

SOJOURNER TRUTH

HON. TOM SAWYER

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 24, 2001

Mr. SAWYER. Mr. Speaker, on May 29, we will celebrate the legacy of the famed abolitionist and feminist, Sojourner Truth. She was born Isabella Baumfree, as a slave. She escaped slavery and adopted the name Sojourner Truth when she began preaching across the nation.

It was in Akron, Ohio, at the Second Annual Women of Ohio Convention on May 29, 1851, that she delivered her powerful "Ain't I a Woman?" speech. It is appropriate to honor her work and her legacy on the 150th anniversary of that remarkable speech. It is especially appropriate to do so in the city where she delivered it.

A friend of mine, the late Faye H. Dambrot, a leading advocate of rights for women, equality, and justice, wrote a testimonial to Sojourner Truth and her famous speech, which I am honored to submit for the RECORD.

Born the slave Isabella Baumfree in 1797 in Ulster County, New York, this articulate woman with her commanding voice and imposing stature began her career by preaching and lecturing against slavery after the New York emancipation laws of 1827 were passed. Deeply religious and mystical, she chose the name Sojourner Truth to reflect her commitment to travel widely and spread the truth to her audiences. During her extensive journeys through the North and Midwest, she spoke of having been beaten, raped, and forcibly separated from her children and other loved ones under slavery.

In addition to her ministry and ardent abolitionism, Sojourner soon embraced the cause of women's rights, knowing well the double yoke of racism and sexism which bound black women. She worked to raise money for the North during the Civil War, helped emancipated blacks find jobs and housing in Washington, D.C., and even struggled against segregation by her insistence on riding public street cars.

She supported herself through the sale of her autobiography, *My Narrative*, and counted Abraham Lincoln, Lucretia Mott, Susan B. Anthony and Frederick Douglass among her friends. Sojourner Truth continued her life of struggle and agitation until ill health forced her retirement. She died near Battle Creek, Michigan on November 26, 1883.

Sojourner was not a welcome speaker at Akron's Women of Ohio Convention, many women present feared the cause of abolitionism would be detrimentally linked to the suffrage struggle and urged the chairwoman, Frances Gage, to prevent her addressing the crowd. The assembled local clergymen were swaying those present with their declarations about the natural superiority of man, Eve's "original sin," the manhood of Christ, and the deference and privilege owed to women which was being jeopardized by demands for equal rights. But Sojourner was not dissuaded as she solemnly strode forward, laid her old bonnet at her feet, and within moments had, with her eloquence, turned the adverse tide of the meeting to a victory for women's rights.

She intoned, "Well children, where there is so much racket there must be something out of kilter . . . But what's all this here talking about?"

"That man over there say that women needs to be helped into carriages, and lifted over ditches, and to have the best place everywhere. Nobody ever helps me into carriages, or over mud-puddles, or gives me any best place!"

She drew herself up to her full height, and with a voice like rolling thunder continued. "And ain't I a women? Look at me! Look at my arm! . . . I have ploughed, and planted and gathered into barns, and no man could head me! And ain't I a woman? I could work as much and eat as much as a man—when I could get it—and bear the lash as well! And ain't I a woman? I have borne 13 children, and seen them most all sold off to slavery, and when I cried out with my mother's grief, none but Jesus hear me! And ain't I a woman . . . ?"

"That little man in black there, he say women can't have as much rights as men, because Christ wasn't a woman! Where did your Christ come from? From God and a woman! Man had nothing to do with Him.

"If the first woman God ever made was strong enough to turn the world upside down all alone, these women together ought to be able to turn it back, and get it right side up again! . . ."

Frances Gage tells her recollection of the crowd's reaction. She says, "Amid roars of applause, she returned to her corner, leaving more than one of us with streaming eyes, and hearts beating with gratitude. She had taken us up in her strong arms and carried us safely over the slough of difficulty, turning the whole tide in our favor. I have never in my life seen anything like the magical influence that subdued the mobbish spirit of the day, and turned the sneers and jeers of an excited crowd into notes of respect and admiration. Hundreds rushed up to shake hands with her, and bid her Godspeed on her mission of testifying again concerning the wickedness of this here people."

Mr. Speaker, in standing up for her beliefs, Sojourner Truth became a role model for all Americans, not just women or people of color. Sojourner Truth was the living embodiment of the basic American tenet that each and every individual has intrinsic worth.

As historian David McCullough reminds us, history didn't have to happen the way it did. History is created by the actions of far-sighted men and women like Sojourner Truth.

ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN
HERITAGE MONTH

HON. HILDA L. SOLIS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 24, 2001

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, May is the month our nation honors Asian Pacific American Heritage. As the Representative of a very ethnically diverse community, I would like to take this opportunity to recognize those in my Congressional district who come from Asian descent.

About 11 million Americans come from Asian or Pacific Island descent. Many Asian immigrants came to this country as laborers in the agriculture and transportation industries. First enduring harsh working conditions in the earlier part of the nineteenth century, many Asian Pacific Americans have now become successful entrepreneurs, teachers, entertainers, and technological professionals. In fact, our U.S. Congress has been home to 32 elected Members of Asian ancestry since 1903.

I would like to acknowledge the achievements of a specific young woman in my district who has made a great contribution to the United States Air Force, the City of Baldwin Park, and the Filipino community. Lieutenant Venus C. Rivera is the first person from Baldwin Park with Filipino American parents to graduate from the United States Air Force Academy. This Dean's List honor student will be trained as a jet pilot upon her graduation this month. I know she will continue to serve as an inspiration to all young Asian Americans in the United States.

Asian Pacific Americans bring a richness to our culture, adding diversity in language, cuisine, religion, and art. I am proud that our country takes this month to honor the heritage of this particular group. However, the diversity of all races and cultures must be something that we remember and respect every day. This will help promote racial tolerance so future generations can build a world that benefits from the ethnic contributions of all cultures.

TRIBUTE TO WORLD WAR II
VETERAN MIKE LUCERO

HON. SCOTT McINNIS

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 24, 2001

Mr. McINNIS. Mr. Speaker, I am extremely proud to rise today to honor a very special man—World War II Veteran Mike Lucero, a resident of Montrose, Colorado. During his time with the Armed Forces, Mike was stationed in the South Pacific. And what he didn't know is that he and his fellow soldiers were about to change the course of history. Because of what Mike did during World War II, I would like to thank him for his bravery and courage on behalf of Congress.

On December 1, 1942, at the age of 19, Mike left the small town of Cuba, New Mexico for the open water of the South Pacific. "My country needed me. I had to go," said Mike. At dawn on June 15, 1944, Coxswain Third Class Lucero maneuvered his landing craft along side the USS Livingston, where members of the 2nd Marine Division boarded his LCVP.

They were headed toward Saipan, which is the northernmost of the southern four Islands in the Marianas 3,200 miles northwest of Pearl Harbor and 1,500 miles from Manila. Over 29,000 Japanese troops waited and guarded the narrow beaches of Saipan. Mike's job was to land Marines on the shore. "The bullets zipping into the water looked like raindrops hitting a puddle. They were striking on both sides of my boat," said the 79-year-old as he recalled

the battle. "They gave us the order to land over a loud speaker and we headed for shore. There were bodies floating in the water."

Mike delivered 8,000 Marines on Saipan's beach in less than an hour. It was the beginning of one of the bloodiest fights in the Pacific. On the shore looking at all the Americans coming toward him was the man who pulled the trigger on the surprise attack on Pearl Harbor, Vice Admiral Chuichi Nagumo. After the battle, almost 29,000 Japanese had been killed. The Marines, the 27th Army Infantry and the Navy were victorious. Mr. Speaker, it is with great appreciation that I ask Congress to recognize and honor Mike Lucero for all that he did for this country in World War II. Mike was just a boy when he was thrust into battle, but his bravery and the bravery of those who fought and died for this country will forever be etched in our minds. Mr. Speaker, I proudly salute Mike for all he has done.

HONORING RICHARD A. LUOMA

HON. JOSEPH M. HOFFEL

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 24, 2001

Mr. HOFFEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Richard A. Luoma upon his retirement from the Hatboro-Horsham School District in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania after 29 years of dedicated service.

Dick graduated from Fitchburg State College where he received a Bachelor of Science degree and went on to an advanced degree from Boston University. He first taught math and science at Groton Middle School in Concord, Massachusetts and later he was promoted to Assistant Principal. Following his move to Montgomery County in 1972, Dick became the principal at Keith Valley Middle School and Loller Middle School. He was promoted to the position of Assistant to the Superintendent in charge of Curriculum and Instruction and finally Assistant Superintendent in Hatboro-Horsham.

He has been a dedicated citizen of his community as well. Dick has been a member of the Horsham Rotary for 28 years and has also served as president and secretary of that organization. He has been active in politics for the Republican Party in Towamencin Township. An avid golfer, Dick was president of the Men's Golf Association at Oak Terrace Country Club and continues to serve on the Board of Directors at the Talamore Golf and Country Club.

I am honored to recognize Richard A. Luoma and his long and productive career dedicated to our children. He has never wavered in his belief that our youth are our future.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. BOB CLEMENT

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 24, 2001

Mr. CLEMENT. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall vote No. 146, I was unavoidably detained on official

business. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea".

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. DAVID VITTER

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 24, 2001

Mr. VITTER. Mr. Speaker, due to an airline delay on Monday, May 21, 2001, I was unable to be present for rollcall vote No. 126, the vote on H. Con. Res. 56, expressing the sense of the Congress regarding National Pearl Harbor Remembrance Day. If I were present, I would have voted "yea".

THE FEDERALIZATION OF CRIMES
UNIFORM STANDARDS (FOCUS)
ACT

HON. DONALD A. MANZULLO

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 24, 2001

Mr. MANZULLO. Mr. Speaker, almost one year ago, to the day, I introduced the Federalization of Crimes Uniform Standards (FOCUS) Act. I rise today, to re-introduce that legislation.

The bill lays out what the appropriate Federal activity—response—is to an offense against the Federal Government. Under the bill, Section 6, an offense, or federal crime, is an activity with respect to which a clear need for uniform Federal law enforcement exists. This includes an activity that involves conduct of an interstate or international nature, or of such magnitude or complexity that a State acting alone cannot carry out effective law enforcement with respect to that conduct; or, that involves conduct of overriding national interest, such as interference with the exercise of constitutional rights. The criminal conduct must be an offense directly against the Federal Government, including an offense directly against an officer, employee, agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government.

The idea behind this is to set a standard definition to what constitutes a federal crime. The current method seems to be that a federal crime is whatever Congress deems it to be, without any true consideration of the constitutional issues involved. Therefore, under the current methods, political will is the only thing that keeps us from federalizing crime. Political weakness in the face of media sound bite criticisms, forces Congress to act again and again to federalize crime—even when there is nothing but rhetoric to suggest that "something must be done!" to fight crime.

Sometimes less is better. It's high time that Congress takes a serious look at the federalization of crimes in the United States. The State and Federal Courts together comprise an intertwined system for the administration of justice in the United States. The two courts systems have played different but equally significant roles in the Federal system. However, the State courts have served as the primary tribunals for trials of criminal law cases.

The Federal Courts have a more limited jurisdiction than the State Courts with respect to criminal matters because of the fundamental constitutional principle that the Federal government is a government of delegated power in which the residual power remains with the States. In criminal matters, the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts should complement, not supplant, that of the State Courts.

The 1999 Year-End Report on the Federal Judiciary shows how its caseload has grown:

One hundred years ago, there were 108 authorized federal judgeships in the federal judiciary, consisting of 71 district judgeships, 28 appellate judgeships, and 9 Supreme Court Justices. Today, there are over 850—including 655 district judgeships, 179 appellate judgeships and 9 Supreme Court Justices. In 1900, 13,605 cases were filed in federal district courts, and 1,093 in courts of appeals. In 1999, over 320,194 cases were filed in federal district courts, over 54, 6000 in courts of appeals, and over 1,300,000 filings were made in bankruptcy courts alone.

It is apparent that some growth of the federal court system should occur over time due to increases in population. But what also has grown substantially is the scope of federal jurisdiction. Federalization of the states criminal codes is something that politicians, especially here at the federal level, cannot seem to help but engage in from time to time. It has been over time, in response to criminal concerns nationwide, that Congress has again and again federalized crimes in the name of fighting crime and protecting the nation's populace. But, is the federalization of crime really an antidote for our nation's crime problems? Is it really proper to federalize crime so politicians can "prove" their effectiveness? These are important questions that must be asked. We all must look in the mirror and ask ourselves whether there is a sound justification for having two parallel justice systems.

Americans should not be subject to different, competing law enforcement systems, different penalties depending on which system brings them to trial, and an ever-lengthening possibility that they might be tried for the same offense more than once.

In 1999, the Senate Government Affairs Committee held hearings on the issue of "controlling the federalization of crimes that are better left to state laws and courts to handle." The hearings were held in part as a response to questions raised by Supreme Court Chief Justice William Rehnquist regarding the federalization of criminal law. The hearings also focused on the American Bar Association's Task Force on the same issue. The Task Force, which was chaired by former Attorney General Edwin Meese, concluded that in order to maintain balance in our Constitutional system of justice, there must be a "principled recognition by Congress for the long-range damage to real crime control and to the nation's structure caused by inappropriate federalization."

Some might suggest that this is a Republican's attempt to weaken the laws of the land. My reply is simply that federalization of crime does not make anyone safer. Simply adding more laws to the federal code will not necessarily help the citizenry. On the contrary, it could end up hurting those we want to help.