

life, and she said, "I am not afraid to die; I just wish I could keep on living so I can see what happens next." That epitomizes the kind of woman Eva Bowman is.

Mr. Speaker, it is people of optimism, perseverance, and commitment to education, like Eva and Ralph, that make America the strong, innovative country that it is today. We owe the Bowmans a heart of gratitude for setting such a positive example for all of us to follow.

THE FAILURE OF CAFTA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, this is the face of CAFTA. This photo was taken by Reuters News Service yesterday in Guatemala as police forces used tear gas and water cannons to beat back hundreds of demonstrators who had united to speak out against the Central American Free Trade Agreement, CAFTA. This is the face of CAFTA.

More than 25,000 letters have been signed by workers in Guatemala begging their Congress not to rush through this bad trade agreement. Throughout the developing world, from Guatemala to every other developing country, workers do not share in the wealth they create. Nike workers in Vietnam cannot afford the shoes they make. Disney workers in Costa Rica cannot buy the toys for their children. Motorola workers in Malaysia are unable to purchase the cell phones.

The North American Free Trade Agreement promised to create a thriving middle class in Mexico, to raise wages and lift people out of poverty. A dozen years later, there is no middle class realizing their dreams. Instead, there is a fallen minimum wage and the ongoing nightmare of abject poverty despite the backbreaking work and deplorable working conditions.

Now President Bush wants to expand this failed trade agreement with CAFTA, the Central America Free Trade Agreement, a dysfunctional cousin of NAFTA, involving five Central American countries: Costa Rica, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Honduras and Guatemala. CAFTA nations are not only among the world's poorest countries; they are also among its smallest economies. With a \$62 billion combined economic output, about that of Columbus, Ohio, these countries can hardly serve as a growth engine for the \$10 trillion U.S. economy. CAFTA is more about access to cheap labor and exporting American jobs than exporting American goods.

Trade pacts like NAFTA and CAFTA enable companies to exploit cheap labor in other countries, then import their products back to the U.S. under

favorable terms. As a result, our country, especially my State of Ohio, bleed manufacturing jobs and run unprecedented trade deficits.

Gregory Mankiw, the President's former chief economist, portrays the exporting of jobs as inevitable and desirable, saying, "When a good or service is produced more cheaply abroad, it makes more sense to import it than to provide it domestically."

Mr. Speaker, I would say to Mr. Mankiw that what really makes sense is a trade policy that lifts workers up in rich and poor countries alike while respecting human rights and democratic principles.

Proof that CAFTA is a legacy of failed trade policies is evidenced in Congress' own inaction. Since 2001, Congress typically votes within 8 weeks, about 60 days, of President Bush signing a trade agreement. Nearly 300 days have elapsed since President Bush signed the Central American Free Trade Agreement. That delay is proof that CAFTA is a failure and further proof of CAFTA's failure can be seen in this photo when police have turned on their own protesting public, people who are exercising their rights simply to petition their Congress and ask that they not pass this trade agreement.

And yet, the U.S. continues to push for more of the same, more trade agreements that ship jobs overseas, more trade agreements that neglect essential environmental standards, more trade agreements that keep foreign workers in poverty.

Madness, Mr. Speaker, is repeating the same action over and over and expecting a different result. The United States, with its unrivaled purchasing power and our enormous economic clout, we are in a unique position to help empower poor workers in the developing world while promoting prosperity at home. When the world's poorest people can buy American products rather than just make them, then we will know that our trade policies are finally working.

□ 1545

RU-486: BABY POISON THAT ALSO KILLS WOMEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DENT). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, RU-486 is baby poison, and now we know it is also fatal to women. RU-486 is a powerful pesticide that was developed to kill human beings, not cure them. It targets unborn children for elimination as if they were cancers or viruses and hurts the women who take it. The fact that pro-abortion groups led the charge to water down the FDA's safety measures on RU-486 and now have been silent in the death

of Holly Patterson is an indictment against them. They care more about abortion than they do women. These groups have actually chosen to subjugate women's lives and health to the insatiable desire for legal abortion at any time and for any reason. They have chosen abortion over women, but our current FDA should not continue to side with these extreme organizations such as Planned Parenthood. The FDA should be concerned more about health than they are with the bottom line of what the abortion industry is making.

That is why I so strongly support Holly's Law, a bill authored by the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. DEMINT) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. BARTLETT). I was very glad to join the gentleman from Maryland today at a press conference promoting this important legislation. As a father, my heart goes out to the Pattersons in their grief over the loss of their daughter. RU-486 killed Holly Patterson, and the approval of this terrible drug during the Clinton administration was done in the name of political expediency instead of patient health.

Let me just say briefly, Mr. Speaker, that the FDA violated Federal law and their own standards in approving RU-486. They approved it without the submission of data from adequate and well-controlled clinical trials. They created a final approval regimen for the use of RU-486 that does not reflect safeguards employed in the clinical trials on which FDA relied. They approved RU-486 using the expedited subpart H process which is only supposed to be used to approve drugs to treat serious or life-threatening illnesses. Unless you construe the killing of an unborn child, boy or girl, to be a disease or an illness, subpart H should have never been used.

In violation of their own pediatric rule, they never tested the drug on adolescents, even though it is being used by adolescents. They have failed to impose and enforce restrictions on the use of RU-486 commensurate with the risk that it poses to women, and women are dying. They failed to require the Population Council to honor in full its post-approval safety study commitments, and let us not forget that this drug is being manufactured in the People's Republic of China.

It is important to keep in mind that with RU-486, we are talking about calibrating a poison designed to kill one person without causing harm or without killing another. The purpose of RU-486 is to chemically poison, this is the purpose of it, another human being. That it is dangerous physically and mentally and should never be sold to young people as a solution to their problems ought to be self-evident. Abortion, Mr. Speaker, is violence against children. We can do better for