

making an announcement about the schedule.

Madam Speaker, I would like to advise the Members that we will have this vote in just a few minutes, and after that vote the House will go into recess until approximately 5:30 this evening.

When we reconvene between 5:30 and 6:00, we will begin the debate on the ergonomics legislation. The rule calls for 1 hour's debate, so the body could expect then to have a vote on the floor between 6:30 and 7:00 this evening.

Those Members who would desire to be involved in that debate on that legislation would be advised to be prepared to be here by 5:30 this evening to begin that debate.

Mr. BONIOR. Madam Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ARMEY. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. BONIOR. Madam Speaker, I thank my colleague for informing us of the schedule for the rest of the day.

Madam Speaker, let me suggest to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARMEY) that since the other body debated this most important worker safety provision, probably one of the more important ones we have had in a decade, for 10 hours, why we cannot in the interim between now and 5:30 extend the time so that Members who wish to speak on this on both sides of the aisle would have proper time to develop their arguments.

It seems to me that an hour is far too insufficient to deal with the issue of this magnitude.

Madam Speaker, I would request the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARMEY), the majority leader, to give us some extra time so we can debate this fully.

□ 1415

Mr. ARMEY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his inquiry. Let me say, Madam Speaker, one of the fascinating aspects of the other body is that a 10-hour period of debate is known in the other body as expedited procedure. They adhere to that minimum amount of time under which they can consider legislation.

We have a rule, a rule that has been passed by the House, that calls for an hour's debate. The House, having expressed its will on that rule, clearly has committed itself to that course of action, voted on by the House; and that time will begin between 5:30 and 6.

Mr. BONIOR. Will the gentleman continue to yield?

Mr. ARMEY. I am happy to continue to yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. BONIOR. I would say to my friend from Texas, number one, we were not notified when we did the colloquy, the gentleman and I here last week, that this bill was coming up on the floor this week. It is a significant bill. It means a lot to many people in

this country. You know the numbers as well as I do. It affects 110 million workers. We were not told that it would be before us this week, number one.

Secondly, we think an hour, 60 minutes, on such a significant bill, divided 30 minutes on your side and 30 minutes on ours, is far too inadequate to deal with something of this major proportion, especially given that this review act is new.

Mr. ARMEY. Madam Speaker, I really do not believe that it is valuable to continue this discussion much longer, but let me say that the gentleman is correct in observing that there was no discussion about this bill during the colloquy of last week because we did not know then that the Senate would send this bill to us.

The Senate has sent this bill to us. It is considered to be an important bill, as witness the fact that this body, just a few hours ago, voted a rule with clear anticipation of bringing this legislation up tonight. So the body has expressed its will on the rule, and the purpose of my announcement is to inform this body that we will indeed take up this work, the rule for which you passed; and it will be taken up under the conditions of that rule between 5:30 and 6.

Mr. BONIOR. Madam Speaker, if the gentleman will continue to yield, we are trying to do this in a civil way. I understand the gentleman's point. I wish Members on their side of the aisle would listen and try to understand our position because we are trying to make a point. I have heard the gentleman's explanation. Some I agree with; some I do not agree with. There is no necessity to bring this bill up just because the Senate, the other body, acted on it recently, especially in lieu of the fact that as I said earlier, we were not given notice that this bill was coming up.

We are prepared to deal with it today, but we are not prepared to deal with it at 5:30 with an hour debate when we go into recess when we have got plenty of time to give Members on the floor of the House to express themselves. We will not have a proper debate on one of the most important pieces of legislation we will have before us this year. Why we cannot get an extra hour for debate is beyond me between now and this hiatus of 5:30. If it is in order, I would like to move and ask unanimous consent that we add another hour of debate to the rule that was passed just recently.

Mr. ARMEY. Madam Speaker, I believe I control the time. The gentleman is going to ask me to yield him time for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. BONIOR. Madam Speaker, that is correct.

Mr. ARMEY. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF DEBATE TIME ON S.J. RES. 6, DISAPPROVING DEPARTMENT OF LABOR RULE RELATING TO ERGONOMICS

Mr. BONIOR. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the time that was designated under the rule this morning be extended from 60 minutes to an hour and 20 minutes evenly divided on each side. One hundred and twenty minutes.

Mr. ARMEY. Two hours.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

Mr. MCINNIS. Madam Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. DICKS. Madam Speaker, point of order. Did the person stand that objected?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Yes, several Members stood and objected. The RECORD will indicate Mr. MCINNIS stood and objected.

HONORING 21 MEMBERS OF NATIONAL GUARD KILLED IN CRASH ON MARCH 3, 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 47.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCHROCK) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 47, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 413, nays 0, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 32]

YEAS—413

Abercrombie	Biggert	Camp
Aderholt	Bilirakis	Cannon
Akin	Blagojevich	Cantor
Allen	Blumenauer	Capito
Andrews	Blunt	Capps
Armev	Boehmert	Capuano
Baca	Boehner	Cardin
Bachus	Bonilla	Carson (IN)
Baird	Bonior	Carson (OK)
Baker	Bono	Castle
Baldacci	Borski	Chabot
Baldwin	Boswell	Chambliss
Ballenger	Boucher	Clay
Barcia	Boyd	Clayton
Barr	Brady (PA)	Clement
Barrett	Brady (TX)	Clyburn
Bartlett	Brown (FL)	Coble
Barton	Brown (OH)	Collins
Bass	Brown (SC)	Combest
Bentsen	Bryant	Condit
Bereuter	Burr	Conyers
Berkley	Burton	Costello
Berman	Buyer	Cox
Berry	Calvert	Coyne

Cramer	Hostettler	Moran (VA)
Crane	Houghton	Morella
Crenshaw	Hoyer	Murtha
Crowley	Hulshof	Myrick
Culberson	Hunter	Nadler
Cummings	Hutchinson	Napolitano
Cunningham	Hyde	Neal
Davis (CA)	Inslee	Nethercutt
Davis (FL)	Isakson	Ney
Davis (IL)	Israel	Northup
Davis, Jo Ann	Issa	Norwood
Davis, Tom	Istook	Nussle
Deal	Jackson (IL)	Oberstar
DeFazio	Jackson-Lee	Obey
DeGette	(TX)	Olver
Delahunt	Jefferson	Ortiz
DeLauro	Jenkins	Osborne
DeLay	John	Ose
DeMint	Johnson (CT)	Otter
Deutsch	Johnson (IL)	Owens
Diaz-Balart	Johnson, E. B.	Pallone
Dicks	Jones (NC)	Pascarell
Dingell	Jones (OH)	Pastor
Doggett	Kanjorski	Paul
Dooley	Kaptur	Payne
Doyle	Keller	Pelosi
Dreier	Kelly	Pence
Duncan	Kennedy (MN)	Peterson (MN)
Dunn	Kennedy (RI)	Peterson (PA)
Edwards	Kerns	Petri
Ehlers	Kildee	Phelps
Ehrlich	Kilpatrick	Pickering
Emerson	Kind (WI)	Pitts
Engel	King (NY)	Platts
English	Kingston	Pombo
Eshoo	Kirk	Pomeroy
Etheridge	Kleczka	Portman
Evans	Knollenberg	Price (NC)
Everett	Kolbe	Putnam
Farr	Kucinich	Quinn
Fattah	LaFalce	Radanovich
Ferguson	LaHood	Rahall
Filner	Lampson	Ramstad
Flake	Langevin	Rangel
Fletcher	Lantos	Regula
Foley	Largent	Rehberg
Ford	Larsen (WA)	Reyes
Fossella	Larson (CT)	Reynolds
Frank	Latham	Riley
Frelinghuysen	Leach	Rivers
Frost	Lee	Rodriguez
Gallegly	Levin	Roemer
Ganske	Lewis (GA)	Rogers (KY)
Gekas	Lewis (KY)	Rogers (MI)
Gephardt	Linder	Rohrabacher
Gibbons	Lipinski	Ros-Lehtinen
Gilchrest	LoBiondo	Ross
Gillmor	Lofgren	Rothman
Gilman	Lowey	Roukema
Gonzalez	Lucas (KY)	Roybal-Allard
Goode	Lucas (OK)	Royce
Goodlatte	Luther	Ryan (WI)
Gordon	Maloney (CT)	Ryun (KS)
Goss	Maloney (NY)	Sabo
Graham	Manzullo	Sanders
Granger	Markey	Sandlin
Graves	Mascara	Sawyer
Green (TX)	Matheson	Saxton
Green (WI)	Matsui	Scarborough
Greenwood	McCarthy (MO)	Schaffer
Grucci	McCarthy (NY)	Schakowsky
Gutierrez	McCollum	Schiff
Gutknecht	McCrery	Schrock
Hall (OH)	McDermott	Scott
Hall (TX)	McGovern	Sensenbrenner
Hansen	McHugh	Serrano
Harman	McInnis	Sessions
Hart	McIntyre	Shaw
Hastings (FL)	McKeon	Shays
Hastings (WA)	McKinney	Sherman
Hayes	McNulty	Sherwood
Hayworth	Meehan	Shimkus
Hefley	Meek (FL)	Simmons
Hill	Meeks (NY)	Simpson
Hilleary	Menendez	Sisisky
Hilliard	Mica	Skeen
Hinche	Millender-	Skelton
Hinojosa	McDonald	Slaughter
Hobson	Miller (FL)	Smith (MI)
Hoefel	Miller, Gary	Smith (NJ)
Hoekstra	Miller, George	Smith (TX)
Holden	Mink	Smith (WA)
Holt	Moakley	Snyder
Honda	Mollohan	Solis
Hooley	Moore	Souder
Horn	Moran (KS)	Spence

Spratt	Thune	Watt (NC)
Stark	Thurman	Watts (OK)
Stearns	Tiahrt	Waxman
Stenholm	Tierney	Weiner
Strickland	Toomey	Weldon (FL)
Stump	Towns	Weldon (PA)
Sununu	Trafficant	Weller
Sweeney	Turner	Wexler
Tancredo	Udall (CO)	Whitfield
Tanner	Udall (NM)	Wicker
Tauscher	Upton	Wilson
Tauzin	Velázquez	Wolf
Taylor (MS)	Visclosky	Woolsey
Taylor (NC)	Vitter	Wu
Terry	Walden	Wynn
Thomas	Walsh	Young (AK)
Thompson (CA)	Wamp	Young (FL)
Thompson (MS)	Waters	
Thornberry	Watkins	

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER TO INVESTIGATIVE SUBCOMMITTEES OF COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HANSEN). Without objection, and pursuant to clause 5(a)(4)(A) of rule X, the Chair announces that the Speaker named the following Member of the House to be available to serve on investigative subcommittees of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct for the 107th Congress:

Mr. HULSHOF of Missouri.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Additional Members will be designated at a later time.

NOT VOTING—19

Ackerman	Heger	Sanchez
Becerra	Johnson, Sam	Shadegg
Bishop	LaTourette	Shows
Callahan	Lewis (CA)	Stupak
Cooksey	Oxley	Tiberi
Cubin	Pryce (OH)	
Doolittle	Rush	

□ 1432

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT). Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 2 o'clock and 31 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HANSEN) at 5 o'clock and 47 minutes p.m.

□ 1747

COMMUNICATION FROM HON. RICHARD A. GEPHARDT, DEMOCRATIC LEADER

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from RICHARD A. GEPHARDT, Democratic Leader:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
OFFICE OF THE DEMOCRATIC LEADER,
Washington, DC, March 7, 2001.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTER,
Speaker, House of Representatives, U.S. Capitol,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to clause 5(a)(4)(A) of Rule X of the Rules of the House of Representatives I designate the following Member to be available for service on an investigative subcommittee of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct:

Mr. Clyburn of South Carolina.

Sincerely,

RICHARD A. GEPHARDT,
Democratic Leader.

DISAPPROVING DEPARTMENT OF LABOR RULE RELATING TO ERGONOMICS

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 79, I call up the Senate joint resolution (S.J. Res. 6) providing for congressional disapproval of the rule submitted by the Department of Labor under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, relating to ergonomics, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate joint resolution.

The text of the Senate joint resolution is as follows:

S.J. RES. 6

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves the rule submitted by the Department of Labor relating to ergonomics (published at 65 Fed. Reg. 68261 (2000)), and such rule shall have no force or effect.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 79, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BOEHNER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BOEHNER).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on S.J. Res. 6.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to bring this matter of great importance to our economy to the floor of the House for debate. For the first time the House will act under the auspices of the Congressional Review Act of 1996. We do so because of the over-reaching ergonomics regulation finalized by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration last November.

The ergonomics regulation has long been the subject of much debate in this