The Senate met at 12 noon and was called to order by the President pro tempore [Mr. BYRD].

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Lloyd John Ogilvie, offered the following prayer:

Dear Father, we return to the work of this busy month ahead with the words and the music of the Independence Day celebration sounding in our souls. Now that the fireworks are over, work in us the fire of patriotism that has been the secret of truly great leaders throughout our history. We pray for the women and men of this Senate. Enlarge their hearts until they are big enough to contain the gift of Your spirit; expand their minds until they are capable of thinking Your thoughts; deepen their mutual trust so that they can work harmoniously for what is best for this Nation. You know all the legislation to be debated and voted on before the August recess. Grant the Senators a profound trust in You, a deep desire to seek Your will, and an unlimited supply of Your supernatural strength.

With renewed interdependence and deep dependence on You as fellow patriots, galvanize the Senators in the spirit of our founders expressed in their reliance on You and the pledge of their lives, fortunes, and their sacred honor for the next stage of Your strategy for America. You are our Lord and Saviour. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The PRESIDENT pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, there will now be a period for the transaction of morning business not to extend beyond the hour of 1 o'clock p.m. with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

RECOGNITION OF THE ACTING MAJORITY LEADER
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Nevada is recognized.

SCHEDULE
Mr. REID. Mr. President, as the Chair announced, we are going to be in morning business until 1 p.m. At 1 p.m. the Senate will begin consideration of the supplemental appropriations bill under the previous order which calls for amendments to be offered prior to 6 p.m.

Over 40 amendments have been filed. I hope and guess that probably all of those will not be offered before 6 o'clock. But I would say to the Chair that I hope Senators will come to the floor and offer those amendments, debate them, so arrangements can be made as to whether the managers will accept the amendments or whether a time will be set in the future for votes. It is the leader's expectation we will finish this bill tomorrow. There are other appropriations bills we would like to finish this week also. In fact, the leader has every desire to finish the Interior appropriations bill and the supplemental bill this week. We will hear more from the leader at a subsequent time. But these are the two bills we must finish this week, and if we can finish them Thursday, that will be fine. I am sure, if we can't, the leader will want to go into Friday to complete the bills, or if it takes longer than that. I think they are both capable of being finished very quickly.

There are no rollcall votes today. There will be no rollcall votes until 2:15 tomorrow after the party caucuses.

BIPARTISAN PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS
Mr. REID. Mr. President, before we adjourned for the recess, the Senate passed the bipartisan McCain-Kennedy-Edwards Patients' Bill of Rights and proved that protecting patients' rights is not a partisan issue. We can all be proud of the strong bipartisan compromises reached which have the support of virtually every health care provider group in this country. This bill has achieved such overwhelming support because it represents a balanced approach to ensuring patient safety and health plan accountability without significantly raising premiums or employer costs.

This landmark legislation will ensure that every privately insured American can enjoy important patient protection. For example, the bill will ensure that patients can have access to emergency room care; women can easily access OB/GYN services; children can access the specialty care they need; patients can have access to emergency procedures; patients can access the prescription drugs prescribed for them; patients can participate in potential lifesaving clinical trials; patients can access necessary specialists, even if it means going out of the plan's provider network; chronically ill patients can receive the specialty care they need in an attempt to save their lives; patients with ongoing health care needs have continuity of care; and patients can hold their managed care plan accountable when plan decisions to withhold or limit care result in injury or death.

When I went home this past week people said, What does the bill do? Briefly, it is very old-fashioned in nature. It allows a doctor to render care that that doctor believes is appropriate to take care of that patient, whether it be surgery, chemotherapy, radiation, surgery or other treatment. That is what the bill does.

Passage of this bill would not have been possible without the dedication and hard work of many people. First of all, the distinguished majority leader, Mr. DASCHLE, was involved in this legislation in its formative stage and every day we were in the Chamber. I think this showed to the American public what most of us have known for many years—that Senator DASCHLE really is a great leader. He indicated we were going to finish the bill before the Fourth of July break. Some people smiled, some snickered, and some thought it would be totally impossible. But it was done. It was done with all amendments being offered. Cloture was not filed. It was the way legislation should move. We spent some long hours in this Chamber, but as a result of his leadership we were able to do this work. This is an issue on which he has been working for 5 years; for 5 years we have waited to pass this meaningful and enforceable Patients' Bill of Rights that will protect all privately insured Americans. And I say again, Senator DASCHLE was able to forge bipartisan support for this critical legislation and ensure passage as a result of his patience.

We indeed also have to acknowledge the work done by the chairman of the Health, Education, Labor, and Pension Committee, Senator Ted KENNEDY. He was on this floor every minute of every day not only for the 2 weeks it took to pass the Patients' Bill of Rights but for 2 weeks prior to do the education bill. He has worked on this issue longer than anyone, was able to confront every contentious amendment, and managed to keep the integrity of the bill totally intact. Senator KENNEDY did great work. It shows what a fine Senator he is. Those of us who depend on him for leadership always
have this bill to look to, to indicate what a great Senator he is.

Senator KENNEDY has had wide experience. One of the leaders in this bill was someone without the experience of Senator KENNEDY but who did great work: Senator Edwards of North Carolina. He proved his skill, his leadership, and his dedication to being a legislator by his work on this meaningful Patients’ Bill of Rights. He has, since he came to the Senate, been a tireless voice for America’s patients, and I and the rest of America are grateful for his contributions to the rest of this legislation.

Finally, I extend my thanks to Senator JOHN MCCAIN from the other side of the aisle. During his run for President of the United States, Senator McCain promised the American people he would fight for a Patients’ Bill of Rights, and he did that. His name was first on this bill and he was involved as we proceeded through this legislation. He has been an extraordinary leader on this issue. Without his work, this bill would not have been possible.

It would not be fair to talk only about the proponents of this legislation. Senator Judd Gregg did an outstanding job on this bill. He was here the entire 2 weeks. He had some difficult issues to work through. I think he did an excellent job of bringing the amendments that were meaningful to the floor at the right time. We were able to have complete and fair debate. I always had great appreciation of him.

I served with Senator Gregg when he became a Member of the House of Representatives. He left to become a two-term Governor of the State of New Hampshire. He came back—to the Senate.

I always had great respect for his abilities and certainly they were evident during the work he did on the Patients’ Bill of Rights. Even though he was on the losing side of votes on many of the amendments that were offered, he was always a gentleman and a scholar. I think he did himself and this Senate very well with his work.

The Senate-passed Patients’ Bill of Rights contains every one of the patient protections listed in President Bush’s statement of principles. I hope the House of Representatives will work towards swift passage of this bill and that the President will sign into law this truly bipartisan legislation that will improve the quality of life for all Americans.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair will state the time until 12:30 p.m. will be under the control of the Senator from Illinois, Mr. DURBIN, or his designee, and from 12:30 p.m. until 1 p.m. will be under the control of the Senator from Wyoming, Mr. Thomas, or his designee.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, if the Senator from Wyoming wishes to say a few words, I am happy to yield him time under our time. How much time does the Senator want?

Mr. THOMAS. I was going to ask the question the President pro tempore has already answered. Thank you.

Mr. REID. The Senator from North Dakota has the floor of the time. The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from North Dakota.

MEXICAN LONG-HAUL TRUCKS ON U.S. HIGHWAYS

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, later this week and perhaps through the summer we will have a discussion in both the Senate and the House about a very controversial issue. This administration and this Government will allow Mexican long-haul truckers to move across the border from Mexico into this country to drive their trucks on the highways and byways of this country unrestricted by the grounds that the North American Free Trade Agreement requires us to do so. However, after signing NAFTA the previous administration decided, because of serious safety concerns, not to allow the Mexican long-haul truckers to come in unrestricted on America’s highways. At the moment, we allow them to cross the border and operate only in a zone within 20 miles from the Mexican border, on short-haul trucks.

The Bush administration is now going to lift that restriction. That is going to cause some very serious controversy. I want to explain today why that is an important issue.

A San Francisco Chronicle reporter named Robert Collier recently went on a 3-day trip with a long-haul trucker in Mexico. His article in the San Francisco Chronicle is quite interesting and quite revealing. I ask unanimous consent to have it printed at the conclusion of my remarks. It says:

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See Exhibit 1.)

Mr. DORGAN. What is this issue of Mexican trucks coming into the United States? Why is it important and why will it provoke controversy? Simply, the issue is this: We inspect just 1 to 2 percent of the Mexican trucks that come into this country and operate within the 20-mile restriction. And 36 percent of those Mexican trucks are turned back into Mexico for serious safety violations.

In other words, up to now, we have told Mexican truckers: We will not allow you on America's roads; not until they meet all the requirements for safety that we require of our own trucking companies and our own drivers. This is not a hard question.

On the appropriations bill in the House of Representatives there was an amendment added that prohibits funding for permitting Mexican truckers to come into this country on an unrestricted basis. I have indicated I intend to offer a similar amendment in the Senate. I have offered stand-alone legislation which is more comprehensive than that, but it seems to me it is useful to offer language identical to that of the House because then it would be non-conferenceable and the restriction would become law when the appropriations bill is signed.

Senator MURRAY, the chair of the Transportation Appropriations subcommittee, talked to me and I know she is working on some language. I have not yet had an opportunity to see what that language is, but I appreciate the work she is doing. I hope when the appropriations bill leaves the Senate,