It's important to note that the Inspector Generals of the EPA, the Department of Energy, and the Department of State all agreed that the Clinton Administration was not trying to prematurely implement the Kyoto Protocol.

But that's all beside the point now.

We have a new President who has made it clear that he intends to do nothing about global warming, except study it. He has pronounced the Kyoto Protocol fundamentally flawed and "dead," and he has reversed his campaign promise to regulate carbon dioxide.

As it stands, this bill seems to say we still need to restrain any federal efforts to address global warming. But if there is ever a time NOT to send cautionary messages about acting too fast to address global warming, it's now. The danger we face today is in acting far too slowly.

Last year, efforts on the floor to amend the Kyoto language were successful. I urge my colleagues to send the same good message that we sent last year—this anti-Kyoto language were successful. I urge my colleagues to send the same good message that we sent last year—this anti-Kyoto language were successful. I urge my colleagues to send the same good message that we sent last year—this anti-Kyoto language were successful.
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