

a candle retailer because it offered more money than an educational store to buy the lease, in contravention of Section 365(b)(3) of the Code. As a result, the lessor lost control over the nature of its very business, operating a particular mix of retail stores. If other retailers file for bankruptcy in that shopping center, the same result can occur.

In the past, courts have disagreed about whether Section 365(f) overrides the provisions of Section 365(b)(3). For example, in the case of *In re Rickles Home Ctrs., Inc.*, 240 B.R. (D. Del. 1999), appeal dismissed, 209 F.3d 291 (3d Cir.), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 873 (2000), the judge disregarded the use clause and allowed a lease sale to go through to a non-conforming user. However, in *In re Trak Auto Corp.*, 367 F.3d 237 (4th Cir. 2004), an appellate court held that a use clause must be strictly enforced under Section 365(b)(3) on sale of the lease, notwithstanding Section 365(f). This legislation provides the necessary clarity by amending Section 365(f)(1) to help make clear it operates subject to all provisions of Section 365(b).

I note that Section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code applies to cases under any chapter of Title 11. Language to that effect in the current Code's Section 365(d)(4) is deleted because it is repetitive of Sections 103(a) and 901 of the Code, which already make clear that provisions like Section 365(d)(4) apply to all cases under Title 11.

This bill creates new legal protections for a large class of retirement savings in bankruptcy. This measure has widespread support from a long list of groups, ranging from the American Association of Retired Persons, to the Small Business Council of America and the National Council on Teacher Retirement.

Let me take this opportunity to point out that the assets of some pension plans already are protected from bankruptcy proceedings. The United States Supreme Court has ruled in *Patterson v. Shumate*, reported at 504 U.S. 753 (1992), that assets of pension plans which have, and are required by law to have, anti-alienation provisions, are excluded from bankruptcy estates.

Let me be absolutely clear that this provision is not intended in any way to diminish the protections offered under existing law and under the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Patterson v. Shumate*, but rather, is intended to provide protection to other retirement plans and accounts not currently protected.

Mr. President, this has been a battle, there is no question about it, like all hotly contested issues are. But I think virtually everybody has contributed, and we have had some tough times on the floor. We have had even some bad feelings from time to time. But we have been at this for 8 solid, difficult

years. It is unfortunate we could not work out more amendments, also, but we couldn't and still have this bill pass, hopefully for the last time. We worked in good faith to try to do that.

For those who feel they have not been treated as fairly as I would certainly have wanted to treat them or I feel I have treated them and others as well have treated them, we feel bad about that and hope they will forgive us for not being able to make some of the changes that perhaps we would have made had this been the first year of this bill and we didn't have the difficulty of meeting the suggestions of our friends over in the other body.

We think they have done a terrific job. The people in the House of Representatives are tremendous leaders, from Chairman SENSENBRENNER right on through the whole Judiciary Committee and, of course, the leadership over in the House as well and others who are not on the Judiciary Committee but are concerned about this very important bill. They work closely with us. It is difficult for them and it is difficult for us, but that is the way these two bodies ought to work together, and this bill is a perfect illustration of what can happen if good people can get together, compromise on some of these issues that can be compromised, and yet stand firmly so we can pass legislation like this that will benefit the whole country.

In my final remarks, let me recognize the efforts of Ed Pagano and Bruce Cohen of Senator LEAHY's office and Jim Flug and Jeff Teitz of Senator KENNEDY's office for all the hard work they have done over the years on this issue as well. It is a pleasure to work with staff on the Judiciary Committee. They are bright. They are articulate. They are brilliant, as a matter of fact. That is what you want in Judiciary Committee staffers. I wish those on the minority side would not be nearly as tough as they are, but I respect them for being that way.

With that, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CARL D. PERKINS CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2005—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the question is, Shall the bill pass? The yeas and nays have been ordered, and the clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New York (Mrs. CLINTON) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. (Mr. VITTER). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 99, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 43 Leg.]

YEAS—99

Akaka	Dole	Martinez
Alexander	Domenici	McCain
Allard	Dorgan	McConnell
Allen	Durbin	Mikulski
Baucus	Ensign	Murkowski
Bayh	Enzi	Murray
Bennett	Feingold	Nelson (FL)
Biden	Feinstein	Nelson (NE)
Bingaman	Frist	Obama
Bond	Graham	Pryor
Boxer	Grassley	Reed
Brownback	Gregg	Reid
Bunning	Hagel	Roberts
Burns	Harkin	Rockefeller
Burr	Hatch	Salazar
Byrd	Hutchison	Santorum
Cantwell	Inhofe	Sarbanes
Carper	Inouye	Schumer
Chafee	Isakson	Sessions
Chambliss	Jeffords	Shelby
Coburn	Johnson	Smith
Cochran	Kennedy	Snowe
Coleman	Kerry	Specter
Collins	Kohl	Stabenow
Conrad	Kyl	Stevens
Cornyn	Landrieu	Sununu
Corzine	Lautenberg	Talent
Craig	Leahy	Thomas
Crapo	Levin	Thune
Dayton	Lieberman	Vitter
DeMint	Lincoln	Voinovich
DeWine	Lott	Warner
Dodd	Lugar	Wyden

NOT VOTING—1

Clinton

The bill (S. 250), as amended, was passed.

BANKRUPTCY ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 2005—Continued

AMENDMENT NO. 90

The PRESIDING OFFICER. There will now be 2 minutes of debate, equally divided, on the Feingold amendment No. 90.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, for the information of my colleagues, in consultation with the Democratic leader, we would like to have all of the remaining votes be 10-minute votes. We are going to be enforcing it strictly, so we have a reason to keep moving along. We ask that everybody, once we start voting shortly, stay in the Chamber and continue to vote. We will have 10-minute votes for the remainder of the evening.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wisconsin is recognized.

Mr. FEINGOLD. Mr. President, if we have a brief quorum call, I believe we may be able to eliminate the need for some of the votes.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.