COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DAVIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to discuss an important component of our health care delivery system. Community health centers for 35 years have undergirded the primary health care movement in this country. They have provided access to quality, affordable primary and preventative health care, regardless of a patient’s ability to pay. They have been a safety net for millions who otherwise would not have been able to afford health insurance.

Community health centers are the family doctor, the health care home for over 11 million low-income patients nationwide, including over 7 million minorities.

We talk about health care in macro terms, but when we really think about it in micro terms, day to day, it really is the vast network of more than 3,000 community-based health care center sites operating in urban and rural communities that make sure our citizens are healthy. They deliver top-rate health care with highly trained, culturally competent health professionals.

Across the Nation, health centers are staffed by more than 6,000 physicians, thousands of nurses, dentists, and other health professionals and volunteers. Health centers provide health education, community outreach, transportation, and other support programs in schools, public housing, and homeless shelters.

Community health centers have done an outstanding job of controlling costs. For the past 35 years, they have provided quality, cost-effective primary and preventive care to the hardest-to-reach populations, where they are most needed, for less than 76 cents per dollar served.

That is how they have controlled costs.

In my congressional district, there are 24 health center delivery sites. Each of them are jewels. They are cost-effective, responsive to community needs, and the patients just love them.

Unfortunately, they, along with health centers throughout the country, are facing severe challenges which jeopardize their ability to continue providing services for those most in need. For example, approximately 46 percent of Illinois health center patients are uninsured. That number is rising, while the Federal grants to address the health needs of this population remain stagnant.

The health center patients’ uninsured populations are working families who, for a variety of reasons, cannot afford health care for their families. The cost to health centers of providing this care cannot be recouped by them and falls into the category of uncompensated or free care, which is quickly becoming the number one factor jeopardizing Illinois health centers.

Also, nationally there are more than 43 million who are without health insurance. That number is projected to increase to more than 50 million by 2007.

The rising number of uninsured with problems associated with welfare reform and the cutbacks in charity care mean health center budgets will be challenged to meet increased demands. Currently health centers are serving 4.4 million uninsured Americans.

While I am pleased that President Bush recognizes the importance of community health centers and has set a priority of increasing the number of health center delivery sites by 1,200 in his budget, the President’s budget also provides an increase of $124 million for the health centers, and that is a good start.

Mr. Speaker, it falls short of providing the resources to match demand. I, along with members of the Congressional Black and Hispanic Caucus, are urging a $250 million increase for the health center program. With an additional $250 million, health centers will be able to expand in facilities in rural and urban communities.

Additionally, they will have the needed resources to hire staff and see an additional 700,000 uninsured patients.

Mr. Speaker, our Nation is divided when it comes to health. Divided along the lines of those with and those without. Obviously a disparity. I believe that if we are to become and to be the great Nation that we have the potential of being, then each and every one of our citizens must have access to quality, comprehensive affordable health care without regard to their ability to pay.

Since we do not have universal health insurance or universal coverage, the next best thing would be to have a community health center in every medically underserved community in this Nation.

H. R. 184, THE COLLEGE STUDENT CREDIT CARD PROTECTION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Crenshaw). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. Duncan) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, a couple of years ago, personal bankruptcies reached a record high of 1.4 million. Surprising to me, my own State of Tennessee led the way.

Today personal bankruptcies are still running at a rate of over 1 million a year, and all of this has been occurring at a time when the economy has been very strong, at least until the last few months.

People are drowning in a sea of debt, a sea of red ink, and most of this has come from credit card debt, people being seduced by the lure of easy credit. Easy credit and large debts have ruined millions of lives. Just think how many families are touched when you have 1.4 million personal bankruptcies.

Most of these have been mature adults. What many of us are most concerned about, though, is what is happening to young people, that is why the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Slaughter) and I have introduced H. R. 184, the College Student Credit Card Protection Act, along with approximately 40 cosponsors.

The “USA Today” on February 13th, last month, had an article that said, the headline is “Debt smothers young Americans.”

Arianna Huffington, the columnist, wrote a column in “The Washington Times” recently, and she wrote this, how far credit card companies have gone was illustrated recently when a mother in Rochester, New York filled out an unsolicited application her 9-year-old daughter had received. She listed the child’s occupation as preschooler. Under income, she wrote nothing.

The toddler was promptly sent a Platinum Visa card with a $5,000 limit, which Arianna Huffington said, she, no doubt, quickly maxed out on Barbies and Pokemon toys.

In the same column, Arianna Huffington said this, one study found that one in four college students carries credit card debt in excess of $3,000, and this debt is a gift that keeps on giving long after graduation. Sixty-two percent of Americans aged 22 to 33, the most of any age group, are saddled...
with credit-card debt, more than $2,000 worth on average.

They also said that the greatest anxiety over such debt, with nearly half saying it concerns them a lot.

In a “USA Today” article, it said this, as a freshman at the University of Houston in 1995, Jennifer Massey signed up for a credit card and got a free T-shirt. A year later, she had piled up about $20,000 in debt on 14 credit cards.

Paige Hall, 34, returned from her honeymoon in 1997 to find herself laid off from her job at a mortgage company in Atlanta. She was out of work for 4 months. She and her husband, Kevin, soon were trying to figure out how to pay $18,200 in bills from their wedding, honeymoon and furnishings for their new home.

By the time Mistie Medendorp was 29, she had $10,000 in credit card debt and $12,000 in student loans. Robert Samuelson, the economic columnist for “The Washington Post” and “Newsweek” wrote a column a couple years ago talking about how many colleges lured students in very excessive student loan debts, telling them not to worry about the big increase in fees that these colleges had imposed many times increasing their fees at many times the rate of inflation, just saying do not worry, we will give you a student loan. So many students have been getting out of college with $25,000 and $50,000 and $57,000 worth of student loan debts and massive credit card debts in addition.

It is just not right to start young people out or encourage young people to go so far into debt just as they are starting out.

The “USA Today” story said this, it said young people are taking advantage of all the easy credit card offers they are getting. A study from Nellie Mae shows that the average credit card debt among undergraduate students increased by nearly $1,000 in just the past 2 years.

The percentage of undergraduate college students with a credit card jumped from 67 percent in 1998 to 78 percent last year, according to this, to the Nellie Mae study, and many of them are holding their wallets with credit cards. Last year 52 percent said they had four or more cards.

There was one cartoon I saw in the paper and it showed a young college student, a female college student in one panel showing a list of 18 credit card hours she was taking, and the next panel she is flipping out a thing that says, and she has 18 credit cards to go with it.

“The Washington Post” ran a story and said W. Dyer Vest, a senior at Virginia Tech owns two T-shirts that he said cost him $2500. The shirts were “free,” actually as long as Vest signed up for two Visa cards at the table displaying in the campus center.

Credit card in hand, he proceeded to update his wardrobe, outfit his girlfriend, eat well at the restaurants and give generously was Christmas.

A year later, he owed $2500 to credit card companies and could not afford the required payments. He later dropped out of school for a semester.

John Simpson, an administrator at the University of Indiana said this, he said “credit cards are a terrible thing. We lose more students to credit card debt than to academic failure.”

Can you imagine that? An administrator at the University of Indiana saying that we lose more students to credit card debt than to academic failure?

Robert Manning, a professor of economics at Georgetown University and author of the soon-to-be-published book Credit Card Nation argues that giving children credit cards without limits is like handing them the keys to the family car with no restrictions.

THE BUDGET RESOLUTION AND CHILDREN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, when I look at the Republican budget that was passed today, it is clear to me who is taking care of the billionaires in this Nation. But I want to know who is taking care of our children.

The Republican budget resolution passed today puts children and their needs behind a $2 trillion tax cut that gives 44 percent of the benefit to the wealthiest 1 percent of Americans. In fact, a third of our children are part of families that would receive zero benefit from the proposed tax cut.

Let me say that again, one-third of all American children live in families that would receive nothing from the Republican tax cut. Nothing.

In my State of California alone, 1.7 million middle- and low-income families would not see a single cent from the expensive Republican tax plan; that is more than a third of the families in our State.

In recent months, we have heard the Republicans talk about helping children. I think it is time the Republicans put their promises to children in their budget.

The Republican budget does not fulfill their promise to leave no child behind, instead it leaves millions of children behind, behind in terms of reduced funding for childcare, reduced in terms of cuts to juvenile justice programs and behind in terms of educational dollars.

Mr. Speaker, last week the Democratic Caucus Task Force on Children, which I chair, released a report on how the President’s budget blueprint shortchanges our children. The Republican budget mirrors the President’s budget and is equally negative for our kids.

In fact, the Children’s Task Force found that the Republican budget proposal spends so much of their tax cut to make ends meet, that realizing reduction initiative would have to be eliminated, funding for after-school programs would have to be frozen, child care for 90,000 low-income children would be cut, and $145 million could not be cut out from Head Start spending in 25,000 fewer children and their families receiving Head Start services in the year 2002. This is not acceptable.

The Republican budget could reduce funds for maternal and child health programs, as well as those that I listed above, in order to make it harder for low-income children to have a healthy start and a healthy future.

Mr. Speaker, where is the compassion in taking money away from children and putting it into the pockets of the wealthy? Our children deserve better, Mr. Speaker.

Let us face it, in today’s world, kids are lucky if they have two parents living at home with them, and if they do, chances are that both parents work outside the home. They work hard. They commute long hours, and it is our children who are being left behind. Now is the time for us to be expanding programs for children, not cutting them.

This Congress should be considering paid leave for new parents, not tax breaks for billionaires. It is time we got our priorities straight and show our children that we care about them, that we care about their future.

Our children may not vote, they may not make contributions to political campaigns, but they must be part of every single decision we make here on Capitol Hill. The Democratic Budget Alternative that I voted for would have the Republican tax cut go to children now, working toward a brighter future for our children’s future by providing reasonable tax cuts so that they are aimed at the families who need it the most. It would have protected Social Security and Medicare, improved school and, most importantly, paid down the national debt for the future of our children.

Mr. Speaker, the Democratic Alternative would have made good on promises to leave no child behind. And our plan would also have moved all children forward, forward toward a bright future. The bottom line is that the Republican budget’s math does not add up.

Once they have subtracted $2 trillion in tax cuts for the wealthy, the remainder is much too small to divide sufficiently among programs that matter to our children.

Children may only be 25 percent of our population, Mr. Speaker, but they are 100 percent of our future.

The fact is, America’s children are America’s future. This Republican budget places both at risk.