The House met at 12:30 p.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. ADENHOLT).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:


I hereby appoint the Honorable ROBERT B. ADENHOLT to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

J. DENNIS HASTERT,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING HOUR DEBATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 3, 2001, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning hour debates. The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to not to exceed 30 minutes, and each Member, except the majority leader, the minority leader, or the minority whip, limited to not to exceed 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) for 5 minutes.

UNEXPLODED ORDNANCES ARE SERIOUS PROBLEM

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, I have just returned from the campus of American University in the exclusive Spring Valley residential community here in Washington, D.C.

From a distance one could not imagine, but it is actually one of over a thousand sites around the country where war is being continued; 26 years after the Vietnam War, 56 years after the conclusion of World War II, 83 years after World War I, there is still a battle taking place right here on American soil. It involves mines, nerve gases, and toxics and explosive shells. It has claimed at least 65 lives, and has misled and injured many more. Sadly, it continues every day, and if we are not careful, it will continue for another thousand years.

Toxic explosive waste of our military activities in the United States, unexploded ordnances on formerly used defense installations probably contaminate 20 to 25 million acres in the United States, and the number could be as high as 50 million acres. Sadly, no one can give us an accurate appraisal of the problem. What we do know is at the current rate of spending, it will take centuries, maybe even a thousand years or more, to return this land to safe and productive use. Some may be so damaged, we may not attempt to clean it up.

Unexploded ordnances are a serious problem today. Human activity and wildlife are encroaching on more and more of these sites as our neighborhoods grow and sprawl. At the same time, the natural rhythms of nature, flooding, earthquakes, and landslides, aided and abetted by human activity, exposes these dangers. Today, across America, we are finding lost and forgotten unexploded ordinance that was intentionally buried in a feeble attempt to dispose of it, or a shell that missed its mark and did not explode as intended.

There are many targets toward which citizens can direct their frustrations and in some cases anger: the Department of Defense, the Army Corps of Engineers or EPA. People have some legitimate concerns about what these and other agencies have done in the past and what they are doing now. But there is one participant that is missing in action, and that is the United States Congress. Only we in Congress can set adequate funding levels, budget clearly, and then make sure that enough money is appropriated to do the job right. Congress can pinpoint managerial responsibility and establish the rules of the game.

It is not acceptable to me for Congress to occasionally step in from the sidelines, complain, protest, and then shift inadequate funding from one high-priority project to another high-priority project. This ability to find an unoccupied or overpopulated site and have the infrastructure is going to be a zero-sum game if we do not properly advance the goal of protection.

Mr. Speaker, Congress needs to report for duty, and needs to provide the administrative and financial tools that are necessary. What I am talking about will not affect active ranges and readiness. That is a separate topic with its own set of issues. My concern is the closed, transferred and transferring ranges where the public is exposed or soon will be.

More than 1,000 years to clean up these sites is not an appropriate timetable when people are at risk every day. In the 1980s, three boys in San Diego were playing in a field next to a subdivision that they lived in, and they found a shell. It exploded and killed two of them. American University campus that I just left has a child care center that is now closed down because of high levels of arsenic contamination because this area during World War I was a test ground for poison and chemical warfare.

Mr. Speaker, we must make sure that whether it is in suburban Washington, D.C., on Martha’s Vineyard or in Camp Bonneville in my community that we get the job done, and it is not appropriate to take a millennium or even a century to do it. We need to step up and do the job.

Mr. Speaker, my goal in Congress is to make sure that every Member understands what is going on in their State because these toxic waste dumps, chemical and weapons disposal in every State. We can make sure that somebody is in charge, that there is enough funding, and we get the job done so that no child will be at risk for death, dismemberment or serious illness as a result of the United States Government not cleaning up after itself.

CHINA: FRIEND OR FOE?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 3, 2001, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. STEARNS) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, in the last Congress and many before, many of us have heard predictions that have been made regarding China. Advocates last year stated that granting permanent normal trade relations to China would help bring reform to this Communist government, and establish a real friendship between our nations.

Reading the papers last year and this year, this week particularly, I see nothing to support that statement. I think relationships are pretty shaky as they are.

On February 11 of this year, Chinese officials detained an American family. In doing so, they separated the couple’s 5-year-old son from his parents for 26 days. After 26 days, little Andrew was reunited with his father and expelled; but his mother is still being held.

President Bush is demanding the release of this Washington-based sociologist. Her family claims that the alleged spying charges are trumped up. The State Department has announced this woman was not even an agent of the American Intelligence service.
Now China has detained a second American scholar. This hardly seems like a nation that is becoming cooperative and permanent normalization of trade relations with the United States. China's already poor human rights record sadly worsened last year. I am pleased that the new administration has recognized that fact and has urged the United Nations to address the widespread oppression in China. The United States U.N. Ambassador stated that the U.S. "should not be silent when those who call for democratic government or more cultural preservation and religious freedom in Tibet and elsewhere in China are suppressed or when advocates of labor rights are thrown in jail." But sadly, this may never take place.

Mr. Speaker, every year since the 1989 killing of student protestors in and around Tiananmen Square, China's delegation has introduced a "no-action motion" therefore successfully stopping all attempts to examine its human rights record. It would seem naïve to think this will change.

All of this would seem troublesome enough, but now we face even larger concerns. On Sunday of this week, a U.S. Navy plane and a Chinese fighter jet collided over the South China Sea causing the American crew to make an emergency landing in China and the Chinese plane to crash. Officials from China are claiming that the collision was a result of the Chinese jet missing its target. The United States military experts agree that the incident was most likely the size of a Boeing 737 rammed the Chinese plane.

Mr. Speaker, it is clear that our relationship with China needs to be carefully reevaluated. Since PNTR, we have seen aggressive behavior on their part. Our prayers are with the 24 crew members, and I am hopeful that a speedy resolution will occur. I look to the Bush administration to move forward appropriately with China.

CONGRESS NEEDS TO FUND PROGRAMS TO HELP AT-RISK JUVENILES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DeFazio) spoke for 5 minutes, during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. DeFazio. Mr. Speaker, I have a long list here, and I am not going to read all of it, but we could start in 1994, Union, Kentucky.

1995, Redlands, California; Richmond, Virginia.
1997, Bethel, Alaska; Pearl, Mississippi.
1998, Jonesboro, Arkansas; Edinboro, Pennsylvania; Fayetteville, Tennessee; and Springfield, Oregon, my hometown.
1999, Deming, New Mexico.
2001, Santee, California; Williamsport, Pennsylvania; and El Cajon, California, in 1 month.

This is, unfortunately, only a partial list of school shootings in the United States over the last decade.

Mr. Speaker, we have got to ask what has been the coordinated and thoughtfulness of our policymakers here in Washington, D.C., and I think we would find it lacking. Now, there is certainly no easy answer. There is no one-size-fits-all solution to these problems. But, Mr. Speaker, there are programs that are underfunded that could be better funded that might help prevent future tragedies. That might get to one disturbed youth, one at-risk family, that might bring forward some other students before the fact, and we should be doing all we can to encourage and fund those programs.

Mr. Speaker, we often expect that somebody somewhere is going to take care of the violence, is going to make things better, but really who is the somebody here? We all have to take some responsibility, every one of us. In my own hometown of Springfield, there was an incredible community response and a response from other communities, and statewide, and people from other States who came to help us, and even some help from the Federal Government in working through the immediate aftermath. But I fear some of the cooperation that we have seen the violence has gone elsewhere, and now those communities are in a crisis.

Mr. Speaker, we need a more coordinated approach. I am reintroducing legislation today that has a number of parts. It is not comprehensive, but it is a good start at helping to address these problems.

First and foremost, increased funding for Head Start and other early intervention prevention programs, a program for Federal funding for community programs, like the Birth to 3 in my State that intervenes with young, at-risk women and helps them before they become a problem or get into a situation that is a problem with their children. That is not the solution for everyone. That is not the solution for everyone. It is a tremendous program. We take a kid today who threatens violence or has been expelled from school, and what do we do? There they are, they are out on the street for the most part. Those kids need a more structured environment. For many of them, it does not even seem like punishment to be thrown out of school. They should be removed and placed in a court school, which is a more rigid environment, which brings in community resources and counseling resources to help them deal with their problems in the hope that we can get them back into the public school environment, and that they become productive citizens. Do not just send them down to the mall or out in the streets with an expedition of court schools work, and we need some more Federal assistance for those programs.

The National Guard has a very, very successful program, the Youth Challenge Program. It is underfunded. There is a long waiting list of States that want to have programs. We have one in Oregon that has been inadequately funded. The rate of recidivism of the kids that get in that program is miniscule. It works. It is not for every kid, but it is a part of the puzzle, and it works, and why not put more money there. We can afford that. If we can afford to give tax breaks to billionaires, we can afford a few more dollars for the National Guard Youth Challenge program, assistance to schools and local police departments to combat juvenile crime, including funds for placing police officers in schools.

Mr. Speaker, let us help the communities that need help. It is not enough in prevention and intervention. We can institute a 72-hour hold, a mandate for a 72-hour hold for juveniles caught with a firearm on school grounds. The list goes on...