soft money to a national party in an election campaign, and it allows Federal candidates to raise unlimited amounts of soft money for State parties to spend on TV attack ads.

Let us stand up for clean elections, let us stand up for good political discourse in this country, let us stand up for real campaign finance reform.

STEM CELL RESEARCH
(Mrs. MORELLA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Speaker, I rise to voice my support for stem cell research under the strict NIH guidelines. I want to thank the Members on both sides of the aisle who have joined with me, both pro choice and pro life, in support of this important research.

This is not a political issue, it is not a partisan issue, it is a medical issue and it is a human issue. It is, for some, a life and death issue. It affects our senior citizens, both men and women, and it affects our children. It goes without saying that the children of this country deserve the best medical research that one can find.

I speak of the children with juvenile diabetes, known as the silent killer. More than 1 million Americans have Type 1, which is the juvenile diabetes, a disease that strikes children suddenly, makes them insulin dependent for life, and carries the constant threat of death. More than 1 million Americans have Type 1, which is the juvenile diabetes, and it is hoped that this giant farm operations are taking a lot of that price support money and realistically taking away from the small family farmer, we need to decide what Federal farm policy should be. I would ask my colleagues to consider an amendment of $75,000 per producer. We have producers in this country that are now getting $1.2 million. The average size of farm in this country is 420 acres. We have farms up to 80,000 acres. We should be looking at helping family farmers with Federal farm policy.

THE JOURNAL
The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. COOKSEY). Pursuant to clause 8, rule XX, the pending business is the question of the Speaker’s approval of the Journal of the last day’s proceedings.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HINCHLEY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic devices, and there were—yes 366, nays 42, answered “present” 2, not voting 23, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brewer</th>
<th>Crenshaw</th>
<th>Davis, Tom</th>
<th>Hinchley</th>
<th>Kucinich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>每一</td>
<td>北京</td>
<td>华盛顿</td>
<td>伦敦</td>
<td>莫斯科</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.