the benefits of a one-time technological check would far outweigh any possible burden on e-commerce. I also predicted that this provision would increase consumer confidence in the electronic marketplace.

One year later, the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Commerce have issued a report on the impact of ESIGN’s consumer consent provision. In preparing the report, these agencies conducted extensive outreach to the on-line business community, technology developers, consumer groups, law enforcement, and academia. The report concludes:

[T]hus far, the benefits of the consumer consent provision of ESIGN outweigh the burdens of its implementation on electronic commerce. The provision facilitates e-commerce and the use of electronic records and signatures while enhancing consumer confidence. It preserves the right of consumers to receive written information required by state and federal law. The provision also encourages deception and fraud by those who might fail to provide consumers with information the law requires that they receive.

Significantly, the consumer consent provision is benefiting businesses as well as consumers. The report states that businesses that have implemented this provision are reporting several benefits, including “protection from liability, increased revenues resulting from increased consumer confidence, and the opportunity to engage in additional dialogue with consumers about the transactions.” The technological check has not been significantly burdensome, and “[t]he technology-neutral language of the provision encourages creativity in the structure of business systems that interface with consumers, and provides an opportunity for the business and the consumer to choose the form of communication for the transaction.

The report also finds that ESIGN’s consumer safeguards are helping to prevent deception and fraud, which is critical to maintaining consumer confidence in the electronic marketplace.

ESIGN is a product of bipartisan cooperation, and it is working well for the country. We should learn from experience as we take up new legislative challenges.

IN MEMORY OF OLIVER POWERS

Mr. NICKLES. Mr. President, I rise today to inform my colleagues of the passing of Oliver Bennett Powers a Senior Broadcast Engineering Technician for the Senate, and native of Chickasha, Oklahoma.

Oliver passed away suddenly while vacationing with friends and family near Norfolk, Virginia on June 23, 2001. He was a respected, well-liked, and dedicated member of the Senate Recording Studio staff. He is survived by his wife of 28 years, Anita; two sons, Isaiah and Lucas; his mother, Ella Belle Powers of Chickasha, Oklahoma, and brother, Roy Powers, of Norman.

Our hearts go out to them.

Oliver was born in Chickasha, Oklahoma, where he graduated from high school in 1971. He was also a graduate of the University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, also located in Chickasha, and went on to earn a Master’s Degree in Journalism from the University of Oklahoma. Oliver began his service to the U.S. Senate in 1986, when he became director of audio and lighting for the Senate.

Oliver will be missed by all of those who knew him through his community, his church, and his work here in the Senate. Oliver embodied the best of what we’ve come to expect from Oklahomans. He was hard working, yet soft-spoken and gentle; highly professional, yet humble, and always kind and respectful to all. His work was representative of so many staff here that work tirelessly and anonymously on behalf of the Senate.

On behalf of the United States Senate, let me say thank you to Anita, Isaiah, Lucas and the other members of the Powers family for sharing him with us these many years. He will be missed.

EXTRADITION OF SLOBODAN MILOSEVIC TO THE U.N. ICTY

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I rise today to commend the authorities of Serbia for, at long last, handing over Slobodan Milosevic to the International Criminal Tribunal. It is iron-ic, and perhaps fitting, that his arrest and transfer to the international court took place on June 28—one of the most noted dates in Serb history, when in 1389 the Serbs were defeated at the battle of Kosovo Polje, ushering in a period of Ottoman Turkish rule. It is my hope that future generations of Serbs will remember June 28, 2001 with the same sense of historic importance and as the beginning of true and long-lasting democracy and respect for the rule of law.

Mr. Milosevic has been charged by an independent, impartial, international criminal tribunal with crimes against humanity and violations of the laws or customs of war against the ethnic Albanian population of Kosovo. And according to the Hague Tribunal, we can expect more indictments against him for earlier crimes in Croatia and Bosnia.

His extradition to the Hague is historic, if long overdue. As a former head of state, there were many who believed that he would never be made to answer for the charges against him. That this day finally came underscores the commitment of the international community to investigating and prosecuting individuals for war crimes. And it sets an important precedent in international law; namely, that the Geneva Conventions and their Protocols will be upheld and enforced regardless of one’s position or influence. The message in all of this is clear and inspiring: with patience and perseverance, democracy and the rule of law will prevail.

Serbian Prime Minister Djindjic deserves praise for his leadership on this issue and for recognizing that if Serbia wants to join the democratic family of nations, then it must uphold and respect the rule of law. Many others have contributed their efforts over the years leading up to this historic day and deserve mention: former Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, U.S. Ambassador-at-Large for War Crimes David Scheffer, and ICTY Prosecutors Justice Louise Arbour and Carla Del Ponte, to name just a few.

The wars that tore apart the former Yugoslavia—and which threaten Macedonia today—were largely, although not exclusively, of Mr. Milosevic’s doing. He fomented extreme ethnic nationalism and unleashed his army and special police forces on the civilian populations of Croatia, Bosnia and Kosovo. Millions were driven from their homes and more than a quarter of a million are believed to have died. For his policies he earned himself the name, “the Butcher of Belgrade.” His victims despair, accountability and his former citizens deserve to know what was done in their name. It must be stressed that the Serb people are not on trial; only Mr. Milosevic.

The United States seeks friendship and partnership with all of the people of the former Yugoslavia. Our presence and contributions at the donor’s conference are evidence of our intentions. Yet while we welcome yesterday’s developments, we must also not forget that 26 accused remain on the run, most of them in Bosnia and Serbia. I call on the accused to turn themselves over to the jurisdiction of the Tribunal to answer the charges against them with further delay. It is the honorable thing to do. But failing that, the local authorities must take swift and decisive action, if necessary, with the support of international peacekeeping troops, to deliver these fugitives from justice to the court in the Hague.

There will never be long-lasting peace and stability in the region so long as these individuals remain on the run. The fact that they have evaded justice for so long—in the case of Radovan Karadzic and Ratko Mladic it’s already six year’s—makes a mockery of justice and it must end.

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about hate crimes legislation I introduced with Senator KENNEDY in March of this year. The Local law Enforcement Act of 2001 would add new categories to current hate crimes legislation sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.