

If you are a lawyer downtown and the diamond industry comes to you and asks you to represent them to oppose the bill of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HALL), think about it. Because, in essence, you are representing the people, the people that have been responsible for this.

The bill of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HALL) is a responsible bill. It is a balanced bill. I think he is exactly right. We do not want to see a boycott against the diamond industry. We do not want to hurt the jewelers in this country. We do not want to hurt the legitimate diamond merchants in the world and some of the good places in Africa that are doing it.

So the passage of the bill of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HALL), we can resolve this issue and stop the killing of people and the cutting off of arms.

CONFLICT DIAMONDS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WOLF) and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HALL) for focusing our attention on this very important issue. They have been tireless in their efforts to raise awareness of conflict diamonds.

Over the last year, increasing attention has been given to the issue of conflict diamonds in Africa. The gross misuse of these resources in countries like Sierra Leone and Angola raise the stakes in Africa's all too many wars, making these conflicts more deadly by funding otherwise unaffordable weapons that are purchased overseas.

Yesterday, the Subcommittee on Africa, which I chair, held a hearing on the situation in West Africa, with particular emphasis on the destabilizing role of President Charles Taylor of Liberia and what has happened with conflict diamonds there.

The West African country of Liberia, I have to report, is in terrible shape. Over the past 4 years, President Charles Taylor has waged a continuous assault on the democratic dreams of the Liberian people. He rules by decree. He suppresses the press, including USAID-supported STAR radio, which he forced off the air. He sanctions, if not directs, the murder of political opponents.

As the subcommittee has profiled over the last several years, Charles Taylor is a menace to West Africa. One of our witnesses yesterday stated that, "Charles Taylor's role has been to mastermind carnage in Sierra Leone for the sole purpose of controlling its diamond mines from which he derives income to enrich himself and to buy arms and ammunition to continue his

control over Liberia and ultimately over the entire West African sub-region."

Now, Charles Taylor's accessories to this in Sierra Leone are a group that we call the Revolutionary United Front. Sometimes they are referred to as the RUF. A Panel of Experts report issued last December found unequivocal and overwhelming evidence that Liberia has been actively supporting the Revolutionary United Front at all levels in providing training, in providing weapons and related material, logistical support, a staging ground for tanks that they make and then a safe haven to retreat and to recoup, and has been aiding them in public relations activities.

President Charles Taylor, the report goes on, is actively involved in fueling the violence in Sierra Leone. Under-scoring his tight ties with the Revolutionary United Front, this report found that Taylor even uses personnel from the Front for his own personal security detail. This is the same Revolutionary United Front whose signature is forced amputations of men and women and children. I highly commend this report. It well documents the frightening syndicate of international crime and diamond smuggling that Taylor now stands at the center of to anyone concerned about West Africa's fate.

Acting on this report, the UN Security Council last week acted to impose diamond export and other sanctions on Charles Taylor. Sanctioning Charles Taylor was the right thing to do, but it was a mistake to give him 2 months to comply with UN demands that he stop aiding the Revolutionary United Front before the sanctions bite.

This man has a record. For him, peace agreements are tactical delays designed to lull opponents before he strikes again. This was the case with the Lome Accord to Sierra Leone. Taylor has worked a cease-fire between the Revolutionary United Front and the UN peacekeeping operation in Sierra Leone. Why did he do that? So he could free up the Revolutionary United Front to attack Guinea, which is now under way.

So now Taylor is making a bid to stave off the diamond sanctions and the travel sanctions, but it is a feint. Instead of waiting 2 months, the Security Council should have imposed these sanctions now.

West African states, frankly, in this region that are being impacted by the terror that is emanating from his training camps are weak, and these states are getting weaker. If we do not act with vigor now, the region neighboring Liberia will become an irreversible humanitarian and environmental nightmare. In a few years, our ability to do anything constructive may well be gone. We need to bring a sense of urgency to our West Africa policy. We are not serious about Africa if we are not

serious about this crisis of what is going on here.

So let me just say that Charles Taylor's time is up. For the sake of tens of millions of West Africans, it is time to act forcefully against President Charles Taylor.

UNITED STATES RELATIONS WITH IRELAND IMPORTANT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FOLEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, we are delighted President Bush has arrived in the Capitol complex, and he is here to celebrate Speaker HASTERT's Saint Patrick's Day luncheon here in our wonderful Nation's Capitol.

I want to take a moment, obviously, to celebrate this important day that is arriving in just 2 short days and to commend President Clinton for his work in Ireland, particularly to try and bring together peace in that region. Ireland is a beautiful country, and anyone who has visited there recognizes its emerald beauty, its hospitality, its friendliness and its importance to the United States.

But for too many years there has been strife, ethnic division, division created by religious beliefs that has gone on for far too long. President Clinton did his best to bring about a cease-fire, working with all parties to make a practical approach to peace, but the one thing that seems apparent to me more than anything else is our continued interest in economic ties with Ireland.

Wherever I have traveled and wherever I have met in the world's theater, one thing seems to be clear in their presentations to members of our congressional delegations; that if we bring jobs and opportunity, America's economic might and stick-to-it-iveness, what emerges from strife and fighting and decay and despair, what emerges from those difficult situations, are hope and opportunity, progress and peace.

When we recently went to the Middle East, King Abdullah, II, who is now the ruling leader of Jordan, rather than ask for military hardware and military might or more American funding, specifically asked could we introduce them to companies like Oracle and Microsoft and companies that may bring jobs and opportunity to Amman and places in Jordan. Because he gets it. He readily acknowledges that with work and opportunity and with income comes peace. People lay down their weapons in order to find jobs and prosper for themselves and their family.

In Northern Ireland, we have that same opportunity; and, yes, we have that same obligation. The President has announced his choice for Ambassador of the United States to Ireland. I

hope the Senate speedily confirms this appointment. It is important that we put someone in place to grapple with the difficult and tenuous issues we face in this region. But it is heartening and encouraging to see the progress that has been made under the past administration and the hopefulness of the future. Combining our resources, combining our strength, combining our character and our ability to persuade is our mission now.

So as we toast a cheer to Ireland and we celebrate a holiday in our Catholic faith, Saint Patrick's Day, and our remembrance of Ireland and the many immigrants that came to this country based on the potato famine or for other reasons, we are really encouraged today as we see many of Irish descent returning to their roots and their homeland because there is jobs, opportunity and strength.

Finally, if we could figure out the peace part of that equation and bring stability to the region, peace to our people and happiness for all, we will truly not only extend the blessings of our country but hopefully solve some of the world's problems.

SUPPORT THE NATIONAL SEA GRANT COLLEGE PROGRAM AUTHORIZATION ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from American Samoa (Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce the National Sea Grant College Program Authorization Enhancement Act of 2001.

In 1998, Congress passed and the President signed Public Law 105-160, an act to reauthorize the National Sea Grant College Program. In authorizing the National Sea Grant College Program, Congress stressed the importance of the coastal ocean, its margins, the Great Lakes and the Exclusive Economic Zone to the national interest and economic and social well-being of our Nation.

Congress also recognized the National Sea Grants' university-based network offers the most cost-effective way to promote understanding, assessment, development, utilization and conservation of our Nation's coastal regions.

But given the geographic scope and complexity of coastal regions, the Sea Grant faces a variety of unmet needs and challenges. These challenges include increased coastal growth and development and economic and environmental concerns.

Mr. Speaker, the U.S. has 95,000 miles of coastline and more than 3.4 million square miles of ocean within its U.S. territorial sea. Since 1960, the square mileage of coastal urban lands has in-

creased by over 130 percent. Today, approximately 54 percent of the Nation's population, our Nation's population, lives along the coast; and U.S. coastal population is expected to increase by 25 million people between 1996 and 2015.

There are more than 14,000 new housing starts every week in coastal areas, and approximately 1,300 acres of coastal lands are developed into urban lands every day. But our Nation's investment in coastal science continues to lag behind coastal population growth and development.

More than 180 million people visit the Nation's coasts annually, affecting the coastal infrastructure and resources. In 1993, 43 percent of the Nation's fisheries were listed as overfished. The Nation's 6,500 square miles of coral reefs, the rainforests of the sea, face new threats every day, with many already severely damaged or succumbing to environmental conditions and disease.

Runoff is adding nutrients and toxic chemicals to coastal waters, resulting in fish kills, loss of habitat and harmful health conditions. Expanded international trade and travel are causing unprecedented invasions of non-native plants and animals into U.S. coastal waters.

Mr. Speaker, Sea Grant's ability to address these problems have been significantly limited by financial resources. For example, although 54 percent of U.S. population lives on the coast, current funding for Sea Grant is only about 3 percent of the equivalent Federal funding from the U.S. Department of Agriculture for university-based Land Grant/Cooperative Extension Service Programs. In other words, Mr. Speaker, Land Grant Institutions collectively receive a direct appropriation of more than \$550 million per year and an additional \$350 million in Federal grant funding. I have no problems with that, Mr. Speaker. But, in comparison, the National Sea Grant College Program receives barely \$58 million per year.

Mr. Speaker, I believe it bears repeating. More than 54 percent of our Nation's population lives along the coast, but we only devote pennies to marine research. Sea Grant funds on an average less than \$2 million per State program. Many geographic regions are not represented, including the Western Pacific, which alone has a huge Economic Exclusive Zone. Some States like Mississippi and Alabama share funding, while other eligible States like Pennsylvania and Vermont have no institutional Sea Grant programs.

On average, there are fewer than seven extension agents per coastal State; and, in many cases, there is only one extension agent serving a major urban area. In Los Angeles, for example, there is only one extension agent serving 14 million people. In New York City, there is only one serving 12 million people.

Mr. Speaker, clearly Sea Grant's potential is limited with respect to its potential. The National Sea Grant College Program is a coastal science management and service program that engages the Nation's top universities through a network of 30 Sea Grant programs and some 200 affiliated institutions located in coastal and Great Lake States and territories.

Sea Grant conducts mission-critical research and development and utilizes a highly effective network of extension and communications professionals to transfer research results to users.

Sea Grant has been actively expanding its capabilities in areas of national interest, including health and medicine. In fact, Sea Grant is looking to the sea to find new pharmaceuticals and medicines and maybe even a cure for cancer.

Sea Grant is also on the cutting edge of marine science and marine aquaculture research. The U.S. imports over \$9 billion worth of sea food and shellfish a year.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to support this legislation, a very conservative one.

WOMEN IN CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, 11 years ago, the 101st Congress marked the bicentenary of this institution by compiling and printing a volume entitled *Women in Congress, 1917 to 1990*, a compendium of photographs and brief biographies of the 129 women who had served in the House and Senate as of that time.

The senior congresswoman in the House then, Congresswoman Lindy Boggs of Louisiana, who was later appointed as the United States of America's first woman ambassador to the Vatican, took responsibility for the printing of that document.

□ 1215

Since then, another 79 women have served. Thus, a new addition of "Women in Congress" would gather in one updated volume useful information for teachers, students and others about the 208 women who have served to date through all of America's history, including the 61 who now serve here in the House and the 13 serving in the other body. Currently we have 74 women serving in both the House and Senate, and 461 men.

Mr. Speaker, as we enter the 21st century, the time has come to update and reprint "Women in Congress." With it America marks the progress and substantial contribution that women are making in this most democratic legislative body on Earth.

I am confident that a revised volume will quickly become, like the previous