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March 28, 2001

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PUTNAM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to review and extend their remarks on H. Con. Res. 83, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2002.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

NAYS—205

Abercrombie (HI)          NADLER, Nadler
Ackerman (NY)             Napolitano
Allen (SC)                Neal
Andrews (MD)              Nebraska
Baca (CA)                 Nevada
Baird (WV)                New York
Balducci (NY)             New York
Baldacci (NJ)             New Jersey
Barr (CA)                 New Mexico
Barrett (CO)              New York
Bassett (RI)              New York
Berkeley (CA)             New York
Berman (CA)               New York
Berry (TN)                New York
Bishop (HI)               Nevada
Blagojevich (IL)          Nevada
Blankeney (NC)           -
Bonior (NY)               Nevada
Borski (PA)               Nevada
Boucher (LA)              Nevada
Boyle (CO)                Nevada
Brady (PA)                Nevada
Brady (FL)                Nevada
Brady (WI)                Nevada
Brown (CT)                Nevada
Brown (GA)                Nevada
Brown (OH)                Nevada
Bryant (MI)               Nevada
Capps (CA)                Nevada
Capuano (MA)              Nevada
Carlin (WY)               Nevada
Carson (IN)               Nevada
Carson (OK)               Nevada
Clay (FL)                 Nevada
Clayton (FL)              Nevada
Clement (GA)              Nevada
Clyburn (SC)              Nevada
Conyers (GA)              Nevada
Costello (IL)             Nevada
Cosby (MD)                Nevada
Cramer (MN)               Nevada
Crowley (FL)              Nevada
Cummings (MD)             Nevada
Davis (CA)                Nevada
Davis (FL)                Nevada
Davis (IL)                Nevada
DeFazio (NY)              Nevada
DeGette (CO)              Nevada
Delahunt (MA)             Nevada
DeLauro (CT)              Nevada
Deutch (NJ)               Nevada
Dicks (WA)                Nevada
Dingell (MI)              Nevada
Doggett (TX)              Nevada
Dooley (GA)               Nevada
Doyle (PA)                Nevada
Edwards (NC)              Nevada
Engel (NY)                Nevada
Eshoo (NY)                Nevada
Etheridge (NC)            Nevada
Evans (PA)                Nevada
Farr (CA)                 Nevada
Fattah (PA)               Nevada
Felner (NY)               Nevada
Ferd (MT)                 Nevada
Fitch (CT)                Nevada
Foster (CA)               Nevada
Geerhart (CA)             Nevada
Gonzalez (CA)             Nevada
Green (TX)                Nevada
Gutierrez (CA)            Nevada
Hall (OH)                 Nevada
Harman (MD)               Nevada
Hastings (FL)            -

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 6, MARRIAGE PENALTY AND FAMILY TAX RELIEF ACT OF 2001

Mr. DREIER, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 107–31) on the resolution (H. Res. 101) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to reduce the marriage penalty by providing for adjustments to the standard deduction, 15-percent rate bracket, and earned income credit and to allow the non-refundable personal credits against regular and minimum tax liability, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER TO MEXICO-UNITED STATES INTERPARLIAMENTARY GROUP

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, and pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 276b, the Chair announces the Speaker’s appointment of the following Member of the House to the Mexico-United States Interparliamentary Group: Mr. KOLSE of Arizona, Chairman.

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain 1-minute requests.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

THE NET CORPS ACT

Mr. HOnda. Mr. Speaker, I take this opportunity to come to the House floor to speak about legislation I introduced last week, the National Education Technology Corps Act of 2001, or better known as NET Corps.

As a former science teacher, principal and school board member, I am extraordinarily pleased that Congress is becoming more engaged in the plight of our schools. Much of the discussion on how the Federal Government can be more creative and how we can meet the needs of our schools. I agree that we do need to be more creative, and I am confident that the Net Corps Act is an intelligent and innovative way to make our nation’s high-tech industry, educators, and nonprofits.

Representatives from each of these sectors recently attended a press conference in San Jose where they voiced their support for this bill and efforts to improve our education system. I crafted this bill in the spirit of the Peace Corps and Americorps, programs that are based on the premise that American citizens of all backgrounds have something constructive to offer underdeveloped and neglected areas.

It is a shame that in America we must classify our schools as underfunded. As a member of the Committee on the Budget, I argue that it is a sad statement about our national values when our schools, our children the tools that will prepare them for the information economy.

I often talk about accountability. No, not just teacher accountability, but also about holding our political institutions accountable for inadequately serving our schools. I am discouraged by the Republican budgetary earmarks for education. The vote today only reinforces how necessary it is for advocates of schools to be creative.

NET Corps is creative and it is smart. The NET Corps program, an expansion of the Corporation for National Service, will recruit high-tech savvy volunteers from academic institutions and high-tech companies. I am particularly excited by the inclusion of the high-tech companies in the NET Corps.

The reality is that many high-tech companies already have organized programs and efforts to help our schools. Companies like 3Com and Silicon Graphics, Intel and Hewlett-Packard come immediately to mind. NET Corps rewards these companies for their efforts by providing them a 20 percent tax credit on the time their employees have spent in schools working directly with teachers and school administrators. But NET Corps is not about rewarding companies who are already active; it is about enticing engaged companies to lend their employees to help our children. High-tech companies are receptive to this legislation because they understand that the future of America’s IT economy rests on their ability to attract qualified workers.

I am pleased to be joined in my effort by my distinguished colleague, the gentleman from California (Mr. HORN), as a former president of the California State University at Long Beach, understands the great challenges our schools and children face,