two of you. You start to get nervous when someone else gets involved in the debate. They may be trying to help you or your opponent. You do not know what they are doing. Sometimes they do not know what they are doing. I understand where she is coming from.

This is not an exclusive club we are talking about. There should be no walls built up in the political arena to keep people out. This is America. This is the United States. We do have a first amendment.

One of the basic beliefs of our founders was that the public discussion of issues is essential to democracy. They did not have TV in those days, obviously. They did not have radio. The main method of communication was the printed press, posters being put up, or speeches directly given and directly heard, but the principle is the same. The more people you can involve in political discussion, the better it is.

There can be no walls built around the political arena where we say no one else can enter except the candidates. No one can participate except the candidates. No one can talk about issues in relationship to candidates, except the candidates.

This is just not what we do in the United States. That is not what this country is about. That is not how our political debates should take place. In essence, in a very revealing comment, my friend and my colleague from Maine certainly implied that. That is part of the problem with the way this bill is currently crafted.

This is the United States. I know many times when our campaigns drag on and on and they get pretty messy, and they get pretty rough, a lot of people say: Gee, why don’t we do it the way this country does or that country, such and such a country. They do not mess around. They call an election in 6 weeks and are going to dramatically restrict who can speak in the only way that is effective in many States, and that is to be on TV. I do not think we want to do that, Mr. President.

I thank my colleagues, and I thank the Chair.

CAMPAIGN TAX CREDIT

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, as chairman of the Rules Committee during the 105th Congress, I presided over numerous hearings on campaign finance reform and I filed two comprehensive bills on this subject. And, just like my colleagues over the years in the course of my four Senate races, I have gained a firsthand familiarity with campaign finance issues. The Senate can take pride in this debate, while issues regarding the first amendment have been central stage, it seems to me there is another fundamental issue we should consider.

One of our aims during this great debate should be to encourage greater citizen participation in elections. Citizens are the backbone of our democracy and should be given encouragement to participate in every way in the electoral process.

What are the means by which we can encourage a greater role for the average citizen? I believe one method is a $100 tax credit for contributions made to House and Senate candidates. I propose this tax credit be available only to single persons with an adjusted gross income at or below $50,000. For married couples, in order to avoid exacting a “marriage penalty,” a married couple filing jointly could claim a total of $200 in tax credits.

For various reasons, the wealthy are already involved in politics, but there has been a declining interest in campaigns for those at the other end of the spectrum. This credit could encourage greater participation by moderate and lower income voters to balance the greater ability of special interests to participate in the process.

There is precedent for such a tax credit. Until 1986, there was a $50 tax credit for contributions to political campaigns. According to IRS data, when Congress repealed the political contributions tax credit, “a significant percentage of persons claiming the credit have sufficiently high incomes to make contributions in after tax dollars without the benefit of the tax credit.”

My proposal would contrast with the previous tax credit because it would cap the eligible income levels to ensure it is not exclusively the wealthy who take advantage of it.

I think this is an issue that should be addressed in this campaign finance bill. However, because of the constitutional prerogatives of the House of Representatives, I merely bring this issue to your attention now, with the expectation I will raise it again in the context of a reconciliation bill that may be forthcoming.

Ms. CANTWELL. Mr. President, during yesterday’s campaign finance debate, I referred to a number of businesses that support a campaign finance reform proposal. I meant to say that top executives or chief executive officers of those businesses support the reform proposal.

OIL EXPLORATION IN THE ARCTIC NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, my colleague from Alaska, Senator MUNKOWSKI, and I just attended a press conference concerning exploration in the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

In attendance were: James H. Hoffa, International Brotherhood of Teamsters; Michael Sacco, Maritime Trade Department, AFL-CIO; Terry O’Sullivan, Building Trades Department; Martin J. Maddalonii, United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipe Fitting Industry; Joseph Hunt, International Association of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental and Reinforcing Iron Workers; Frank Hanley, International Union of Operating Engineers; Larry O’Toole, Marine Engineers’ Beneficial Association; James Henry, Transportation Institute; and Michael McKay, American Maritime Officers Service.

I ask unanimous consent that the statement made by Michael Sacco of the Maritime Trades Department of the AFL-CIO be printed in the Record for my colleagues to read. It offers great insight into the reasons why working men and women throughout the country support oil and gas exploration in the coastal plain.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

STATEMENT OF MICHAEL SACCO, MTD

With increasing energy problems throughout the United States, Americans are looking for new ways to meet the growing demand for energy products and ensure the continued economic expansion we have enjoyed over the past decades.

Only one location promises to help America meet its energy needs while providing...
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good-paying jobs to American workers—the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR)—survived the clear preferences of the Senate. By opening ANWR, the United States can increase domestic oil production, reduce our reliance on foreign sources of oil, and create hundreds of thousands of new jobs for American workers.

ANWR will be explored and drilled by American workers—the oil transported through U.S.-built pipelines—refined and distributed by domestic facilities—and its by-products used by U.S. energy producers and U.S. consumers.

These vessels will help keep the economic engine of this country running. Many of our brothers and sisters in maritime labor will crew the growing fleet of environmentally safe, double-hulled, U.S.-flagged tankers that will carry the oil from Alaska. These vessels will be American-owned—built by Americans in American shipyards—and serviced and repaired in American yards. In times of national emergency, the U.S. Merchant Marine is the first to enter the war zone to save our fleet. America’s military depends on the ability to project its power anywhere in the world. That means we need sealift which is capable of carrying fuel and supplies across thousands of miles. As we learned in Operation Desert Shield/Desert Storm, U.S.-flagged ships, American seafarers—employed on those ships, and the American shipyard workers that build the vessels, are vital parts of our sealift capability.

Opening ANWR to development also will enable our U.S.-flag Merchant Marine to grow and help expand our shipyard industrial base—both of which serve valuable military purposes. We’ve shown that opening ANWR will be done in a responsible, environmentally sound way.

Since the opening of Alaska’s North Slope, nature and development have safely co-existed. And today’s technology makes it possible to produce oil in a less-invasive and more environmentally responsible manner. The Maritime Trades Department stands with the Building Trades, major oil producers, the business community and all the members of JobPower in calling on Congress to open ANWR.

America will benefit for years to come.

TRIBUTE TO ROWLAND EVANS

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, today in our Nation’s Capital funeral services were held for Rowland Evans, a life-time journalist of international acclaim. This magnificently conducted service attests to and by an extraordinary gathering of family, friends, and peers, preserved forever the man’s extraordinary love of family, journalism, and service to country in the uniform of the U.S. Marines in combat operations in the Pacific during World War II.

The Commandant of the Marine Corps, General Jones, officiated in presenting the American Flag to the family to conclude this deeply moving service.

Rowland Evans was an astute observer of the values of our federal system of government, but his great fascination was with the political arena—the centerpiece being those who commanded the centerpiece being those who com-

peted for and won or lost elective offices.

His partner—his close friend—for over a quarter of a century, Robert Novak, rose to the challenge of chronicling with sensitivity, humor and insight his many lifetime achievements.

Senator KENNEDY, Senator SNOWE, and I were privileged to be in attendance at the services at Christ’s Church, Georgetown. We join in asking unanimous consent to have printed in today’s RECORD the proceedings of the U.S. Senate, a complex institution, which Rowland Evans keenly understood.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

EULOGY BY MR. ROBERT NOVAK

Having spent his life in journalism writing thousands of columns and literally millions of words, Rowland Evans well knew how hard it was to get things exactly right. So it was with his well-meaning obituaries last Saturday.

The AP report said he had been in poor health for years. In truth, until diagnosed with cancer last summer, it could be said he was the healthiest 79-year-old on the planet. Even for the past nine months, he was in invalid. His oncologist said he had never quite seen a cancer patient like Rowly Evans. Two weeks before he died he was playing squash, appearing on television, climbing the mountain at his place in Culpeper, even making a deal to finally achieve his long-time desire to buy the top of the mountain and complete ownership of it. As he entered the hospital with the days of his remaining and the bleak options were laid before him, he interrupted the doctor to talk about his chances for presiding over the Evans-Novak political forum next week.

The headline in the New York Times called him a conservative columnist. I guess he did end up as pretty conservative—this friend and admirer Robert Kennedy, the son of a liberal Democratic family on the conservative Philadelphia mainline who, at the behest of his New Deal father, delivered a speech in the marine uniform—for Franklin Roosevelt in 1944.

When Kay Winton told her liberal father she had fallen in love with Rowly, she con-

cluded by saying: and, Daddy, he’s a liberal! Nearly half a century later, her husband was singing the praises of Ronald Reagan and Newt Gingrich.

Still I can think of words more descriptive of the whole man than conservative: reporter, patriot, mentor, competitor, even—and here using a description by his wife of 51 years—rascal.

He rejoiced in his rascality and loved to talk about it. About the time as Marine re-

cruit at Parris Island, when he spotted an old buddy from the Kent School who was a Marine lieutenant. They decided to have a drink together, but where could an officer and an enlisted man go together? To go to the Offic-

ers Club, right? To go to the War Oﬃcers Club, his friend dressed Rowly as an offi-

cer. All went well until Rowly spotted his own commanding officer at the bar. They

were both out of uniform and expected to play squash tournament at the Met-

ropolitan Club, just trying to drive from Georgetown to Culpeper without hitting a stoplight. He could recite nearly every shot of the semi-

final match in the National Father-and-Son Tennis Tournament when he was 14 years old.

He was a happy warrior, a delight at any dinner party, playing the piano, stirring up trou-

ble. But beneath these high spirits burned the heart of a patriot—the Yale freshman who stood in line on December 8, 1941, at the entrance to the Marine Corps, exchang-

ing the privileged life he had always known for combat at Guadalcanal.

His fierce passion for the security of his country brought him to the very peak of his journalism. It guided his greatest journalistic achievements—his exposure of Soviet arms control cheating in the 1970’s that challenged international detente. In times of national emergency, the U.S. Government sought to hide, his in-

formed forecasts of the fall of the communist empire in Czechoslovakia and Poland.

That passion embodied Rowly in con-

versation. When he refused to accept the Gov-

erment cover-up of the bombing of the U.S.S. Liberty in the Six-day War. He could not let the reasons for the death of fellow Americans serving their country go unno-

ticed.

Rowland Evans was no deskbound column-

nist. In the tradition of his great friends the Alsop brothers, he went everywhere—and anywhere—for a story: China, Southeast Asia, all over Eastern Europe, the Mideast, the Indian subcontinent. He skirted death in the Persian Gulf War and in Vietnam War, and his friend Woody Redmond skated the frozen Potomac River before being hailed—and nearly arrested—by police.

The skating incident also illustrated one of the sources of competitive sports any of us have ever seen—playing competitive ice hockey until he was 40, winning squash tour-

nament after squash tournament at the Met-

ropolitan Club into his 70’s and ranked na-

tionally among senior squash players, play-

ing tennis or bridge or poker, shooting dice for the Metropolitan Club, just trying to drive from Georgetown to Culpeper without hitting a stoplight. He could recite nearly every shot of the semi-

final match in the National Father-and-Son Tennis Tournament when he was 14 years old.

And Rowly said the crowning achievement of his life was the decision he and his friend Woody Redmond skated the frozen Potomac River before being hailed—and nearly arrested—by police.

The skating incident also illustrated one of the sources of competitive sports any of us have ever seen—playing competitive ice hockey until he was 40, winning squash tour-

nament after squash tournament at the Met-

ropolitan Club into his 70’s and ranked na-

tionally among senior squash players, play-

ing tennis or bridge or poker, shooting dice for the Metropolitan Club, just trying to drive from Georgetown to Culpeper without hitting a stoplight. He could recite nearly every shot of the semi-

final match in the National Father-and-Son Tennis Tournament when he was 14 years old.

But the heart of his reporting was here in Washington. His sources were legion: the mighty of Washington and obscure staffers, CIA spooks and mysterious emigres. All were interrogated in the dining room of the Metropolitan Club.

In the last week, I have been contacted by so many younger people in the news business who told me how Rowly counseled them, gave them a helping hand. His was what Stew Alsop called the reporter’s trade and he sought to pass it along to a new generation. If I may close with a strictly personal note. On the morning of Monday, December 17, 1963, returning to the Washington Bureau of The New York Herald Tribune, I was blacking out in the moon. I found a batch of notes from a re-

porter from the New York Herald Tribune whom I barely knew: Rowland Evans. When I called his name, he took me down to theucchini at the Metropolitan Club by the way but at Blackie’s House of Beef. It was a lunch that changed my life and made my career. That event was the column which lasted for 30 years until his retirement and a partnership of 38 years that continued