

funding for entrepreneurial efforts of all sizes, such as microcredit and microenterprise programs and Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) projects. OPIC—a loan program that assists U.S. small business investments in foreign countries—is already developing a limited small business financing program to support U.S. investments in environmentally sound projects in Mexico. We should work to expand the availability of this kind of investment assistance.

Second, we should expand the mandate of the North American Development Bank (NADbank) beyond the U.S.-Mexico border region—an idea proposed by Congressman DAVID DREIER and M. Delal Baer, an expert in Latin American affairs for the Center for Strategic and International Studies. The NADbank has been a successful source of private-public financing of infrastructure projects along our borders. Extending its authority inland will not only bring good jobs into the interior of Mexico, but also would develop and further nationalize a transportation and economic infrastructure.

Continued investments in NADBank also would facilitate greater environmental cooperation between the United States and Mexico through projects geared toward advancing the environmental goals and objectives set forth in NAFTA and would enhance the overall protection of American and Mexican natural resources.

Third, both nations need to pursue a joint immigration policy that takes into account the realities of the economic conditions of both countries. At a minimum, the Bush Administration should re-evaluate the current guest worker program, which has proven burdensome for U.S. farmers and small businesses. Any calls for a liberalization of this program from President Fox should be linked to concrete programs to reduce illegal immigration into the United States.

Fourth, in a quick and simple fix, the Bush Administration should eliminate the annual cap on the number of visas issued to Mexican business executives to enter the United States. Currently, the cap stands at 5,500 and will be phased out by 2004. The United States does not have such a cap for Canada. Repealing the cap now would send to President Fox and the people of Mexico a positive signal about their nation's value as an economic partner.

Fifth and finally, it is important for the United States to be seen as a partner and resource when President Fox undertakes his pledge to reform Mexico's entire judicial system. With a law enforcement system plagued with inherent corruption and institutional and financial deterioration, President Fox will face numerous challenges. It is in our interest to help him upon his request, whether it be through financial or technical assistance. It is in our

own interest that he succeed, because our country cannot reverse effectively the flow of drugs across our border without the full cooperation and support of Mexican law enforcement. Additionally, the Bush Administration should explore possible multilateral anti-drug mechanisms and work with President Fox to decentralize standard day-to-day border functions of the hardworking and trusted law enforcement officials from both countries.

The issues that impact the United States and Mexico are numerous—all important, each interrelated with the other. Together, they present an enormous task for the presidents of both countries. Perhaps most important, they are evidence of the enormous importance of Mexico to the future prosperity and security of our country, as well as our hemisphere. The elections of Vicente Fox and George W. Bush present one of the best opportunities not only to redefine U.S.-Mexico relations for the better, but to bring all of Latin America to the top of the Administration's foreign policy agenda.

We cannot underestimate, nor can we neglect our neighbors to the south. President Bush knows this. He understands this. And, in a speech last August in Miami, I think he, himself, best described our relationship with Latin America, when he said:

Those who ignore Latin America do not fully understand America, itself. . . . Our future cannot be separated from the future of Latin America. . . . We seek, not just good neighbors, but strong partners. We seek, not just progress, but shared prosperity. With persistence and courage, we shaped the last century into an American century. With leadership and commitment, this can be the century of the Americas.

I couldn't agree more.

At this point, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution before the Senate be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and finally, that any statements relating to the resolution be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 13) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution is printed in today's RECORD under "Submission of Concurrent and Senate Resolution.")

ORGAN DONATION AND SUPPORTING NATIONAL DONOR DAY

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, on behalf of the majority leader, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Con. Res. 12, submitted earlier today by Senator DURBIN.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 12) expressing the sense of Congress regarding the importance of organ, tissue, bone marrow, and blood donation, and supporting National Donor Day.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, let me take a moment, if I may, to speak on behalf of this resolution.

Every day in this country we lose people because we do not have enough donated organs, and we do not have enough people who understand this problem. I applaud my colleague for introducing this resolution and join with him and the other cosponsors in asking for its passage.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution and preamble be agreed to, en bloc, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statement relating to the resolution be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 12) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The concurrent resolution is printed in today's RECORD under "Submission of Concurrent and Senate Resolutions.")

MEASURE READ THE FIRST TIME—S. 328

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, I understand that S. 328 is at the desk, and I ask for its first reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 328) to amend the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, I ask for its second reading and object to my own request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill will be read a second time on the next legislative day.

PROVIDING FOR A JOINT SESSION OF CONGRESS

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H. Con. Res. 28, regarding an address to Congress by the President of the United States. Further, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 28) was agreed to.