Stan was devoted to his family, and is survived by his wife, Louise, and daughter, Anna. All of us in Cincinnati have suffered a great loss with Stan’s passing, just as we so benefitted from his full life.

ECONOMIC REVIVAL PLAN FOR AMERICA

HON. PAUL RYAN
OF WISCONSIN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, September 25, 2001

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I submit for the Record a letter to the President of the United States from a large number of reputable economists and public policy advocates who have identified a pro-growth pro-jobs strategy to revitalize the U.S. economy.

Dear Mr. President, We, the undersigned, believe that quick and decisive action is needed to rebuild the nation’s capital stock and restore economic growth. The economic slowdown that began in the middle of last year was perilously close to becoming a recession. But, because of what happened on September 11, what was a cause for concern is now a threat to national security.

The terrorist attacks destroyed a significant amount of wealth and damaged the short-term capability of key sectors of America’s economy. Recovering from these despicable assaults will be a tremendous ordeal, but dealing with this challenge is only part of the problem. Equally important is the need to restore the economy’s overall performance. If America is to successfully wage war on terrorism, we will need the resources that only can be generated by an economy firing on all cylinders.

This means substantial tax reform and significant tax rate reductions. We believe the core elements of an Economic Rebuilding and Recovery Package are:

- A shift toward “expensing” of business investment. It is counterproductive not to allow companies to fully deduct the expense of investment in structures, machines, technology. Replacing the current “depreciation” rules with immediate expensing—or at least a significant shift in that direction as contemplated in the High-Productivity Investment Act introduced in the US House of Representatives—will boost capital formation and help rebuild the wealth destroyed by terrorists.

- Accelerated implementation of the income tax rate reductions. The tax rate reductions enacted earlier this year constitute a significant long-term tax policy, but many of the pro-growth elements do not take effect until 2004, 2006, and 2010. This means the additional growth will not take effect until that time. The rate reductions, IRA expansions, and death tax repeal should be made effective as of September 11, 2001.

- Capital gains tax rate reduction. The capital gains tax is a form of double taxation that penalizes risk-taking and entrepreneurship. This tax should not exist, and it certainly imposes significant economic costs in today’s uncertain environment. A large and permanent—reduction in the capital gains tax will stimulate new investment and more productive use of capital.

We look forward to working with you to rebuild America and restore economic growth. Thank you for your attention to this critical issue.

Sincerely,
Paul Beckner, President, Citizens for a Sound Economy; John Berthoud, President, National Taxpayers Union; David Burton, Senior Fellow, Prosperity Institute; Stephen E. Engler, President and Executive Director, Institute for Research on the Economics of Taxation; Robert Funk, Executive Director, American Shareholder Alliance; James Gattuso, Vice-President for Policy, Competitive Enterprise Institute; Tom Giovanetti, President, Institute for Policy Innovation; Lawrence Hunter, Chief Economist, Empower America; Charles W. Jarvis, Chairman and CEO, United Seniors Association; Dave Keene, Chairman, American Conservative Union; Karen Kerrigan, Chairman, Small Business Survival Committee; Jim Martin, President, 60 Plus Association.

Dan Mitchell, Nevada Senior Fellow in Political Economy, Heritage Foundation; Steve Moore, President, Club for Growth; Grover Norquist, President, Americans for Tax Reform; Duane Parde, Executive Director, American Legislative Exchange Council; Andrew F. Quinlan, President and CEO, Center for Freedom and Prosperity; Richard Rahn, Senior Fellow, Discovery Institute; Gary Robbins, President, Fiscal Associates; Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Secretary of Treasury for Economic Policy, Lawrence Scanlon, President, Capitol Research Center; Tom Schatz, President, Citizens Against Government Waste; Lew Uhrer, President, National Tax Limitation Committee.

*Organizational affiliations are included for identification purposes only.

Identical letters were sent to the following: Speaker of the House Dennis Hastert, House Minority Leader Richard Gephardt, Senate Majority Leader Thomas Daschle, and Senate Minority Leader Trent Lott.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. JIM TURNER
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, September 25, 2001

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Speaker, on Friday, September 21, I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall vote 344. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

THE HOME EQUITY LOSS PREVENTION AND ECONOMIC RECOVERY ACT

HON. MAXINE WATERS
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, September 25, 2001

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to introduce legislation which I believe is critically necessary at this time. My bill, the “Home Equity Loss Prevention and Economic Recovery Act” or HELPER, will restore the tax deduction for personal interest, such as that on automobile loans and credit card debt. It will also eliminate the limitations on the deduction of student loan interest.

This legislation will help prevent the reprehensible practice of stripping home equity to pay nondeductible debt. I have been working on ways to stem predatory lending for years. These practices often end in families losing their homes. I decided to turn to the tax code to address this problem of predatory lending, known as home equity stripping.

Home equity loans have historically been the privilege of the middle class and wealthy, who generally have high credit ratings, income, and home equity. However, beginning in the 1980s, non-depository financial companies—lending institutions other than commercial banks, thrifts, and credit unions—began to provide home equity loans to lower-income communities, which were not served by mainstream lenders.

Persons in low-income communities typically have little disposable income, but may have substantial home equity as a result of paying down their mortgages or through the appreciation of their property values. This equity can secure sizable loans. While offering loans to low-income and minority communities can benefit these communities, predatory lending practices, which oftentimes use the borrowers’ home as collateral, have milked the last drops of wealth from many of these neighborhoods, leading to increased poverty and public expense.

When vulnerable persons incur substantial medical costs, suffer sudden loss of income, require credit consolidation, or need funds to maintain their homes, predatory lenders step in, offering loans secured by the borrower’s equity. Unfortunately, predatory home equity lenders target the most vulnerable homeowners—the elderly and people in financial or personal crisis.

The primary selling tools of these loans is the need to consolidate debt on which the interest is not deductible into a home equity loan, so that the interest can be deducted. Individuals with car loans, credit card debt and certain student loans cannot deduct the interest paid on these loans from their taxes. Often, these individuals will strip equity from their homes and pay high fees in an effort to consolidate this debt into one loan on which the interest is deductible. Frequently, these transactions involve high fees which offset any tax benefit that may be realized. Furthermore, after a loan consolidation, many consumers will accrue additional credit card debt.

My bill will remove the greatest incentive for equity stripping by making the interest on personal loans deductible, meaning that people with car loans, credit card debt and student loans that fall outside of current parameters, will now be able to deduct the interest they pay for these loans. The deductibility of the interest will lower the cost of borrowing for individuals and will prevent many individuals from overextending themselves in an effort to reap tax benefits.
I have been working on this legislation for several months, but decided that now is the appropriate time, because it has the potential to provide much needed economic stimulus. People will keep more of their money with these deductions, and will not be encouraged to pay high fees and risk losing their homes. I think that the time is right to restore the deductibility of personal interest and I would urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

AIR TRANSPORTATION SAFETY AND SYSTEM STABILIZATION BILL

Speech of
Hon. Rosa L. DeLauro
Of Connecticut
In the House of Representatives
Friday, September 21, 2001

Ms. DeLAURO. Mr. Speaker, today I rise with troubled conscience, to vote for the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Bill. The events of September 11, 2001 marked one of the darkest moments in our country's history. On that day, terrorists struck at the heart of our social and economic fabric and the ripple effects of this tragedy are still being felt. In particular, the airline industry was severely impacted, resulting in tremendous economic hardship for the carriers, the people who work for them and the travel industry as a whole. This bill will allow the airlines to continue flying; provide for the security of our airports and airways; and grant critical compensation to the families of victims of last week's heinous and barbaric attack. For these reasons, I hesitate to vote no.

Nonetheless, the bill does little for more than a hundred-thousand workers laid off as a result of this tragedy, nor does it help the employees in associated industries, such as engine and parts manufacturers, hotels, restaurants, travel agencies, limousines and rental car services, and all the others now facing lay-offs. I have serious reservations that if these concerns are not addressed in concert with this legislation, millions of laid-off workers and their families will be left behind with no guarantee that they will retain their unemployment benefits, health care benefits or receive any re-training opportunities.

The security provisions in this bill do not go far enough. The airline industry has repeatedly fought the government tooth and nail over increased airline and airport security measures and efforts to improve customer service. We cannot afford for them to fail, but they deserve a stern warning, not just a check.

I had sincerely hoped that last week's tragic events would have brought this Congress together in a bipartisan fashion to help everyone facing economic hardship. I look forward to legislation next week that brings relief and protection to those already unemployed and to the thousands of additional workers whose jobs are in jeopardy.

AIR TRANSPORTATION SAFETY AND SYSTEM STABILIZATION ACT

Speech of
Hon. Janice D. Schakowsky
Of Illinois
In the House of Representatives
Tuesday, September 25, 2001

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, every single member of this body and every person in this country understand fully that a functioning airline industry is vital to the functioning of our country. Yet I stand in opposition to this legislation, Why?

Because, remarkably, this bill completely ignores the heroes in the airlines industry who were and are most deeply and personally affected by the September 11 atrocities. I am speaking of the pilots who fly the airplanes, the flight attendants, the baggage handlers, the mechanics, the ticket agents—the workers who are now losing their jobs as a result of the September 11 attacks.

You can look through every line of every page of this bill and you won’t find a single mention of them. But those airline executives who earn over $300,000 will find a whole section of this bill devoted to them. It says that they can continue earning the same amount they did in year 2000, compensation amounting to $35 million for one CEO, $16 million for another, and $12 million for a third. And if those CEO’s decide they’ve had enough, this bill says their golden parachute can be twice their salary.

But not a word about the up to 100,000 airline industry workers who will lose their jobs even if we pass this bill. An angry and hurt Association of Flight Attendants says, “It’s sad how quickly those who sacrifice to make our great country work, even in these times of tragedy, get left out when corporations go asking for taxpayer money.” These workers are going to lose their jobs, and this bill says nothing about their loss of income, their loss of health insurance, nothing about job retaining.

Some other people are missing from this bill—passengers. Without them, no amount of money will save the airline industry. Yet nothing in this bill addresses the reason why airports are quiet and airplanes are nearly empty, why business travelers, vacationers, families, conventioneers are changing their plans and staying home or driving. That reason is simple: Fear of flying. In this entire bill there are only two sentences that refer to airline safety and then only in passing. If passengers are looking for a list of measures that will be implemented to make airplanes and airports more secure, they better not look in this bill. If they are looking for a security timetable, they won’t find it here.

I stand here tonight ready to help the airline industry—but not just a part of it. Those who say they will help the workers next week or next month must be asked, Why not tonight? To those who tell consumers to wait for airline safety measures, I ask, Why can’t they be part of this package? Are they less deserving, less important, less needy? We can go back and within hours add them. Then I would gladly and proudly vote yes.