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use in stem cell research. Researchers only use embryos which were scheduled to be destroyed. Clearly, these embryos can be put to better use. The scientific promise of embryonic stem cells offers hope that simply did not exist a few years ago. We cannot afford to literally throw away such potential. Every day that we continue to research brings with it astonishing possibilities for enhanced treatments and cures for now-reversible diseases and injuries.

Let us come together as a body in support of stem cell research.

SUPPORT ETHICAL AND RESPONSIBLE STEM CELL RESEARCH

(Mr. RYUN of Kansas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. RYUN of Kansas. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of ethical stem cell research and in opposition to the destruction of human life. I firmly believe it is my responsibility to respect and protect life at every stage.

The issue we face is not whether we allow this research. Both the ethical adult stem cell research that I support and the controversial embryonic research will continue on.

However, we must now decide if we are going to force taxpayers to fund this controversial embryonic research. Allocating Federal dollars for research that retires destruction of human embryos would require many Americans to fund something that they morally oppose. I urge the President and my colleagues to join me in supporting responsible and ethical stem cell research and standing for what is right and moving ahead with this research.

JULIAN C. DIXON POST OFFICE

(Ms. WATSON of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WATSON of California. Mr. Speaker, on December 8, 2000, Julian Dixon, a Member of Congress, died of a heart attack at age 66. On that day, Congress lost an experienced leader, and California lost a tireless advocate. But the loss of Julian Dixon was felt the hardest in the 32nd Congressional District of California where Angelenos lost a beloved friend and neighbor.

Yesterday, I introduced a bill to rename a post office in the 32nd district as the "Congressman Julian C. Dixon Post Office." This one small effort pales in comparison to the years of devoted service Julian provided to his community.

But as a friend and a school chum of Julian Dixon, I know that my neighbors in the 32nd Congressional District would be proud to have Julian remembered in this way. What an appropriate way to honor him, since he was well known for corresponding with his constituents by mail.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the entire California delegation, as well as any other Member, to join me in cosponsoring this piece of legislation.

FROZEN EMBRYOS ARE BEING ADOPTED

(Mr. SMITH of New Jersey asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, Hannah is a happy 2½-year-old little girl. She is a normal, healthy toddler discovering the joys of life. In a few days I hope to meet Hannah and when I do, I will reassure her that there is no such thing as a "spare" or "leftover" person.

Although she may not yet understand what that means, her parents sure do. They understand perfectly, because little Hannah used to be a frozen embryo in an invitro fertilization clinic. She was what those who support embryonic stem cell research—research that destroys human embryos—callously call "spare" and "leftover" embryos.

But Hannah is neither "spare" nor "leftover," despite the fact that she spent a considerable amount of time in a deep-freeze that served as her frozen orphanage. The perky toddler could have been fodder for researchers, but instead today is talking a blue streak, and in a few years will go to school.

Mr. Speaker, the story of Hannah and other adopted embryos underscores why we should not spend Federal tax dollars to destroy human embryos to steal their precious stem cells. Researchers who like to use them because they tend to proliferate very nicely in a frozen orphanage have been given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of stem cell research. It is time for people on all points of the political spectrum to come together, support efforts to make stem cell research safe, legal and ethical. Stem cell research has the potential to unlock the door to medical knowledge for a host of diseases. We cannot allow America’s health to be held hostage to politics, while medical research stagnates.

For people suffering from Alzheimer’s or Parkinson’s, or for those who have loved ones with these diseases, including cancer and juvenile diabetes, stem cell research represent hope for a cure. Yet by banning this research, either adult or embryonic research, we foreclose the possibility of improving or saving many, many lives. And who will pay the price? A mother fighting Parkinson’s or a child battling juvenile diabetes. That is why I strongly urge my colleagues to put politics aside, support the promising scientific research of stem cell research.

RESEARCH MONEY SHOULD GO TOWARD ADULT STEM CELL RESEARCH

(Mr. WELDON of Florida asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, the issue of embryonic stem cells is a medical issue, one that should transcend political lines and instead focus on human lives.

One such life is that of Carolyn Laughlin, a mother of two diabetic
We must allow federal funding for life-saving embryonic stem cell research.

(Mr. McDermott asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. McDermott. Mr. Speaker, throughout time people have resisted scientific advancement. History is replete with the examples of fundamentalist religious leaders issuing scientific decisions based on absolutely no evidence. It is deja vu all over again today with this current administration as they inject politics into the single most promising medical research of the century. The Bush administration is unfortunately not committed to research that would hasten medical discoveries, but rather, to hold science hostage to the Catholic vote.

Carl Rove, the President’s chief political adviser, is concerned about the views of the Catholic Church because the Catholic voters are seen as a swing vote in the elections. This administration has degraded medical research and the tremendous potential of embryonic stem cell research into an anti-abortion vote.

The White House is currently reviewing the matter. In other words, they are looking at the polls. “A responsible leader,” and this is a quote, “is someone who makes decisions based upon principles, not based upon polls or focus groups.” The New York Times reminds us that President Bush said those words a few days before Election Day. Perhaps he needs to be reminded.

Without a microscope, one cannot even see what this debate is all about. The center of the controversy is a microscopic cluster of cells stored in test tubes like this one. It is smaller than the period at the end of a sentence.

When Orrin Hatch says he can tell the difference between cells in the test tube and those in a woman’s body, then we know that this is a nonsense argument. We should continue this research.

Gutknecht Amendment Allows Access to Reasonably-Priced Drugs for Seniors

(Mr. GUTKNECHT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, later today we are going to have a very heated debate about a simple amendment that I am going to offer to make it clear what the Congress intended last year in terms of prescription drugs and allowing seniors and other Americans access to drugs from other places.

Much of the debate is going to revolve around this chart and the issue of safety. I just want to talk about a couple of these items here. For Glucophage, a commonly-prescribed drug for diabetes, in the United States the average price is $30.12 for a 30-day supply. That same drug made in the same place and for $4.11.

Mr. Speaker, a lot of people are going to say, what about safety? What about safety? Well, there is not a single piece of evidence, not one piece of evidence, that anyone has been injured by bringing legal drugs back into the United States where they have a prescription. That is a fact.

It is also a fact that 4.4 percent of the fruit and produce that comes into this country every day is tainted with serious pathogens.

Mr. Speaker, we are going to have a chance to vote on this amendment. We are going to have to decide whether we are going to defend and explain this chart, and say that Americans should not have the access to legal drugs from legal countries around the world.

Urging the President to Allow Stem Cell Research to Proceed

(Mrs. Maloney of New York asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I urge the President to allow stem cell research to proceed.

Along with the gentlewoman from Maryland (Mrs. Morella) and many others in this Congress, we have introduced House Resolution 17 that calls on Federal funding of human pluripotent stem cell research to continue.

As the recent statements by a number of prominent Republicans, such as Andy Card and Tommy Thompson, have said, they have come out in support of stem cell research. They underscore that this should not be a partisan issue. After a lengthy public comment period on August 25, the NIH published guidelines on human pluripotent stem cell research. Additionally, they accepted applications for research projects through March, 2001.

However, President Bush has put a hold on this work, calling for a review of the guidelines. I say to the President that it is estimated that over 100 million Americans are living with diseases like Parkinson’s, Alzheimer’s, diabetes. These people could be helped by stem cell research. We need to support science. We need to support medical knowledge. We need to support stem cell research.

EmBryonic Stem Cell Research Destroys Life

(Mr. DeLay asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of a fact: Stem cell research, research that is ethical and which has been proven effective. The stem cell research I am referring to is derived from adults, umbilical cord blood, and placental blood, to name just a few sources. I, however, am not talking about stem cell research extracted from human embryos.

We can and are saving lives with stem cells gathered from adults even...