will require that money be appropriated.

That is why I take the floor today, to announce my plan to pursue a meaningful appropriation for election reform.

The McConnell-Schumer bill authorizes $500 million annually. The Dodd bill authorizes such sums as many be necessary.

While it may be nearly impossible to appropriate several hundred million dollars for the upcoming fiscal year, I do believe that we can come together on both sides of the aisle to find an election reform appropriation that is possible and meaningful. Today, I am pledging my commitment to do just that and calling on my colleagues on the Rules and Appropriations Committees to help me make this happen.

There will have to be an authorization mechanism later on to determine precisely who will administer the funds, how, to whom and for what. But we do know that the sum is substantial. And that time is running out to make a difference for the 2002 elections.

Senators on the Appropriations Committee have already demonstrated great enthusiasm for election reform with nearly all the Republicans and half the Democrats on my bill and all the Democrats on the Dodd bill.

If not successful at the committee stage in the appropriations process, I will offer an amendment on the floor at a suitable time.

One way or another, we need to make sure that the Senate will have the election reform issue before it—sooner rather than later—in the form of the funding that is absolutely essential to make the McConnell-Schumer-Torricelli election reform bill, the Dodd bill, or the McCain bill work.

Let’s appropriate election reform money for 2002. We can decide later which election reform bill will become law, who will hand out the money, and whether there will be Federal mandates.

I look forward to working with Chairman DODD on the Rules Committee and Senators BYRD and STEVENS and my fellow members of the Appropriations Committee to ensure that this appropriations season does not pass without setting aside funds for election reform.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The session is adjourned.
Mr. McCaIN. Mr. President, I believe the pending business is an amendment by the Senator from Washington; is that correct?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That is correct.

Mr. McCaIN. Mr. President, I rise to speak on the amendment. I will not take very much time because I just discussed with the Senator from Washington an amendment we would have which we would propose, perhaps, as a second-degree amendment to the first-degree amendment of the Senator from Washington. But more importantly, we hope perhaps we can work out an agreement in the areas in which we are in disagreement.

Over the weekend, I examined the language in the Transportation appropriations bill and our concerns about it. I do not think those concerns are unbridgeable. So I would like to speak for just a few moments. And hopefully we can discuss this issue and debate it and then, if necessary, vote on the Murray amendment. If not, hopefully we can work out some agreements which will achieve the goal we all seek.

The goal we all seek is simple: That Mexican trucks that are allowed to come into the United States of America, as part of the North American Free Trade Agreement—this is in compliance with the North American Free Trade Agreement. The United States has already been found, by a panel, to be out of compliance with the North American Free Trade Agreement—this is in compliance with the North American Free Trade Agreement. The United States has already been found, by a panel, to be out of compliance with the North American Free Trade Agreement—this is in compliance with the North American Free Trade Agreement.

As a leading sponsor of the 1999 legislation creating the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, I strongly support proposals to advance truck and bus safety. I recognize the Senate provisions are largely intended to address safety concerns. Unfortunately, some of the provisions’ mandates simply are not achievable. The provisions are overly rigid and burdensome. The modifications, I believe, could go a long way toward promoting motor carrier safety in a nondiscriminatory manner.

At a later time, I will discuss a number of the concerns that I and others and the administration have about the bill. I have some very specific ideas as to how we can address these concerns. But at the moment, since I believe we are in some active discussions, I will not take the time of the Senate in going through all these specifics. I will point out that the administration, last Thursday, sent over a letter saying that the President had no choice but to veto the bill with the present provisions as contained in the Senate Transportation appropriations bill. I do not think the President wants to veto the Transportation appropriations bill. But I do not want the President to do that, nor do a majority of the Members of the Senate.

But let me make it perfectly clear, the House action is totally unacceptable. I do not want the President from Washington, and other interested Senators, particularly, I might say, with those who represent border States.

The majority of this traffic, initially, will be crossing, obviously, our southern borders. Already, our Canadian borders are open. Clearly, that is not the issue. So those of us—Senator Gramm of Texas and I, and my colleague, Senator Kyl—and others who represent border States, where some of this commercial activity would take place, feel very strongly about this issue.

I might say, also, we are the last ones—the last ones who could countenance a situation to prevail that would place the lives and property of our citizens in danger. It is across the southern border where most of this activity initially will take place, although I believe I will live to see the day when we will see basically open transportation between Canada and Mexico.

As it has been a boon to the economy in Canada, so it can be across our southern border.

I hope we can deal with this issue in the ensuing hours. I understand the Senator from Washington may be discussing this issue with the Secretary of Transportation. We encourage all Members to get involved in this issue. It is a very important one. We are not talking about a policy dispute. I emphasize, we are talking about a solemn agreement that was entered into between the United States, Canada and Mexico. That agreement called for certain safety conditions—which I believe we can satisfy, in the view of most objective observers, satisfy the safety issues—to come into compliance with the North American Free Trade Agreement and have the same situation prevail on our southern border as prevails on our northern border, as the Senator from Washington has with Canada on her border.

The Senator from Texas and I would like to see the same situation prevail on our southern border as prevails on the border of the Senator from Washington with Canada. I hope we can work it out. We believe this is a very serious and important issue because we are talking about treaty violations, possible sanctions against the United States of America. I am firmly convinced that we can come to a reasonable conclusion and not have to have this thing spill over into a very unfortunate situation where the President of the United States may have to veto it. I hope to avoid that.
I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I see my friend from Texas. I am going to offer an amendment so we have something to vote on this afternoon. If the Senator from Texas wanted to speak first, how long is he going to speak?

Mr. GRAMM. Mr. President, I wasn’t planning on speaking more than 5 or 10 minutes.

Mr. REID. I think it would be more convenient, because I need to talk a little bit longer than that, if I yielded the floor to the Senator from Texas. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas.

Mr. GRAMM. Mr. President, as usual, our colleague from Nevada is kind and courteous and helpful to everybody. I appreciate you work and his help.

I wanted to come over today to join my friend and colleague, Senator MCCAIN from Arizona, to raise a concern about the provision in the Transmission appropriations bill that we believe is practical precedent. I believe in making it impossible for a long period of time for us to conform to the agreement that we made with Mexico in NAFTA.

Let me make it clear that the Senator from Washington, the distinguished chairman of the subcommittee, dramatically improved the work done by the House. Even those of us who believe that her amendment would be harmful and would abrogate our agreement with Mexico are convinced that her work is a dramatic improvement over that of the House.

What we are trying to do is to simply work out an agreement where we can meet legitimate safety standards with regard to Mexican trucks, do it in a way that allows us to meet the obligations that we have under NAFTA, and do it in such a way to try to keep out any provisions that may be cloaked in some garb of safety, when in reality they represent an effort to prevent the implementation of our agreement.

I understand Senator MCCAIN has given the distinguished subcommittee chairman a copy of the amendment. I don’t see any reason that this should be or has to be a partisan issue. I am hopeful we can work out an agreement.

Let me explain why it is so important that such an agreement be reached and why I feel so strongly about it. We entered into the most far-reaching trade agreement of the last 20 years when we signed a free trade agreement that encompassed North America—Mexico, Canada, and the United States. Part of that free trade agreement had to do with the ability of trucks to operate within the free trade area. President Clinton was very slow in implementing the agreement, and many people believe that politics was behind that slowness in implementation.

We are now on the verge of seeing the agreement implemented. We are hearing great protests about safety. In that agreement, neither of us was ever made to say that, when you actually look at the facts, are not borne out by the facts.

Let me give an example. First of all, the good news story with regard to Mexico trucks is that a significant amount of inspection is already occurring so that when we supplement that, to deal with trucks that will come to the interior of the country, we have something on which to build.

For example, there are 8 million U.S. registered trucks. Last year, there were 2.3 million inspections and so, therefore, about 29 percent of all American trucks were inspected. There are 63,000 Mexican trucks currently operating in the United States, and 46,000 inspections last year involving Mexican trucks. Therefore, roughly 73 percent of Mexican trucks were inspected last year, over twice the percentage of American trucks that were inspected.

Some people have used the number, in sort of scare tactics, that only about 1 percent of Mexican trucks were inspected. In trying to figure out where on earth that number could have possibly come from, the best I can figure out is that the people who made that number simply took the number of border crossings, 4.6 million, and used that as a measure of Mexican trucks.

The plain truth is, Mexican trucks are now operating within a 20-mile limit, 20 miles from the border. They often cross the border many times during the day. That is the only place I can figure this number came from.

Let me make it clear that Senator MCCAIN and I are concerned about safety. First of all, both of us already have Mexican trucks operating in our States. Our States are working now to see that those trucks are safe. The commitment of the President to get the Federal Government involved in the process is welcomed from our point of view. We believe it is important that Mexican trucks be safe, that they have trained drivers, that they have good equipment, and that that equipment be well maintained.

We are not protectionists. We are not for protecting our industry. Having said all that, the House provision is occurring with a decal, and that it be periodically inspected. I believe the Senate and the House provision is occurring with a decal, and that it be periodically inspected. I believe the Senate provision lives to the letter and the spirit of our trade agreements with Mexico.

I know there are strong special interests that don’t want to implement this agreement. But it is very important for us to remember in the Senate that all over the world today other legislative bodies are debating whether to live up to agreements they have made with the United States of America. Other legislative bodies are meeting at this very moment, trying to decide whether to implement an agreement they made with the United States that may not at that very moment, or this very moment, be politically popular in their country.

It seems to me that since we are the world’s biggest beneficiary of trade, we are the world’s largest exporter and importer of goods and services by a huge margin, it is important we live up to our agreements with our trading partners and the spirit of trade agreements so that we can have moral standing in dealing with countries that do not live up to their agreements with us.

So, in a time when all over the world similar agreements are being debated, it is very important in dealing with our neighbor to the south that we live up to the agreement we have made. I do not believe the House provision lives up to that agreement. I think there are very real problems with the current House bill. I think Senator MCCAIN has offered an amendment that provides safety but does not create problems that will delay implementation beyond legitimate requirements of safety. I hope this can be worked out. But the NAFTA agreement is an important agreement. It is vital to my State, vital to the country, and I cannot imagine, if we can’t work this out, that we would want to move forward with that.

So I urge my colleagues to look at the language that has been proposed. We are not saying this is the only way it has to be done or we are not going to
be satisfied. We have simply raised some concerns with the current bill. I am happy to work together with the administration that we can reach a compromise. It will hardly serve any body's purpose to pass a bill that the President will veto and we will have to start all over again.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Murray amendment be temporarily set aside.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I send an amendment to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Nevada [Mr. REID], for himself and Mr. SARBANES, proposes an amendment numbered 1025 to amendment No. 1025.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that further reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 1025 to Amendment No. 1025

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

Pursuant to a study of the hazards and risks to public health and safety, the environment, and the economy of the transportation of hazardous chemicals and radioactive material, the improvements to transportation infrastructure necessary to prevent accidents in the transportation of such chemicals and material, and the preparedness of Federal, State, and local emergency response and medical personnel to respond to and mitigate accidents in the transportation of such chemicals and material

On page 81, at the end of line 13, insert the following:

SEC. 350. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The continuing highway, railway, and waterway infrastructure across the Nation varies widely and is in need of improvement and investment.

(2) Thousands of tons of hazardous chemicals, and a very small amount of high level radioactive material, is transported along the Nation's highways, railways, and waterways each year.

(3) The volume of hazardous chemical transport increased by over one-third in the last 25 years and is expected to continue to increase. Some propose significantly increasing radioactive material transport.

(4) Approximately 261,000 people were evacuated across the Nation because of rail-related accidental releases of hazardous chemicals between 1976 and 1995, and during that period industry reported 8 transportation accidents involving the small volume of high level radioactive waste transported during that period.

(5) The Federal Railroad Administration has significantly decreased railroad inspections and few resources since 1993 to assure the structural integrity of railroad bridges. Train derailments have increased by 18 percent over roughly the same period.

(6) The poor condition of highway, railway, and waterway infrastructure, increases in the volume of hazardous chemical transport, and proposed increased radioactive material transport increase the risk of accidents involving such chemicals and materials.

(7) Measuring the risks of hazardous chemical or radioactive material accidents and preventing such accidents requires specific information concerning the condition and suitability of specific transportation routes. Congress will support efforts to acquire such information and enable investment in related infrastructure.

(8) Mitigating the impact of hazardous chemical or radioactive material accidents requires a well equipped, and well equipped emergency response personnel along all specifically identified transportation routes.

(9) Accidents involving hazardous chemical or radioactive material transport pose threats to the public health and safety, the environment, and the economy associated with the transportation of hazardous chemicals and radioactive material.

(c) MATTERS TO BE ADDRESSED.—The study under subsection (b) shall address the following matters:

(1) Whether the Federal Government conducts individualized and detailed evaluations and inspections of the condition and suitability of specific transportation routes for the current, and any anticipated or proposed, transport of hazardous chemicals and radioactive materials, and whether resources and information are adequate to conduct such evaluations and inspections.

(2) The costs and time required to ensure adequate inspection of specific transportation routes and related infrastructure and to complete the infrastructure improvements necessary to ensure the safety of current, and any anticipated or proposed, hazardous chemical and radioactive material transport.

(3) Whether Federal, State, and local emergency preparedness emergency response personnel, and medical personnel are adequately trained and equipped to promptly respond to accidents along specific transportation routes. The study shall consider, anticipated, or proposed hazardous chemical and radioactive material transport.

(4) The costs and time required to ensure that Federal, State, and local emergency preparedness personnel, emergency response personnel, and medical personnel are adequately trained and equipped to promptly respond to accidents along specific transportation routes for current, anticipated, or proposed hazardous chemical and radioactive material transport.

(5) The availability of, or requirements to establish, information collection and dissemination systems adequate to provide the public, in an accessible manner, with timely, complete, specific, and accurate information (including databases) concerning actual, proposed, or anticipated shipments by highway, railway, or waterway of hazardous chemicals and radioactive materials, including accidents involving the transportation of such chemicals and materials by those means.

(e) DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION.—The study under subsection (b) shall be completed not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) Whether the Federal Railroad Administration will continue to conduct the safety research and development activities and training for railroad personnel necessary for railroad personnel to perform the necessary functions under this Act.

(4) The progress of the Federal Railroad Administration in complying with the requirements of this Act.

I thank the Chair.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I just left a hearing of the Environment and Public Works Committee, the Subcommittee on Transportation, and Infrastructure. In fact, the hearing is still going on. Senators Voinovich and Inhofe are there completing the hearing.

At the hearing today, we had four mayors of very important cities in America—the mayor of New Orleans, Mayor Marc Morial; the mayor of Atlanta, Mayor Campbell; the mayor of Las Vegas, Mayor Goodman; and the mayor of the District of Columbia, Mayor Williams. The purpose of the hearing is to talk about the degrading infrastructure of our country, especially in our urban areas.

It is tragic—“tragic” is not too powerful a word to describe what they have talked about. We have all kinds of problems, to study the risk to 20, 20 cities in the United States, to study the risk to 20, 30, 40 cities. We have all kinds of problems, to study the risk to our transportation system can handle the problems facing American cities.

The tunnel we have all seen so often in the news in the past 5 days or 6 days—actually, it was Wednesday at 3 o’clock that the derailment took place in the tunnel in Baltimore. That tunnel is a mile and a half long. It is 100 years old. So that tunnel was constructed through that area in about 1900. What kind of equipment did they have then? Most of it was done by hand; very little machinery was available for digging a tunnel around the turn of the century. The tunnel has had almost nothing done to it since then. It is the same tunnel.

This amendment is on behalf of myself, Senator SARBANES, and Senator Mikulski. It is an amendment to protect against the dangers posed by the transportation of hazardous substances.

The amendment requires the Secretary of the Department of Transportation, in consultation with the Comptroller General of the United States, to study the risks to public health and safety associated with the transportation of these dangerous substances.

My amendment requires the Department of Transportation and the General Accounting Office to study whether our transportation system can safely transport these dangerous substances and ask how it might improve the safety track record.

If you read my amendment, you will see a number of interesting things. The volume of hazardous chemical transport has increased by over one-third in the last 25 years and is expected to continue. Approximately 261,000 people
were evacuated across this Nation because of rail-related accidents during the past 20 years—no, that is not in the last 20 years. It is from the period of 1978 to 1995—less than 20 years. So 261,000 people were evacuated from their homes because of rail-related accidents.

During that period, the industry reported eight transportation accidents involving small volumes of high-level radioactive waste transported during that period. The Federal Railroad Administration has significantly decreased railroad inspections and has allocated few resources since 1993 to assure the structural integrity of railroad bridges.

One of the mayors today testified that 70 percent of the bridges in America won’t meet basic safety standards—70 percent. I believe it is 80 percent. We know there are bridges in America today where schoolbuses stop and let the kids walk across, and the bus will come around the corner and look for any kind of trouble with our infrastructure in America today. We need to do something about it, and that is what this amendment is all about.

It is saying let’s at least have some knowledge of what is out there when we are seeing these treks of very hazardous materials. As you know, in Baltimore, which we all saw, the substance there was hydrochloric acid. Hydrochloric is extremely dangerous. One of the important things was that it was far enough away from people that it wasn’t an immediate danger. Had the accident occurred closer to the populated area, of course, it would have been.

I can remember a number of years ago being in Ely, NV, a rural part of the State of Nevada. One of the men I went to high school with was a police officer there. I always tried to stop him when I came through Ely. He has since retired. I was in the police station and a teletype came through and he looked at it and said: Why do they even send me this stuff? They were telling him about it does is frighten me. We have no ability to respond to a chemical accident spilled in Ely, NV.

Mr. President, this is an extremely important question: How can the Department of Transportation and the General Accounting Office—how can they do and they should—study the ability of personnel to respond to transportation accidents involving dangerous substances?

My friend, the police officer in Ely, NV, did what most police officers in rural America would do: They throw the report away. They cannot do anything about it. In fact, Rick said he would rather not know. All it does is frighten him.

While emergency response teams might be equipped and available in urban areas such as Baltimore—that was interesting. That occurred so they had the ability—and we may hear further from Senators SARBANES and MUKOSHI—that was a great deal of teamwork among county, city, State, and Federal officials in one of our metropolitan areas. They did pretty well from what I can tell.

How prepared are the small rural communities in Nevada? How well prepared are the small rural communities in Nebraska? We give them the responsibility, but we do not understand.

One of the mayors today testified that 70 percent of the bridges in America won’t meet basic safety standards—70 percent. I believe it is 80 percent. We know there are bridges in America today where schoolbuses stop and let the kids walk across, and the bus will come around the corner and look for any kind of trouble with our infrastructure in America today. We need to do something about it, and that is what this amendment is all about.

It is saying let’s at least have some knowledge of what is out there when we are seeing these treks of very hazardous materials. As you know, in Baltimore, which we all saw, the substance there was hydrochloric acid. Hydrochloric is extremely dangerous. One of the important things was that it was far enough away from people that it wasn’t an immediate danger. Had the accident occurred closer to the populated area, of course, it would have been.

I can remember a number of years ago being in Ely, NV, a rural part of the State of Nevada. One of the men I went to high school with was a police officer there. I always tried to stop him when I came through Ely. He has since retired. I was in the police station and a teletype came through and he looked at it and said: Why do they even send me this stuff? They were telling him about it does is frighten me. We have no ability to respond to a chemical accident spilled in Ely, NV.

Mr. President, this is an extremely important question: How can the Department of Transportation and the General Accounting Office—how can they do and they should—study the ability of personnel to respond to transportation accidents involving dangerous substances?

My friend, the police officer in Ely, NV, did what most police officers in rural America would do: They throw the report away. They cannot do anything about it. In fact, Rick said he...
that the level of Druid Hill Reservoir would drop 3 feet four hours.

Only by sundown of the next day would the consequences seem clearer—a derailed tanker car leaking hydrochloric acid, several downtown buildings constantly being awash in 80 million gallons, enough broken telecommunications lines to disrupt e-mail around the world, two postponed Orioles baseball games (and another by tomorrow), and enough downtown gridlock to produce a year's worth of headaches and missed appointments.

Yet, for all the smoke and bother, not a single life would be lost, pending the unforeseen discovery of anyone who might have hopped aboard an empty boxcar. In this disaster, for once, every member of the cast would come out alive. But not without a few second thoughts about what might have been, had their luck turned for the worse.

IT'S THE TUNNEL

It takes only a crew of two to run a freight train. The engineer mans the controls of the diesel engines while the conductor generally operates the air-brake system and maintains the waybill, which carries the information of what's on board. Cadden, 27, of Stewartstown, Pa., and conductor Edward Brown, 52, of West Baltimore, had just boarded the train a few minutes earlier, six miles short of the tunnel during a crew change at Curtis Bay. If there was trouble ahead, they wouldn't expect to encounter it in the tunnel, as straight a stretch of railroad as you'll find on the CSX route through the city.

A signal just before the tunnel indicated the track ahead was clear, so the train continued. It was 3:04, and the train was lumbering along at just over 20 mph, black exhaust snorting from three engines at the front.

Looming to the left were the grandstands and warehouse of Camden Yards. The train entered the tunnel, its four headlights on, accelerating on a slight downgrade to about 23 mph before beginning the long, slow climb on the gradual rise beneath Howard Street.

That's when Stone and Groller were at work, in the building just above the tunnel at Howard and Pratt streets. And at 3:07, the earth moved.

"It seemed to be a grinding noise and a grinding sensation," Stone said. "I've been here for 11 years, and I've never felt anything like it.

"It lasted maybe 10 seconds," Groller said. "I honestly thought it was an earthquake."

Cadden and Brown weren't sure what to think, according to federal transportation officials who interviewed them. There was the lurch, then the flashing indicator, then the stopping of the train. Black fumes were pouring from manholes.

"In a dwelling fire," Smith said, "you're constantly be aware of "escape routes" and fire hydrants. But what was burning? Nobody had the answer. Nor did anyone know that the city's problems were about to get worse.

A FORAY INTO DARKNESS

Chief Terry Ryer wondered the same thing when he heard the call go out to Engine Co. 13.

Ryer, 49, was listening to the radio at the firehouse in Brooklyn, where he commands the 6th Battalion, with its hazardous materials squad.

It was a latter part of the call that sent Chief Terry Ryer wondering the same thing when he heard the call go out to Engine Co. 13.

"The school's on fire," referring to the old Mount Royal Station, which houses part of the 6th Battalion, with its hazardous materials squad.

"No," Macklin said, watching the smoke rise part the top floor. "It's the tunnel."

She hoped there was nothing hazardous burning.

They made it a hundred yards, at most, before deciding to back out. A second attempt also failed.

By then, news media were gathering at both ends of the tunnel, and the word going everywhere was good. Chemicals of three types of acid, were on board, and no one knew yet what was in all that black smoke. The Orioles had just canceled the second game of their night-day doubleheader.

At Sutton Place, Macklin tried to calm the tenants, though most didn't seem too concerned, in what turned out to be a full gear, fanning out floor by floor to tell everyone to shut their windows and stay indoors.

"IT'S THE TUNNEL"

A block away, Elaine Macklin wondered what all the fuss was about. As resident manager for 21 years of the high-rise Sutton Place Apartments, it's her job to find out what such things, and the sirens were blowing.

She, too, was familiar with the frequent false alarms, but she'd read enough newspaper stories about the sort of cargo that came and went on those tracks to wonder if one day a call might be for real.

"I just had a feeling," said Macklin, 72, Years ago, she'd told her three scoffing children, "Someday, something will happen in that tunnel."

Now, after more than two decades of living and working next door, that day had come. But she didn't know until she rode an elevator to an empty apartment on the 11th floor for a better look. She was joined by her long-time assistant, Patricia Stanitzki, who said: "The school's on fire."

"No." Macklin said, watching the smoke rise part the top floor. "It's the tunnel."
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running brown, at low pressure. Welcome to Charm City.

**WHITE SMOKE RAISES FEARS**

At the ends of the tunnels, where news of the water main break was a little slower in arriving, the first effects of the flood were cause for alarm.

One of the firefighters always pay attention to is the color of the smoke, and suddenly the smoke had gone from black to white. Did it mean something toxic was on fire? The answer was the same as before. No one knew.

More water from the burst main. Fire crews asked Owens to leave the line open. Used to simply shutting things off as soon as possible, he was told to keep it open. He radioed his company and said his company would continue to err on the side of caution. Then came a question. "Do you mean that white stuff is smoke?"

"Maybe," Owens said. "But you couldn't be sure."

**CHANCE OF A FAST START**

He guard a high-scoring superstar: You can't stop it, you can only hope to contain it. He was already getting that sense of isolation, Macklin had heard by phone from friends and family, some of whom called after radio and TV stations reported that Sutton Place was being evacuated. Officials were standing by to move residents, and injured workers. While it was not one of the more serious things, it indicates how widespread this was: They canceled three Baltimore Orioles baseball games. They simply could not play with hazardous materials around.

**THIRD TRY, FIRST CONTACT**

Within a few hours more, it was time for firefighters to make a third attempt to reach the train from the north end. The south end was out of the question due to flooding. Captain Smith and Chief Ryer were on the team of six men. So was Dan MacFarlane, another member of Smith's Engine Co. 13.

Now, their faces were blackened by soot and they knew what to expect. This time they rode in slowly on a CSX truck equipped with rail wheel. Each man took two ox-ygen bottles and a supply. After a while, the truck stopped and four of the six got out, four of the six entered the tunnel through his building.

"Mom, you were absolutely right. You told us 21 years ago something would happen in that basement," he radioed. But they took it. Now the work of removing the train cars could begin.

**MOM, YOU WERE RIGHT**

Fourteen floors above, in her apartment at Sutton Place, Elaine Macklin was ready to turn in at midnight after an uneasy night of watching TV news accounts, windows shut tight.

All of downtown was sealed up. You could leave, but you couldn't come back. Police had closed every major road. Helping lessen the sense of isolation, Macklin had heard by telephone from friends and family, some of whom called after radio and TV stations reported that Sutton Place was being evacuate.

Officials were standing by to move residents to cots in the Baltimore Convention Center, but they took it. Now the work of removing the train cars could begin.
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What about foresight? They must have for-}
We need to know whether our emergency response personnel are trained and equipped to deal with hazardous accidents not only in urban Baltimore, but in rural Nevada. We need to know whether we adequately convey information on dangerous accidents to the public in time to ensure their safety.

We do not have reliable estimates of the need to upgrade infrastructure in order to handle unique threats posed by accidents involving dangerous substances. We will need these estimates to prepare a new transportation bill which we are going to begin next year, our every-5-year bill. The study required by this amendment offered by this Senator and the two Senators from Maryland is an important first step in that effort.

It was coincidental that I had the hearing this morning, it had been scheduled for some time—dealing with our decaying infrastructure. We need to do something, and one of the things we can do will be focused as a result of this amendment, which will cause the Department of Transportation and the General Accounting Office to take a look at how safe it is to transport and, if not, what do they recommend to make it more safe.

We are going to try to vote on this at 5:45 p.m. today.

There is going to be a vote today and we would like to keep it on Transportation. When we hear from the minority, we will be in a position to offer a unanimous consent in that regard. I hope this amendment will be supported. I think it should be an overwhelming affirmative vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maryland.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. President, I am pleased to stand with my colleague, the very able Senator from Nevada, Mr. REID, in cosponsoring this amendment to the fiscal year 2002 Transportation appropriations bill which calls for a study of the hazards and risks associated with the transportation of hazardous chemicals or radioactive materials on our rail and highway network.

According to the U.S. Department of Transportation, more than 800,000 shipments of hazardous materials, or hazmats, occur each day on our highways, railroads, and waterways. The total volume of hazardous materials such as flammable liquids and corrosive chemicals exceeds some 3 billion tons a year. While the vast majority of these shipments are transported safely, without any release, the number of hazmat incidents reported to the Department of Transportation has nearly doubled in the past decade.

As Senator REID has already noted, last Wednesday a 60-car freight train, including cars containing hazardous chemicals, derailed and caught fire in the Howard Street tunnel right through downtown Baltimore. The cause of the derailment and fire are still under investigation, but according to news reports, some fire officials speculate the fire started in a car carrying the flammable chemical used for making detergents and plastics.

I take this opportunity to commend the members of the Baltimore City Fire Department for their heroic efforts in managing the fire and protecting the health and safety of the citizens of our city. For nearly 5 days, the city firefighters undertook tremendous risks, courageously entering the dark tunnel, vision impaired by smoke, to face the fire and the volatile chemicals and hazardous materials that burned within. During the height of the incident, over 150 of the city's firefighters were on the scene and many more obviously reported for duty throughout the course of this incident.

The fact that injuries were kept to a minimum is a testament to the skill and professionalism with which the Baltimore City firefighters performed their jobs. I also express my appreciation to the U.S. Guard Strike Force, the Maryland Department of Environment, and all the other members of the team who worked around the clock to protect public health and the environment.

Firefighters' activities were largely completed last night. This morning, the last of the 60 railcars was pulled out of the tunnel. The tunnel is now free of the train and examination will now take place with respect to the structural status of this tunnel.

As Senator REID and I discussed last week on the Senate floor, this accident underscores the potential dangers to public health and safety, the environment and the economy in connection with the transportation of hazardous materials, but it also makes clear the need to invest in our Nation's infrastructure.

I very much welcome the amendment of my colleague. I want to underscore this is an issue in which he has taken considerable interest. In fact, he held a hearing this morning which had been scheduled, as I understand it, well before this incident took place. Senator REID and others who have been concerned about the infrastructure, and I know it is a concern to the chairman of the Appropriations Committee, Senator BYRD, shares with us, have for quite some time tried to focus attention on the necessity to improve the Nation's infrastructure.

Later in the consideration of this bill I will join with my colleague, Senator MIKULSKI, in offering an amendment to specifically begin to address the aging rail infrastructure in the Baltimore area. Our amendment would provide the grants to be available to local and State governments in the corridor to improve the part of the Baltimore corridor. Our amendment would provide funding to improve the part of the Baltimore corridor.

In cooperation with Amtrak, Norfolk Southern, CSX, the State of Maryland, and the City of Baltimore, to conduct a comprehensive study to assess the existing problems in the freight and passenger rail infrastructure in the Baltimore area. In addition, the study will cover the condition, track, limitation, and efficiency of the existing tunnels, bridges, and other railroad facilities owned and operated by the railroads. It would also examine the benefits and costs of various alternatives, including shared usage of track. It would make recommendations regarding improvements to the rail infrastructure in the Baltimore region or the construction of new facilities to reduce congestion and improve safety and efficiency. The availability of the funds would be contingent upon CSX, Norfolk Southern and the State of Maryland providing equal amounts to conduct the study.

Next year marks the 175th year of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. It is an honor to have this historic commemoration, this commemoration also serves to recognize the railroaders, the crew of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, in Maryland as amongst the oldest, of course, in the country. Indeed, major rail improvements made in the latter part of the 19th century, including rail corridors, bridges and tunnels, continue even to this day to serve by providing routes for significant inner-city passenger and freight traffic moving up and down the east coast, as well as providing links from the ports to the Mid-west and points beyond.

Two major main line corridors traverse Baltimore. Amtrak operates more than 100 trains a day through Baltimore, traversing through two sets of major tunnels, the Union tunnel and the Baltimore and Potomac tunnel, connecting Baltimore and Washington and Norfolk and Southern freight trains.

While a number of improvements have been made to the corridor since the 1790s, the basic infrastructure of the route, including the tunnels and bridges over the numerous rivers north of Baltimore, is virtually the same as that in place some 75 to 100 years ago. CSX, the descendent of the original Baltimore and Ohio Railroad also operates its main line through Baltimore. The main line serves traffic traveling north and south up and down the east coast and traffic which is ultimately headed west to the Ohio River Valley. Both movements converge between Washington and Baltimore and use the main line through the latter city. It is CSX's main line which passes through Baltimore by the 1.7-mile-long Howard tunnel.
Street tunnel where the accident occurred on Wednesday night. Most of this was built in the 1890s on a single track. Numerous other short tunnels and bridges are also along the route north and east of the central city.

The physical condition of the rail infrastructure and the mix of trains that use it cause various problems for the movement of freight and passengers. There are inadequate vertical clearances for the passage of certain types of freight since high-cube, double-stacked container trains. There are numerous chokepoints and there is capacity-related congestion on the Northeast Corridor and the CSX main line.

So the purpose of this study, this additional amendment that Senator Mikulski and I will offer, is to assess these and other problems in the freight and passenger rail infrastructure in the Baltimore region, and to identify potential solutions to those problems. We need to get some sense of what the possibilities are, what the costs associated with them are, and what might be a reasonable course of action in order to address this situation. I very much hope when that amendment is offered our colleagues will be supportive of it.

I do want to have printed in the Record at the end of my remarks an editorial from the Baltimore Sun about the effort of our firefighters and other authorities who responded to this emergency entitled, "There when you need them." I ask unanimous consent that be printed in the Record.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See exhibit 1.)

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. President, I want to conclude by, again, underscoring the very important contribution that my colleague from Nevada has made in alerting us, not just now but over a sustained period of time, to the importance of addressing the much broader issue. I, of course, have focused today on this Baltimore tunnel problem, but that is only illustrative, as it were, simply an example of the kind of situation we are confronting in many, many parts of the country. My colleague from Nevada, Senator Reid, has repeatedly stressed the importance of addressing this question. His amendment, which I join in cosponsoring, to require a study of the hazards and risks to the public health and safety, the environment, and the economy flowing from the transportation of hazardous chemicals and radioactive materials, and the need for an answer to it, I think, is a very important contribution. I strongly support it, and I trust when it comes to a vote it will receive the overwhelming support of this body.

I yield the floor.
safety, the environment, and the economy associated with the transportation of hazardous chemical and radioactive materials; and to take a hard look at our transportation infrastructure and the improvements necessary to prevent accidents involving such chemicals and other materials, and to examine the preparedness of Federal, State, and local emergency and medical personnel to respond to these accidents.

Well done, Senator Reid. This is exactly the kind of amendment we need. This is exactly the kind of amendment we need so we show we are standing sentry over our communities and making sure we have the infrastructure necessary to protect our communities.

That Baltimore tunnel is over 100 years old. It was built when railroads were built on the Eastern seaboard, with the B&O Railroad and it went west. It was one of the first railroads to go west. We want those railroads to continue to run. The Port of Baltimore will not exist without our railroads, so we are not going to do that. But when we are going to do our transportation, let's do it right.

The whole idea of examining the preparedness of Federal, State, and local emergency and medical personnel is also appropriate. As the chairperson of the subcommittee on VA/HUD that funds FEMA, this is also how we need to make sure our first responders and our emergency management people are ready. We have to have them ready as "all hazards" personnel. We could have something that was an accident, which was a chemical accident, where there are other things where there are attacks on the United States. This is where we need to be prepared. This is where we need to be prepared.

We support this amendment. I hope my colleagues will endorse it.

Also, my colleague, Senator SARBANES, has taken the leadership role of directing the Secretary of Transportation to study existing rail infrastructure in the Baltimore metropolitan area. It directs the Secretary to make those recommendations because we are worried about our rail infrastructure, including improvements in tunnels, bridges, and other rail facilities. We want them to do it in conjunction with the B&D Railroad and it went west. We want those railroads to continue to run. The Port of Baltimore will not exist without our railroads, so we are not going to do that. But when we are going to do our transportation, let's do it right.
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Also, my colleague, Senator SARBANES, has taken the leadership role of directing the Secretary of Transportation to study existing rail infrastructure in the Baltimore metropolitan area. It directs the Secretary to make those recommendations because we are worried about our rail infrastructure, including improvements in tunnels, bridges, and other rail facilities. We want them to do it in conjunction with the FRA, the chair of the Surface Transportation Board, the State of Maryland, our railroad folks, CSX, Norfolk Southern, and Amtrak.

The amendment calls for a study to be used, and it provides that the railroads in the State of Maryland also join in this joint partnership. I believe they will. These studies need to be done with a sense of timeliness and a sense of urgency.

Thank God we escaped without the loss of life. We thank God that there was no major loss of property. Thank God we didn't have to evacuate communities. But an incredible economic toll resulted. It was not only the Orioles game being canceled, but it was the day's freight which slowed down the corridor with enormous consequences. But the consequences would have been even more severe had we not had the current infrastructure in place.

I believe the best way we say thank you to the emergency management people, our firefighters, and for the excellent job our people did in responding is to have a parade, which I hope Baltimore has—I hope not only with banners, which we thought to display with pride, but I also think we should say it with deeds. And these two studies are a good way to do it.

Madam President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. REID. Madam President, before my friend leaves the floor, I want to express my appreciation to her, and also the senior Senator from Maryland for joining in this amendment.

The two Senators from Maryland can describe better than anyone here the terror of those brave firefighters facing a tunnel a mile and a half long, knowing there was a train in there and not knowing what was on the train but knowing there was a lot of smoke coming from it.

This was a real act of courage, as the Senator from Nevada aptly kept us on top of what was going there every day all across America in extremely dangerous circumstances. There was tremendous heroism there. But there is also tremendous heroism on the part of firefighters taking place every day all across America in extremely dangerous circumstances.

Mr. SARBANES. Madam President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. REID. Yes.

Mr. SARBANES. Actually, they knew what was in the train because they had the railroad manifest of what was contained in the railroad cars. They knew, in fact, there was hazardous material being carried in some of the 60 cars that were on that train. Firefighters do an incredible job in and day out all across the country. We generally sort of simply come to accept as a matter of course the tremendous risk they run. A high profile incident like this, of course, focuses attention back on it. There was tremendous heroism there. But there is also tremendous heroism on the part of firefighters taking place every day all across America in extremely dangerous circumstances.

Mr. REID. Madam President, I again express my appreciation to the two Senators from Maryland who have so aptly kept us on top of what was going on there. I also join with them on this amendment.

Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. REID. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the amendment prior to the vote, with no amendment in order to the amendment now pending; that at 5:55 p.m. the Senate vote in relation to the amendment, with no amendment in order to the amendment prior to the vote, with no intervening action.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.
Mr. REID. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY) are necessarily absent.

I further announce that, if present and voting, the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY) would vote "yea."

Mr. NICKLES. I announce that the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. DOMENICI) and the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. SMITH) are necessarily absent.

I further announce that, if present and voting, the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. SMITH) would vote "yea."

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. CARNAHAN). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 96, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 227 Leg.]

YEAS—96

Akaka  Doranz   Lugar
Allen     Edwards  McNamara
Allen     Ensign  McConnell
Baucus    Enzi    Mikulski
Bayh      Feingold  Miller
Bennett   Feinstein  Markoski
Biden     Fitzgerald  Murray
Ringesser Frist  Nelms (FL)
Bond      Graham  Neumark (NJ)
Boxer     Gramm  Nickles
Breaux    Grassley  Reed
Brownback Gregor  Reid
Burton    Hagel  Roberts
Burns     Harkin  Rockefeller
Byrd      Hatch  Sampson
Carnahan  Hutchinson  Sessions
Campbell  Hill  Sarbanes
Cantwell  Hollings  Schumer
Cleaver   Island (OK)
Cleland   Inouye  Snowe
Clinton   Jeffords  Specter
Cochran   Johnson  Stabenow
Collins   Kerry  Stevens
Conrad    Kohl  Thomas
Corzine   Kyl  Thompson
Craig     Landrieu  Thurmond
Crapo     Leahy  Torricelli
Daschle   Levis  Voinovich
Dayton    Lieberman  Warner
DeWine    Lincoln  Wellstone
Dodd      Latourette  Wyden

NOT VOTING—4

Domenici  Kennedy  Durbin
           Smith (NH)

The amendment (No. 1037) was agreed to.

Mr. REID. Madam President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mrs. MURRAY. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington.

AMENDMENT NO. 1038 TO AMENDMENT NO. 1025

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent the Murray amendment be laid aside, and I send an amendment to the desk on behalf of Senator SARBANES and Senator MIKULSKI and ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the pending amendment will be set aside. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Washington (Mrs. MURRAY, for Mr. SARBANES, for himself and Mr. MIKULSKI) proposes an amendment numbered 1038.

Mrs. MURRAY. I ask unanimous consent the reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 22, the amendment is dispensed with.

The amendment (No. 1039) was agreed to.

The amendment (No. 1039) was agreed to.

Mr. SARBANES. Madam President, I urge adoption of the amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further debate, the question is on agreeing to amendment No. 1039.

The amendment (No. 1039) was agreed to.

Mr. MIKULSKI. Madam President. I rise to speak on the pending Reid amendment regarding a Department of Transportation/General Accounting Office study on the hazards and risks to public health and safety, the environment, and the economy associated with the transportation of hazardous chemicals and radioactive material.

In light of the recent events in Baltimore, it is entirely understandable that Senators from Maryland would join the Senator from Nevada in offering this amendment. Many of our urban areas suffer from inadequate and perhaps unsafe transportation infrastructure. However, I hasten to point out that if this derailment had happened to a train carrying spent nuclear fuel or other radioactive material, none of the havoc we saw in Baltimore would have occurred. The Orioles would not have had to cancel games and there would have been no threat to the general public health and safety. That’s because the casks used to transport such material are subjected to rigorous safety standards by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and are tested in such a manner that ensure that a train derailment and any number of other accidents that could befall the casks would neither damage the casks nor allow the release of any radioactive material.

As many of you well know, transportation is one of the key issues that arises in the discussions we have had here on the Senate floor when we debate the matter of how to deal with the disposal of our spent nuclear fuel. But I need to remind everyone that we already transport such material—and have been doing so for over 30 years. There have been close to 3,000 shipments in this country and no fatality, injury or environmental damage has ever occurred because of radioactive cargo. That is not to say there have ever occurred because of radioactive cargo. That is not to say...
not been accidents. There have—but the casks have performed as designed. They haven’t broken open. They have not leaked or lost any radioactive material, and there have been no accidents involving spent nuclear fuel and radioactive waste. We will continue to do so. Great precautions are taken to avoid accidents and when and if Yucca Mountain is declared acceptable as a repository for spent fuel, additional transportation safety provisions under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act will kick in to ensure that the additional transportation of spent fuel will continue in a safe manner.

But we don’t have to wait for Yucca to open to have safety measures in place—we already have them. Shipments are happening now and are safe. A nuclear fuel container consists of literally tons of shielding inside a thick steel cylinder. Any container must be licensed by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission before the container is used for shipment. The NRC will not certify the container until it undergoes a series of rigorous tests demonstrating that it is invulnerable to impact, flames, submersion and puncture.

In addition to the safety of the casks, spent nuclear fuel may be shipped only along specified highway routes. Shippers submit routes to the NRC for approval ahead of time. The NRC checks that a route conforms to U.S. Department of Transportation regulations, requiring the most direct interstate route, and avoiding large cities when a bypass or beltway is available. NRC officials drive the route ahead of time if it has not been previously approved before or used within the past few years. They will check for law enforcement and emergency response capability as well as secure facilities for emergency stops. Inspections also require that the shipper notify the governor of each State on the route seven days before or after the shipment.

Specialized trucking companies handle spent nuclear fuel shipments in the United States. These experienced, specially licensed companies haul all kinds of hazardous materials more than 50 million miles annually. Vehicles are state of the art, equipped with computers that provide an instantaneous update on the truck’s location and convey messages between driver and dispatcher through a satellite communications network. Drivers receive extensive training and must be certified.

The DOT and NRC establish emergency preparedness requirements for radioactive materials. The Federal Emergency Management Agency and the DOE provide emergency response training for state and local law enforcement, fire departments, rescue squads, covering preparedness planning and accident handling. In addition, DOE radiological assistance teams provide expertise and equipment, including mobile laboratories, to every region of the country. Also, according to a voluntary mutual assistance agreement, utilities respond to incidents in their area until emergency personnel from the shipper and shipping utility arrive.

I have no objection to the overall purpose of the amendment, however, in having a study done on infrastructure and training. My colleagues should be aware that we already do that continuously for nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

AMENDMENT NO. 107

MICHIGAN CORRIDOR PROJECTS

Ms. STABENOW. Madam President, I rise to engage in a colloquy with the distinguished senior Senator from Michigan and the distinguished chairwoman of the Transportation Appropriations Subcommittee. As the chairwoman knows, over the past few years, the State of Michigan has competed for funds under the Coordinated Border and Corridor Program of the Transportation Equity Act (TEA 21). However, because of increased earmarking discretionary funds have been greatly diminished. This year, both House and Senate did not contain any discretionary funds, eliminating an important discretionary funding source for the State of Michigan.

I would ask the distinguished chairwoman to give consideration to a particularly important project on our U.S.-Canadian border in Michigan. The Ambassador Bridge Gateway Project will provide direct interstate access to the Ambassador Bridge and improve overall traffic flow to and from our U.S.-Canadian border, needs $10 million this year to remain on schedule. To date, there has been a total of $30.2 million in federal funds either spent or committed with a state match of $7 million. Any consideration that the distinguished chairwoman can provide is much appreciated.

Mr. LEVIN. I join the distinguished Senator from Michigan in asking the distinguished chairwoman to give this important project consideration in conference. The Ambassador Bridge in Detroit, MI is a critical project for the State’s trade infrastructure. It is one of the three busiest border crossings in North America, and more trade moves over this bridge than the country exports to Japan. It is crucial that we keep traffic moving safely and efficiently at this crossing. The Ambassador Bridge Gateway Project will provide direct interstate access to the bridge, and improve overall traffic flow to and from the Ambassador Bridge. This project also has a wide range of support from both the State, local government, metropolitan planning and the business community.

Ms. MURRAY. I thank the distinguished Senators from Michigan, and I will be happy to work with them in conference on this important corridor project.

SAFE TRUCKS ON AMERICAN HIGHWAYS

Mrs. HUTCHISON. Madam President, I commend Senator Murray and Senator Shelby for drafting an amendment that is attempting to address the issue of safe trucks on American highways. This is an issue that caused a lot of disagreement. I know it is a very controversial issue. I want to speak about it because my State is most certainly affected. But I think every State is affected by whether we have safe trucks on our highways.

We do not yet have an agreement on this issue that everyone can live with, but I think we are a lot closer than anyone thinks. I ask Senators Murray, Shelby, McCain, Gramm, and the administration to reach an agreement that will assure that we have the facilities and manpower to inspect every truck coming into our country, whether it is from Mexico or from Canada.

Second, we must make sure we have foreign-owned trucks and drivers meet U.S. safety standards, while ensuring fair treatment for our trading partners. That is our responsibility and our commitment under NAFTA.

Third, I think it is very important that we commit to providing the financial resources for the inspection stations and other border infrastructure. The administration asked for about $88 million in fiscal 2002. The Shelby committee report that is on the floor has more than $100 million to make sure we have the border inspection stations, without which we couldn’t possibly comply with NAFTA.

If we have good regulations and the money to conduct the inspections, I think we can come up with language that will be acceptable to everyone and keep our commitment under NAFTA.