My Administration stands ready to work with the Congress to enact comprehensive energy legislation this year.

GEORGE W. BUSH.


ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will now entertain 1 minute requests.

CONSERVATION IS CRITICAL PIECE OF PUZZLE

(Mr. REHBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. REHBERG. Mr. Speaker, while we all know we cannot conserve our way out of the energy crunch, conservation is a critical piece of the puzzle if we are going to solve this problem. In times like these, each and every American must do their part. This means turning out the lights when leaving a room, walking more often instead of driving, and investing in new technologies and alternative renewable energy sources.

While some in this Chamber merely talk about conservation, President Bush is actually doing something about it.

Today, President Bush announced $77 million in Federal conservation grants which will help accelerate the development of fuel cells in new technology for tomorrow’s cars and buildings. These grants will play a critical role in lowering emissions and improving energy efficiency.

Mr. Speaker, instead of throwing rocks and using America’s energy problems for political gain, President Bush is providing leadership and solutions.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

HIGH COST OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. GUTKNECHT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to talk about an issue that is of great concern to all Americans, but is of particular concern to the 53 million Americans that have no health insurance and to the 14 million American seniors that do not have prescription drug coverage under their Medicare benefit. What I am talking about is the high cost of prescription drugs.

I want to show a chart for the benefit of the Members that begins to illustrate just how serious this problem is. The first chart here over the last several years about how much difference there is between Canada and the United States and how much difference there is between Mexico and the United States. But many Americans do not realize there are enormous differences between what we pay for exactly the same drugs made in the same plants here in the United States compared to what they pay in Europe.

For example, the first drug on this list is a drug called Allegra, 120 milligrams. It is triple in the United States what they pay in Europe for the same drug. Some people will say, well, they have price controls in Europe. In some countries in Europe, that is true. But in Germany and Switzerland, it is not true.

Take a look at the drug Coumadin, which is a drug that my father takes. In the United States, it is quadruple the $8.22, which they charge for the average price in Europe.

Glucophage, which is a very commonly prescribed drug for people who have diabetes. In the United States, it sells for $30.12 on average for a 1-month supply. In Europe, it is only $4.11. That is seven times more than Americans are required to pay.

Mr. Speaker, my colleagues need to understand that, once a person is diagnosed, it is likely that they will stay on that drug for the rest of their lives. So we are talking about an enormous difference over the life-span of a patient who needs a drug.

Take a look at a drug Zithromax down here at the bottom. It is a new wonder drug in terms of being an antibiotic. It is a marvelous drug. But I wonder whether Americans should really have to pay triple what consumers in Europe have to pay.

As my colleagues can see, it is $486 for a month’s supply here in the United States on average. In Europe, it is only $176.19.

The next chart I want to show is really one of the most troubling charts of all. Last year the average senior got in their cost of living adjustment in the United States a 3.5 percent increase in their Social Security. At the same time, prescription drugs went up 19 percent. My colleagues, this is unsustainable.

Now, I introduced to offer an amendment to the ag appropriations bill that will at least clarify that law-abiding citizens have a right, if they have a legal prescription, to buy drugs in Europe. And we are trying to work out the language right now. That is all I want to do.

Some say that the FDA lacks the resources to inspect mail orders. The truth is the FDA is focusing its inspections in the wrong places. Instead of stopping illegal drugs reported by illicit traffickers, the FDA concentrates on approved drugs being brought in by law-abiding citizens. So far this year the FDA has detained 18 times more packages from Canada than they have from Mexico. This is outrageous. They are spending all of their resources chasing law-abiding citizens.

One of the biggest arguments of the people who oppose my amendment is that they say, well, we are going to ultimately have a Medicare benefit, a prescription drug benefit that will eliminate the need to open the markets so that we get competition in prescription drugs. Well, the truth is simply shifting the burden from those people who currently do not have insurance to those payers will only exacerbate this problem. The problem is there is no real competition.

But the biggest concern that a lot of people raise is what will this do in terms of public safety. Let me say this. More people have been killed in the United States from unsafe tires being brought into the United States from other countries than by bringing legal drugs into the United States by law-abiding citizens. As a matter of fact, there is no known scientific study that demonstrates that there is a threat of injury to patients importing medications, legal medications, with a prescription, from an industrialized country.

What is more, millions of Americans have no prescription drug coverage. Stopping importation of FDA-approved drugs only threatens their safety. Remember, Members, a drug that an individual cannot afford is neither safe nor effective, and too many Americans are put in the position where they simply cannot afford the drugs that they need.

Mr. Speaker, I am not asking for the world. The amendment I intend to offer is very narrowly focused. It simply says that the FDA cannot stand between law-abiding citizens who have legal prescitions and allowing them to bring into the country drugs which are otherwise approved by the FDA. In fact, we even go further. We say it cannot be a controlled substance. It cannot even be codeine. The drugs we are talking about are drugs that are commonly prescribed. I will appreciate my colleagues’ support on that amendment.

Mr. Speaker, I submit herewith for the RECORD a few fact sheets regarding the Medicare drug benefit argument. Some say a Medicare drug benefit will eliminate the need for importation. The truth is simply shifting his drug prices to the government only transfers the burden to American taxpayers. Moreover, Medicare
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