

yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this martial-law rule. I oppose the process that it represents where the Committee on Rules meets in the midnight hour rather than opening its deliberations in the daytime.

The hearing for this measure was held yesterday afternoon at 3 p.m. We have had more than adequate time to prepare the rule. I am at a loss to explain why we are once again preparing to circumvent the rules of the body and ram this controversial measure, martial law, down the throats of our colleagues. What aversion does this leadership have to regular order?

The "martial-law measure" we are considering is an extremely heavy-handed process and, under the Rules of the House, a two-thirds vote is required to consider a rule on the same day that the Committee on Rules reports it. But martial-law procedures allow us to bring a rule to be considered on the same day it is reported with a majority, rather than two-thirds vote.

Frankly, this process is baffling to many of us. For the first time in years, we are using this heavy-handed procedure on an appropriations bill, making its initial pass through the House. If anyone could explain the real reason why we find ourselves in this position, I look forward to hearing it. I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on martial law.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the grounds that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 200, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 277]

YEAS—216

Abercrombie	Bilirakis	Calvert
Aderholt	Blunt	Camp
Akin	Boehlert	Cannon
Bachus	Boehner	Cantor
Baker	Bonilla	Capito
Ballenger	Bono	Castle
Barr	Brady (TX)	Chabot
Bartlett	Brown (SC)	Chambliss
Barton	Bryant	Coble
Bass	Burr	Collins
Bentsen	Burton	Combest
Bereuter	Buyer	Cooksey
Biggert	Callahan	Cox

Crane	Isakson
Crenshaw	Issa
Culberson	Jenkins
Cunningham	Johnson (CT)
Davis, Jo Ann	Johnson (IL)
Davis, Tom	Johnson, Sam
Deal	Jones (NC)
DeLay	Keller
DeMint	Kelly
Diaz-Balart	Kennedy (MN)
Doolittle	Kerns
Dreier	King (NY)
Duncan	Kingston
Dunn	Kirk
Ehlers	Knollenberg
Emerson	Kolbe
English	LaHood
Everett	Largent
Ferguson	Latham
Flake	LaTourrette
Fletcher	Leach
Foley	Lewis (CA)
Forbes	Lewis (KY)
Fossella	Linder
Frelinghuysen	LoBiondo
Galleghy	Lucas (OK)
Ganske	Manzullo
Gekas	McCrery
Gibbons	McHugh
Gilchrest	McInnis
Gillmor	McKeon
Gilman	Mica
Goode	Miller (FL)
Goodlatte	Miller, Gary
Goss	Moran (KS)
Graham	Morella
Granger	Nethercutt
Graves	Ney
Green (WI)	Norwood
Greenwood	Nussle
Grucci	Osborne
Gutknecht	Ose
Hall (TX)	Otter
Hansen	Oxley
Hart	Paul
Hastings (WA)	Pence
Hayes	Peterson (PA)
Hayworth	Petri
Hefley	Pickering
Herger	Pitts
Hilleary	Platts
Hobson	Pombo
Hoekstra	Portman
Horn	Pryce (OH)
Hostettler	Putnam
Hulshof	Quinn
Hunter	Radanovich
Hutchinson	Ramstad
Hyde	Regula

NAYS—200

Ackerman	Coyne
Allen	Cramer
Andrews	Crowley
Baca	Cummings
Baird	Davis (CA)
Baldacci	Davis (FL)
Baldwin	Davis (IL)
Barcia	DeFazio
Barrett	DeGette
Becerra	Delahunt
Berkley	DeLauro
Berman	Deutsch
Berry	Dicks
Bishop	Dingell
Blagojevich	Doggett
Bonior	Dooley
Borski	Doyle
Boswell	Edwards
Boucher	Engel
Boyd	Eshoo
Brady (PA)	Etheridge
Brown (FL)	Evans
Brown (OH)	Farr
Capps	Fattah
Capuano	Filner
Cardin	Ford
Carson (IN)	Frank
Clay	Frost
Clayton	Gephardt
Clement	Gonzalez
Clyburn	Gordon
Condit	Green (TX)
Conyers	Gutierrez
Costello	Hall (OH)

Rehberg	Larson (CT)
Reynolds	Lee
Riley	Levin
Rogers (KY)	Lewis (GA)
Rogers (MI)	Lofgren
Rohrabacher	Lowey
Ros-Lehtinen	Lucas (KY)
Roukema	Luther
Royce	Maloney (CT)
Ryan (WI)	Maloney (NY)
Ryun (KS)	Markey
Saxton	Mascara
Scarborough	Matheson
Schrock	Matsui
Sensenbrenner	McCarthy (MO)
Sessions	McCarthy (NY)
Shadegg	McCollum
Shaw	McDermott
Shays	McGovern
Sherwood	McIntyre
Shimkus	McKinney
Shuster	Meehan
Simmons	Meek (FL)
Simpson	Meeks (NY)
Skeen	Menendez
Smith (MI)	Millender-McDonald
Smith (NJ)	Miller, George
Smith (TX)	Mink
Souder	Mollohan
Stearns	Moore
Stump	Moran (VA)
Sununu	Murtha
Sweeney	Nadler
Tauzin	Nadler
Taylor (NC)	Nadler
Terry	Nadler
Thomas	Nadler
Thornberry	Nadler
Thune	Nadler
Tiahrt	Nadler
Tiberi	Nadler
Toomey	Nadler
Traficant	Nadler
Upton	Nadler
Vitter	Nadler
Walden	Nadler
Walsh	Nadler
Wamp	Nadler
Watkins (OK)	Nadler
Watts (OK)	Nadler
Weldon (FL)	Nadler
Weldon (PA)	Nadler
Weller	Nadler
Whitfield	Nadler
Wicker	Nadler
Wilson	Nadler
Wolf	Nadler
Young (AK)	Nadler
Young (FL)	Nadler

Napolitano	Serrano
Neal	Sherman
Oberstar	Shows
Obey	Skelton
Olver	Slaughter
Ortiz	Smith (WA)
Owens	Solis
Pallone	Spratt
Pascrell	Stark
Pastor	Stenholm
Payne	Strickland
Pelosi	Stupak
Peterson (MN)	Tanner
Phelps	Tauscher
Pomeroy	Taylor (MS)
Price (NC)	Thompson (CA)
Rahall	Thompson (MS)
Rangel	Thurman
Reyes	Tierney
Rivers	Towns
Rodriguez	Turner
Roemer	Udall (CO)
Ross	Udall (CO)
Rothman	Velázquez
Roybal-Allard	Visclosky
Rush	Watson (CA)
Sabo	Watt (NC)
Sanchez	Waxman
Sanders	Weiner
Sandin	Wexler
Sawyer	Woolsey
Schakowsky	Wu
Schiff	Wynn
Scott	Wynn

NOT VOTING—17

Armey	Istook	Snyder
Blumenauer	Lipinski	Spence
Carson (OK)	McNulty	Tancredo
Cubin	Myrick	Udall (NM)
Ehrlich	Northup	Waters
Houghton	Schaffer	

□ 1351

Mr. BERRY and Ms. ESHOO changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the resolution was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. McNULTY. Mr. Speaker, I was absent earlier today to attend the funeral of a member of my family and I missed rollcall votes number 275, 276 and 277.

Had I been present and voting, I would have voted yes on rollcall 275, yes on rollcall 276, and no on rollcall 277.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY COMMITTEE ON RULES REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2002

(Ms. PRYCE of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules is planning to meet next week to grant a rule which may limit the amendment process on the Legislative Branch appropriations bill for fiscal year 2002. The bill was ordered reported by the Committee on Appropriations this morning and is expected to be filed later today.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment must submit 55 copies of