seatbelt, car seat. Those children, the oldest two right now are old enough to have their own family and their own children.

When I am in Kenosha, Wisconsin, visiting the Tower family, Emma, age 4, and Lilly, age 2, will not allow the ignition in the car to be turned on until they are buckled into their seats and safely strapped in. That is the first thing they do when they get in the car.

When I am in Sacramento with son Ted Oberstar and granddaughter Katherine, age 4, and granddaughter Claire, age 2, the same story. Grandpa, we cannot move until we are buckled up. And buckled up comfortably, too, by the way. They want to be just right in that seat. Then they want to make sure that I am buckled in because, once in a while, I am so busy dealing with them and other things and talking that I do not strap myself in before the key is turned on; and they say, make sure that grandpa is buckled in.

Education works, and it is passed on from one generation to the next. That is the message. The program that we have instituted has proven itself. It has prevented death. It has prevented injuries. It helps educate the public on all aspects of proper installation of child restraints.

Children today of the age when we began teaching them child restraint seats is an important safety issue now are insisting on buying vehicles that are properly equipped with the right kind of seat restraint facilities in the car to accept any kind of child restraint seat or infant carriage device.

My oldest daughter will not nurse her now 10-week-old child while the car is moving. Believe me, that is not very pleasant when you have a poor little baby that is hungry, who wants to nurse. But not until the car is stopped and we are not moving will that child come out of its child restraint seat.

So the point is that the message has worked. Education is effective. But not everybody has got the message. That is why we need this legislation, why we need this $7.5 million funding. It is a modest amount. It is peanuts compared to the $218 billion in TEA–21 over the 6 years.

It is available to train safety professionals, police officers, fire and emergency medical personnel, high school educators, grade school, elementary school educators in safety and in all aspects of child restraint use.

Every State that gets a grant submits a report to the Department of Transportation describing the activities they have carried out with the funds made available under the grant, and the Secretary of Transportation will report to Congress within the coming year on the success of this program with a complete description of all the programs carried out, materials developed, and the success stories from the States.

I urge the passage of this legislation by this body, promptly by the other body, signature into law by the President, and implementation with the adequate funding that we need to carry it out.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. OBERSTAR) in his dedication on this subject in making sure this gets done. It is a very important subject.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield for just a moment. Mr. SIMPSON, I yield to the gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I apologize for not thanking the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. SIMPSON) for pinch-hitting on the floor and substituting on the bill. We are grateful for the gentleman’s care and concern, and I thank him for his kind words.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I am very honored to do so. I want to thank the gentleman for his support on this subject and his interest in it and his dedication to it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. SIMPSON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 691.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS TO THE COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL RELIGIOUS FREEDOM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, pursuant to section 201(b) of the International Religious Freedom Act of 1998 (22 U.S.C. 6431), amended by Public Law 106–55, and upon the recommendation of the minority leader, the Speaker appoints the following Members of the House to the Commission on International Religious Freedom to fill the existing vacancies thereon, for terms to expire May 14, 2002:

Ms. Leila Sadat, St. Louis, Missouri

Ms. Felice Gaer, Paramus, New Jersey.

There was no objection.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

STRENGTHENING UNITED STATES FOREIGN ASSISTANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MORAN of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I would like to say a few words about a national priority that too often is overlooked: humanitarian and development assistance in our foreign operations appropriations bill. That bill will probably be coming to the floor within the next few legislative days.

Foreign assistance is an important and effective policy device when words and diplomacy are not enough or when military action is not appropriate.

Strengthening U.S. foreign assistance will improve the lives of millions of people around the world and is consistent with America’s history of extending a helping hand to those less fortunate.

We, and in fact much of the rest of the world, too easily forget the fact that, over the last half century, U.S. humanitarian and development assistance has successfully elevated the standards of living for millions of people.

More than 50 nations have graduated from U.S. assistance programs since World War II, including such nations as France, Spain, Portugal, South Korea, Taiwan, Italy, and Germany. More than 30 of these former aid recipients have gone on to become donor nations themselves.

Over the years, foreign assistance programs have helped create some of our closest allies and best trading partners and greatest contributors to the world’s economy. For example, the United States now exports to South Korea in just 1 year: $1.8 billion. At that same time, South Korea in just 1 year spent $1.5 billion on assistance programs. It is a two-way street. The world, too, easily forget the fact that countries around the world have helped the United States, for example, by providing us with the land and water that we need to grow our crops.

We, and in fact much of the rest of the world, too easily forget the fact that, over the last half century, U.S. humanitarian and development assistance has successfully elevated the standards of living for millions of people.

But despite substantial global accomplishments, as we enter the new millennium greater disparities exist between the wealthy and the poor than ever before. Of the world’s 6 billion people, half live on less than $2 a day, and one-fifth live on only $1 a day. That is more than a billion people, four times the population of the United States living on less than a dollar a day. Two billion people are not connected to any energy system. One and a half billion lack clean water. More than a billion lack basic education, health care or modern birth control methods.

Poverty, disease, malnutrition, rapid population growth, and lack of education paralyze billions of people and extinguish hope for a better future. The world’s population grows by about