

and the admiration that the people of south Florida had for him.

Mr. Speaker, I join the people of Miami-Dade, the State of Florida, and our country in honoring the exemplary life of a great statesman, Congressman Bill Lehman. May he rest in peace.

#### PROTECTING AMERICANS AGAINST ID THEFT

(Mr. EMANUEL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EMANUEL. Mr. Speaker, another major security breach involving the personal ID theft of 180,000 GM and MasterCard credit card holders should wake up Congress to deliver tough national standards for protecting Americans against ID theft. But this recent outbreak of 180,000 GM and MasterCard credit holders' ID is on the heels of Choice Point, Bank of America and Lexus-Nexus and shows there are too many fraud artists posing as individual businesses and too many individual consumers whose identity is now being stolen and used against them.

According to the Privacy Rights Center, up to 10 million Americans are victims of ID theft each year. They have a right to be notified when their most sensitive health data is stolen.

In response to this problem, there have been bipartisan solutions offered to address it. The gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. BEAN), the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. GUTIERREZ), the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER), and I have introduced the Notification of Risk to Personal Data Act as one piece of legislation. Our legislation requires consumers to be immediately notified when their personal data has been stolen or acquired by an unauthorized person and imposes tough new penalties on violators.

Mr. Speaker, Americans want, need, and rightfully expect Congress to protect them from the prying eyes of identity thieves and give them back control of their Social Security numbers and personal health information.

#### SUPPORTING LEADERSHIP OF PRESIDENT ON SOCIAL SECURITY

(Mr. CHOCOLA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHOCOLA. Mr. Speaker, this morning I rise in support of the leadership of our President as it relates to Social Security.

Just a couple of weeks ago the President was in my district, and he shared with the people of north central Indiana that we have an undeniable challenge with Social Security. The President believes that leadership solves problems and that leaders do not pass problems along to future generations.

He also said that all ideas are on the table.

So, Mr. Speaker, I encourage all of my colleagues, especially those on other side of the aisle, to become part of the solution, rather than just part of the problem. If we say what we are against and we only say what we are against, we only add to the problem; but if we say what we are for and we offer constructive solutions, even if we do not agree with all the solutions offered, let us say that we have a better idea.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is imperative for the American people that we all become part of the solution, we all offer good ideas to make sure that we address one of the most serious problems we face as a Nation, because that is exactly what we are elected for. So I encourage all of my colleagues to be part of the solution.

#### CLARIFICATION ON COMMENTS ON JUDICIARY NEEDED

(Mr. INSLEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. INSLEE. Mr. Speaker, some time ago the majority leader of the House, in response to the Schiavo decision, said, "The time will come for the men responsible for this to answer for their behavior." The majority leader yesterday rightfully apologized for those comments, and I think that we should respect that apology, because we are all capable of saying something that we regret that was misunderstood.

But it is most troubling that at the same time the majority leader again threatened the independence of the judiciary. He threatened them with taking away their jurisdiction, he threatened them with breaking up their districts, and he basically threatened this organ of our government that is responsible for our freedoms, for protecting our freedom of religion and protecting our freedom of speech. We have what Russia did not have, an independent judiciary; and I am most troubled that the majority leader, when it comes to their independence and our freedoms and the importance of both of those things, just does not get it.

Mr. Speaker, I am hopeful that he will reconsider his comments yesterday and follow his first apology, if not with a second, with at least a clarification.

#### PROTECTING THE AMERICAN DREAM

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, yesterday's passage of the Death Tax Repeal Permanency Act was a victory for American families, farmers, and small business owners.

Since reducing the death tax in 2001, over 3 million new jobs have been created in our country. Unfortunately, Congress provided the American people with a temporary solution to a serious problem. The death tax is scheduled to go back into effect in 2010.

The leadership on this issue of the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. HULSHOF) and the majority leader, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DELAY), has been essential in protecting the American Dream.

□ 1015

The Death Tax Permanency Act will ensure that our tax system does not continue to penalize family-owned businesses such as dealerships, funeral homes, and beverage distributors. As a former probate attorney, I know firsthand we need to end this unfair devil tax which hurts families.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September 11.

#### ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE VALERIE PLAME MATTER

(Mr. HOLT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, nearly 2 years after a columnist disclosed the identity of a CIA employee, the White House and the Department of Justice have yet to find and hold accountable the person or persons who leaked her name to the press.

We know that at least one, and possibly more, executive branch officials violated their oaths to protect classified information and, in doing so, they squandered an important intelligence asset and may have jeopardized the lives of people with whom she has been in contact. American security was harmed.

Some have offered weak excuses for the disclosure, saying the person's identity was already known or her work was not really important. Those are outrageous excuses. More troubling still is the fact that this was leaked in the context of a political vendetta. According to published reports, the leaker was trying to discredit former ambassador Joe Wilson, who was disputing the administration's assertions that Saddam was trying to unleash weapons of mass destruction on the United States. Of course, we now know Wilson was right.

As President George Herbert Walker Bush stated in a speech to CIA employees a few years ago, "Those who leak the identity of intelligence operatives are the most insidious of traitors." What does it say about the ethics and responsibilities of this body and the administration that attempts to find this person have been so anemic?