

Grucci	Matheson	Sessions	Baker	Graves	Nussle	Watts (OK)	Whitfield	Wu
Gutknecht	McCrery	Shadegg	Ballenger	Green (TX)	Ortiz	Weldon (FL)	Wicker	Wynn
Hall (TX)	McHugh	Shaw	Barcia	Green (WI)	Osborne	Weldon (PA)	Wilson	Young (AK)
Hansen	McInnis	Shays	Barr	Greenwood	Ose	Weller	Wolf	Young (FL)
Harman	McKeon	Sherwood	Bartlett	Grucci	Otter			
Hart	Menendez	Shimkus	Barton	Gutknecht	Oxley			
Hastings (WA)	Mica	Shows	Bass	Hall (TX)	Pallone	Abercrombie	Hall (OH)	Napolitano
Hayes	Miller (FL)	Simmons	Bentsen	Hansen	Pascrell	Allen	Hilliard	Neal
Hayworth	Miller, Gary	Simpson	Bereuter	Harman	Pastor	Baldacci	Hinchee	Oberstar
Hefley	Mollohan	Sisisky	Berkley	Hart	Paul	Baldwin	Hoeffel	Obey
Herger	Moran (KS)	Skeen	Berry	Hastings (FL)	Pence	Barrett	Honda	Olver
Hilleary	Morella	Skelton	Biggert	Hastings (WA)	Peterson (PA)	Becerra	Jackson-Lee	Owens
Hinojosa	Myrick	Smith (MI)	Bilirakis	Hayes	Petri	Berman	(TX)	Payne
Hobson	Nethercutt	Smith (NJ)	Bishop	Hayworth	Phelps	Blagojevich	Jones (OH)	Pelosi
Hoekstra	Ney	Smith (TX)	Blumenauer	Hefley	Pickering	Bonior	Kanjorski	Rahall
Horn	Northup	Smith (WA)	Blunt	Herger	Pitts	Borski	Kaptur	Rangel
Hostettler	Nussle	Souder	Boehlert	Hill	Platts	Brady (PA)	Kildee	Rodriguez
Houghton	Osborne	Spence	Boehner	Hilleary	Pombo	Brown (OH)	Kilpatrick	Roybal-Allard
Hulshof	Ose	Spratt	Bonilla	Hinojosa	Pomeroy	Capuano	Kucinich	Rush
Hunter	Otter	Stearns	Bono	Hobson	Portman	Cardin	LaFalce	Sabo
Hutchinson	Oxley	Stenholm	Boswell	Hoekstra	Price (NC)	Carson (IN)	Lantos	Sanchez
Hyde	Paul	Stump	Boucher	Holden	Pryce (OH)	Clay	Lee	Sanders
Isakson	Pence	Sununu	Boyd	Holt	Putnam	Clayton	Levin	Sawyer
Issa	Peterson (PA)	Sweeney	Brady (TX)	Hoolley	Quinn	Conyers	Lewis (GA)	Schakowsky
Istook	Petri	Tancredo	Brown (FL)	Horn	Radanovich	Coyne	Lofgren	Schiff
Jenkins	Pickering	Tanner	Brown (SC)	Hostettler	Ramstad	Cummings	Lowey	Scott
John	Pitts	Tauscher	Bryant	Houghton	Regula	Davis (CA)	Luther	Serrano
Johnson (CT)	Platts	Tauzin	Burr	Hoyer	Rehberg	Davis (IL)	Maloney (NY)	Slaughter
Johnson (IL)	Pombo	Taylor (MS)	Burton	Hulshof	Reyes	DeFazio	Markey	Stark
Johnson, Sam	Portman	Taylor (NC)	Buyer	Hunter	Reynolds	DeGette	Mascara	Stupak
Jones (NC)	Pryce (OH)	Terry	Callahan	Hutchinson	Riley	Delahunt	Matsui	Thompson (MS)
Keller	Putnam	Thomas	Calvert	Hyde	Rivers	DeLauro	McCollum	Thurman
Kelly	Quinn	Thornberry	Camp	Isakson	Roemer	Dingell	McGovern	Tierney
Kennedy (MN)	Radanovich	Thune	Cannon	Israel	Rogers (KY)	Doggett	McKinney	Udall (CO)
Kennedy (RI)	Ramstad	Tiahrt	Cantor	Issa	Rogers (MI)	Doyle	McNulty	Udall (NM)
Kerns	Regula	Tiberi	Capito	Istook	Rohrabacher	Engel	Meehan	Visclosky
Kind (WI)	Rehberg	Trafficant	Capps	Jefferson	Ross	Eshoo	Millender-	Waters
King (NY)	Reynolds	Turner	Carson (OK)	Jenkins	Roukema	Evans	McDonald	Watt (NC)
Kirk	Riley	Upton	Castle	John	Royce	Farr	Miller, George	Waxman
Knollenberg	Rivers	Vitter	Chabot	Johnson (CT)	Ryan (WI)	Fattah	Mink	Weiner
Kolbe	Rogers (KY)	Walden	Chambliss	Johnson (IL)	Ryun (KS)	Filner	Moakley	Wexler
LaHood	Rogers (MI)	Walsh	Clement	Johnson, E. B.	Sandlin	Frank	Murtha	Woolsey
Largent	Rohrabacher	Wamp	Clyburn	Johnson, Sam	Saxton	Gutierrez	Nadler	
Larsen (WA)	Ross	Watkins	Coble	Jones (NC)	Scarborough			
Latham	Roukema	Watts (OK)	Collins	Keller	Schaffer			
Leach	Royce	Weldon (FL)	Combest	Kelly	Schrock	Ackerman	Gilman	Peterson (MN)
Lewis (CA)	Ryan (WI)	Weller	Condit	Kennedy (MN)	Sensenbrenner	Baird	Inslee	Ros-Lehtinen
Lewis (KY)	Ryun (KS)	Whitfield	Cooksey	Kennedy (RI)	Sessions	Cramer	Jackson (IL)	Rothman
Linder	Sanchez	Wicker	Costello	Kerns	Shadegg	Deal	Kingston	Snyder
LoBiondo	Sandlin	Wilson	Cox	Kind (WI)	Shaw	Dunn	McDermott	Toomey
Lofgren	Saxton	Wolf	Crane	King (NY)	Shays	Gephardt	Norwood	Towns
Lucas (KY)	Scarborough	Young (AK)	Crenshaw	Kirk	Sherman			
Lucas (OK)	Schaffer	Young (FL)	Crowley	Kleczka	Sherwood			
Maloney (CT)	Schrock		Cubin	Knollenberg	Shimkus			
Manzullo	Sensenbrenner		Culberson	Kolbe	Simmons			
			Cunningham	LaHood	Simpson			
			Davis (FL)	Lampson	Sisisky			
			Davis, Jo Ann	Langevin	Skeen			
			Davis, Tom	Largent	Skelton			
			DeLay	Larsen (WA)	Smith (MI)			
			DeMint	Larson (CT)	Smith (NJ)			
			Deutsch	Latham	Smith (TX)			
			Diaz-Balart	LaTourrette	Smith (WA)			
			Dicks	Leach	Solis			
			Dooley	Lewis (CA)	Souder			
			Doolittle	Lewis (KY)	Spence			
			Dreier	Linder	Spratt			
			Duncan	Lipinski	Stearns			
			Edwards	LoBiondo	Stenholm			
			Ehlers	Lucas (KY)	Strickland			
			Ehrlich	Lucas (OK)	Stump			
			Emerson	Maloney (CT)	Sununu			
			English	Manzullo	Sweeney			
			Etheridge	Matheson	Tancredo			
			Everett	McCarthy (MO)	Tanner			
			Ferguson	McCarthy (NY)	Tauscher			
			Flake	McCrery	Tauzin			
			Fletcher	McHugh	Taylor (MS)			
			Foley	McInnis	Taylor (NC)			
			Ford	McIntyre	Terry			
			Fossella	McKeon	Thomas			
			Frelinghuysen	Meek (FL)	Thompson (CA)			
			Frost	Meeks (NY)	Thornberry			
			Gallegly	Menendez	Thune			
			Ganske	Mica	Tiahrt			
			Gekas	Miller (FL)	Tiberi			
			Gibbons	Miller, Gary	Trafficant			
			Gilchrest	Mollohan	Turner			
			Gillmor	Moore	Upton			
			Gonzalez	Moran (KS)	Velázquez			
			Goode	Moran (VA)	Vitter			
			Goodlatte	Morella	Walden			
			Gordon	Myrick	Walsh			
			Goss	Nethercutt	Wamp			
			Graham	Ney	Watkins			
			Granger	Northup				

## NAYS—108

## NOT VOTING—18

## NOT VOTING—14

Ackerman  
Baird  
Cramer  
Deal  
Dunn

Gephardt  
Inslee  
Kingston  
McDermott  
Norwood

Ros-Lehtinen  
Rothman  
Snyder  
Toomey

## □ 1449

Messrs. HORN, McCRERY and REGULA changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HANSEN). The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays. The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 306, nays 108, not voting 18, as follows:

[Roll No. 25]

YEAS—306

Aderholt  
Akin

Andrews  
Army

Baca  
Bachus

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, earlier today, I was unavoidably delayed by official business during the vote on final passage for H.R. 333. Accordingly, I was unable to vote on rollcall No. 25. If I had been present I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, regrettably, I was unable to be in Washington on March 1, 2001 to cast a vote on H.R. 333, The Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2001, when it came to the House floor. At President Bush's request, I was attending an event in my home state of Georgia with the President. Had I been here, however, I would have voted in favor of the Bankruptcy Reform bill.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, due to the 6.8 magnitude earthquake that struck my district yesterday I have returned to Seattle with the FEMA Director and was unable to vote today.

I would have voted against agreeing to the resolution to consider H. Res. 71 (rollcall No. 22).

I would have voted in favor of the Jackson-Lee amendment (rollcall No. 23).

I would have voted in favor of the motion to recommit (rollcall No. 24).

I would have voted against passage of H.R. 333, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act (rollcall No. 25).

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. DUNN. Mr. Speaker, I was detained due to being with FEMA Director Joe Allbaugh to assess the damage caused by the earthquake in the Puget Sound. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall No. 22, "no" on rollcall No. 23, "no" on rollcall No. 24, and "yea" on rollcall No. 25.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 333.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Ms. Wanda Evans, one of his secretaries.

#### AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN THE ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 333, BANKRUPTCY ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 2001

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 333, the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, punctuation, citations and cross references and to make such other technical and conforming changes as may be necessary to reflect the actions of the House in amending the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask to take this time to inquire from the distinguished majority leader and ask him to clarify the schedule for the remainder of the day, the week, and next week.

I yield to my colleague, the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I am pleased to announce that the House has completed its legislative business for the week. The House will next meet for legislative business on Tuesday, March 6 at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and at 2:00 p.m. for legislative business. No recorded votes are expected before 6 p.m. The House will consider a number of measures under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to Member's offices tomorrow.

On Wednesday, March 7, and Thursday, March 8, the House will consider the following measures: H.R. 624, the Organ Donation Improvement Act of 2001; and H.R. 3, the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Act of 2001.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to wish all of my colleagues a safe journey home for the weekend and a pleasant weekend with their families and constituents.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, if I may inquire from the gentleman from Texas, we have been hearing rumors on our side of the aisle that we will be denied an opportunity for a fair and fiscally responsible tax cut substitute when the bill reaches the floor next week. I ask the gentleman from Texas if that is indeed the case.

□ 1500

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman continue to yield.

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman asking that, and it is unfortunate when there are rumors that are upsetting the Members.

The fact of the matter is the rule that governs consideration of that bill will be drafted in the Committee on Rules, and there has been no determination from the committee regarding that. I really cannot, in fact, predict or even suggest what the rule would look like except that it would be, I should think, and we would expect it to be consistent with what the Committee on Rules has done in the past.

Mr. BONIOR. Well, I would say to my friend that that leads me to be even more suspicious of what may transpire next week or in the Committee on Rules.

I just want the gentleman from Texas to know that we would consider it a real breach of bipartisanship. And our reaction to not being able to offer on our side of the aisle, on behalf of 211 Members of Congress that represent quite close to half the population in this country, a substitute that would express our views on how we want to give money back to people, put money in their pockets, if that is not made available to us, I would assure the gentleman from Texas that there will be a very, very negative reaction on this side of the aisle.

I think that the gentleman, per his comments on precedent, can look back

and see that when there were examples of tax bills that came to the floor in the past, in fact when we were in the majority, did make available at various times, and I recall certainly during when President Bush was in the White House, during the late 1980s and early 1990s, we were able to do that for the minority. We expect to have the same kind of courtesy and the same type of response when we come to the floor next week.

We would be sadly and terribly disappointed and angry, if I might say so, if we do not have a chance to voice our view on behalf of 211 Members in our caucus.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will continue to yield, there certainly can be no failure on the part of this gentleman to perceive from the manner in which the gentleman from Michigan has just expressed that that would indeed be the case.

But the gentleman from Michigan, having served on the Committee on Rules while in the majority, must certainly be very well aware of the fact that the Committee on Rules does now, as it did then, take its responsibility and its prerogatives seriously. The rule will be written by the Committee on Rules in the Committee on Rules. I am just sorry to say that this gentleman cannot predict what the Committee on Rules will do at that time.

I am sorry that there is a rumor out there, but I have told the gentleman as candidly and straightforwardly as I can that the Committee on Rules has not met on this subject; that I have not discussed the subject of this rule with any member of the Committee on Rules; and I have no basis to project what the Committee on Rules would do except to observe what has been in fact the history of practices with the Committee on Rules with respect to rules of bills of this nature.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I would say to the gentleman from Texas, having served for 14 years on the Committee on Rules, the Committee on Rules is an extension of the leadership. It is a leadership committee. And I am sure the gentleman from Texas is not telling me on the floor this afternoon that he has no input into what is going to happen up in the Committee on Rules, because I know, and I think everybody in this institution knows, that the gentleman from Texas and the Speaker and the majority whip, in fact, do have an input, always have had an input on what decision is being made up in the Committee on Rules, especially on such an important issue as a major, major tax bill.

So we expect to be treated with dignity and with fairness, and that means having an opportunity, win or lose, to offer a substitute to what the President and the Republican Party wants to offer.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I do appreciate the gentleman's point. I mean the