SURVIVING SCHOOL VIOLENCE

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, earlier this week a Today Show reporter interviewed Mr. Bob Stuber, a former police officer from California, who maintains a website called Escapeschool.com. Mr. Stuber's website gives advice to students who may one day find themselves caught in the crossfire of a shooting at school. The former police officer offers practical information in this day and age, such as what gunfire sounds like, what to do when a student hears gunfire, and what a student should look for in a hiding place.

It is simply heart breaking that this type of advice is even necessary. Yet, students in school are increasingly worried for their safety. Escapeschool.com is a valuable resource because in addition to giving advice to students, it also gives advice to schools and communities to try to prevent such shootings, and information for parents who want to communicate with their children about these events.

I encourage students and parents to look at this website and talk to each other about some of the dangers associated with guns. I also encourage my colleagues to look at the website with the hope that we in Congress can restart a dialogue about how to limit youth access to guns and reduce such shootings in American schools.

I ask consent to print in the Record excerpts from the transcript of the interview with Mr. Bob Stuber.

Mr. STUBER. Absolutely! There are certain policies in place in some of the schools where under the best case scenario, they want them to go to a certain room and hide, and if you can do that, that's great. That will hide you and protect you. But the very first thing you begin to think is—what that's cover. That will hide you and protect you. A hedge is concealment. It will hide in a shooting.

O'BRIEN. Where to run.

Mr. STUBER. Right. Where you—you don't want to run in a straight line. You want to either run in a zigzag fashion or you want to turn a corner because bullets don't turn corners. If you're going to hide and you pick a car, you want to hide at the front of the car because then there is nothing that can stop a bullet. The middle of the car, the back of the car can't. Those little tips, and they're not frightening, those little tips are the things that make a difference.

O'BRIEN. Do you think a student should hide in—in a shooting?

Mr. STUBER. Yeah. Absolutely. What we think students should do first of all is—know the difference between cover and concealment. What they want to find is cover. For instance, a big tree with a giant trunk, that's cover. That will hide you and protect you. A hedge is concealment. It will hide you, but it won't protect you. Students have to find a place to hide where they can be safe, that's the very first thing you begin to teach them, what to look for in a hiding spot.

O'BRIEN. If students are inside the classroom, is the best advice to stay inside the classroom? Or is the best advice to leave that classroom as soon as possible?

Mr. STUBER. Right. Where you don't want to run in a straight line. You want to either run in a zigzag fashion or you want to turn a corner because bullets don't turn corners. If you're going to hide and you pick a car, you want to hide at the front of the car because then there is nothing that can stop a bullet. The middle of the car, the back of the car can't. Those little tips, and they're not frightening, those little tips are the things that make a difference.

O'BRIEN. Do you think then that that's an indication that that's the way to go? Schools should have armed officers in the hallways?

Mr. STUBER. Well, you know, in the last two shootings, it kind of helped out, but there isn't very strong evidence that says it's a preventative tool. It was good that they were there. I'm not so sure schools have to go in that direction. There's so little data right now, and it's based on your own personal observation. So right now what we're trying to center on is the techniques that the students themselves can practice while all the data is being collected to make definitive prevention policies.

O'BRIEN. They didn't believe them. How do you make the threats actually get to the notice of the teachers?

Mr. STUBER. That is a big deal. You know, in almost every one of these shootings there has been threats, rumors or jokes. And some students haven't reported them. One of the reasons some students give is that there was no system for reporting anonymously. Schools have to provide a system where the student can report anonymously. It—because if the person finds out that you're the one that reported him, you—you may end up getting in more trouble. So students are reluctant to report. They're also thinking, "Well, I'm going to get my friend in trouble." Let's be like being at the airport. No jokes allowed in this area. Parents and schools have to tell them, report. Even a joke, you have to report.

O'BRIEN. Some good advice.

RADIATION EXPOSURE COMPENSATION ACT

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask my colleagues to imagine the following nightmare:

You have spent years in the uranium mines helping to build America's nuclear programs. As a result, you have contracted a debilitating and too often deadly radiation-related disease that has caused severe emotional and physical suffering. Most of life's joys have long since ended.

Your only solace is that the government is going to pay you for your suffering. Certainly, the money will never be enough to compensate you for what you've lost, but at least your medical bills will be paid. At least, if you lose this fight your family will be left with money.

However, when you open the Justice Department letter that you have long awaited, it reads:

I am pleased to inform you that your claim for compensation under the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act has been approved. Regrettably, because the money available to pay claims has been exhausted, we are unable to send a compensation payment to you at this time. When Congress provides additional funds, we will contact you to commence the payment process. Thank you for your understanding.

Unfortunately, my fellow Senators, this is not a bad dream, but rather the terrible reality for hundreds of uranium miners, federal workers, and downwinders who have contracted these deadly radiation-related diseases. One such individual is Bob Key.

Bob Key helped build our nation's nuclear arsenal and end the Cold War through his difficult work as a uranium miner. Little did he know at the time that the uranium was slowly ravaging his body. As a result, Mr. Key
has spent many years enduring the grueling pain associated with pulmonary fibrosis, which requires him to be hooked up to an oxygen tank for four hours on end. Recently, Mr. Key, 61, needed a tracheotomy simply to help him breathe.

Yet, despite his enormous suffering, Mr. Key has not received the $100,000 compensation from the government for which he is entitled under the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act of 1990. Instead, he received a five-line IOU from the Justice Department stating that there was not enough money to indemnify him for his suffering. This is a disgrace.

Unfortunately, Mr. Key’s horror story is a familiar one for many uranium miners, federal workers, and downwinders from New Mexico, Colorado, Arizona, and Utah. In some cases, the miners have died and their loved ones are left holding nothing but a Justice Department IOU. In 1990, when we passed the Domenici-authored Radiation Exposure Compensation Act, we never envisioned that these miners would receive IOUs. However, the fund is now bankrupt because of expansions in the program and Congress’ failure to appropriate enough money.

This injustice must be rectified. I rise today to urge my colleagues to remedy this lack of funding. Those who gave so much for our nation’s security through their work on our nuclear programs must be compensated for the enormous price they paid. Anything less is unacceptable.

Senator Harkin and I have introduced two bills that will provide full funding for the Radiation Exposure Compensation Trust Fund. We proposed legislation seeking $84 million in emergency supplemental appropriations to pay those claims that have already been approved as well as the projected number of approved claims for fiscal year 2001. This legislation would also make all future payments for approved claims mandatory.

With this legislation, we will ensure that those who gave so much for our nation will at least receive their deserved benefits. We must never again let their sacrifice go unanswered. I again ask my Senate colleagues to help us right this wrong and give these victims the just compensation they deserve.

I ask unanimous consent that the March 27 New York Times article be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD:

[From the New York Times, March 27, 2001]

ILL URBAN MINERS LEFT WAITING AS PAYMENTS FOR EXPOSURE LAPSE
(From Michael Janosky)

GRAND JUNCTION, Colo., March 20—For all the reminders of Bob Key’s cold war effort, mining uranium for America’s nuclear weapons programs, none stands out more than the tank of oxygen tethered to his throat. Mr. Key, 61, has pulmonary fibrosis, a scarring of the lungs that is often fatal. A recent tracheotomy helps air flow to his lungs through a tube connected to the tank.

A decade ago, Congress recognized the contributions of Mr. Key and other uranium miners and passed the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act of 1990. Signed by President George Bush, the law established one-time payments of up to $200,000 to miners or their families and to people who lived downwind from the nuclear test sites in Nevada. Last year, Congress increased the payments to $150,000.

But after years of smooth operations, the program is broke. Scrambling last year to pass President Bill Clinton’s final budget, lawmakers never debated the Justice Department’s request for additional money to cover the expanded program even as new applications were pouring in, and by May, nothing was left. And Congress has been reluctant to act until it decides how to apportion the federal surplus and how much to cut taxes.

As a result, for the first time, claims from hundreds of eligible applicants like Mr. Key have been held up, with many of the applicants receiving IOU letters from the Justice Department, which still amount to $26,922.

And the demand is only increasing. Claims from another 1,600 applicants under the original law are pending, and the department estimates that as many as 1,050 new applicants are expected to file for benefits this year, a number that could raise the cost of the program to more than $80 million.

“It’s been a bureaucratic travesty,” said Representative Scott McInnis, a Republican from Grand Junction, a city in western Colorado, who introduced legislation this year seeking $84 million to restore the program.

“These people are due their compensation. There is nothing to be adjudicated. The money is owed. The debt is due.”

For now, Congress has not decided how or when to continue the program. Lawmakers are discussing legislation that would be part of the current year’s budget to provide money right away.

Meanwhile, almost 200 people who have been approved for payments have already died, leaving the I.O.U.’s, including relatives of some miners who have died of their illnesses while waiting.

“Just since January, we’ve lost five clients, and I’m sure there are more we’re not aware of,” said Keith Killian, a lawyer here who represents former uranium miners and their families. Rebecca Rockwell, a private investigator in Durango, Colo., said she represented the families of at least 10 clients with I.O.U. letters who have died.

Senator Pete V. Domenici of New Mexico and Senator Orrin G. Hatch of Utah, both Republicans, have introduced legislation similar to Mr. McInnis’ asking for enough money to pay all claims through this year and to make the program a permanent entitlement so Congress does not have to authorize spending each year. They have urged their colleagues to find funding for the program in a supplemental budget proposal for the current fiscal year.

But miners and their families have been told that no more money is likely until Congress resolves its fiscal issues, a process that could delay disbursement of the miners’ money for months, even a year.

“I’m bitter about it,” said Mr. Key, who worked in the mines from 1959 through 1963 and, like other mine workers, said he was never warned of the health consequences of exposure to uranium.

“I wonder how well those guys in Washington looked at the health records of how they would like it, tied to a chain like I am 24 hours a day,” Mr. Key said. “I know I owe taxes this year. I’m just going to tell them to take it out of my i.o.u.”

Worried that he will not live long enough to receive a check because of his lung disease, Jack Beeson, 67, a former miner from Moab, Utah, said: “We worked in those mines, waiting for our golden years. Well, now it’s our golden years, and it’s done nothing but cost us living. This is no way to live.

I felt I was doing the government a service. Now, I feel they’re doing me a disservice.”

To many of the former miners who extracted uranium from hundreds of mines in Colorado, Utah, New Mexico and Arizona, the i.o.u. were insulting. From the 1940’s through 1971, when mining for the nuclear weapons program ended, they regarded themselves as patriots, equal to servicemen. The relatively high wages paid by the mines were a lure, but so was the idea that uranium mining was crucial to national security.

Lorna Harvey’s father, Loren Wilcox, was a cattle rancher. But he disliked Russia so much, Ms. Harvey said, that he took a mining job in 1954 and worked it for two and a half years. “He felt we needed a nuclear weapons program,” she said. Mr. Wilcox died of lung cancer in 1969 at 62.

Most workers had no idea that the yellow ore they were mining could destroy their health. Wayne Hill, 69, who has lung cancer, said a tin cup hung at the entrance to one mine for miners and drivers to drink water dripping out of the rocks. “It was cool, clear water,” he said. “I didn’t know it was going to make me light up.

So little was known or revealed about the health consequences of uranium exposure that workers used uranium dust for fertilizer and uranium rocks for doorstops. “My mother made earrings out of it,” Ms. Harvey said.

With deaths and illnesses mounting and ample scientific evidence to show that uranium exposure was a cause, Congress passed legislation to compensate the miners in 1990. And for nearly 10 years, the Justice Department’s annual requests for financing the program were met. To date, $285.7 million has been paid to 5,985 people. About the same number were denied because they lacked proper medical records or copies of company logs that showed how long they had worked in the mines.

The financial crunch arose when Mr. Clinton expanded the program at a time Congress appropriated only $10.8 million to cover existing claims, an amount that was exhausted quickly. Efforts by Mr. Domenici and others to cover the shortfall, as well as the $26.8 billion for other programs.

Some of the I.O.U. holders have lost hope of seeing the money. Darlene Pagel’s husband, Duane, died of pulmonary fibrosis in 1986 at 55. Since then, Ms. Pagel said, she has worked two jobs to pay off his medical bills, which still amount to $26,522.

“He didn’t know uranium could kill him,” she said. “If he’d have known he would have been dead at 55, he never would have taken the job.”