

Murphy (CT) Rodriguez  
 Murphy (NY) Rogers (KY)  
 Murphy, Tim Rohrabacher  
 Nadler (NY) Ros-Lehtinen  
 Napolitano Roskam  
 Pastor (AZ) Ryan (WI)  
 Paul Schock  
 Pence Shimkus  
 Pomeroy Simpson  
 Reyes Sires

Smith (WA) Speier  
 Sutton  
 Terry  
 Thompson (CA) Walden  
 Wamp  
 Young (FL)

Doyle Langevin  
 Dreier Larsen (WA)  
 Driehaus Larson (CT)  
 Edwards (MD) Latham  
 Edwards (TX) Latta  
 Ehlers Lee (CA)  
 Ellison Lee (NY)  
 Ellsworth Levin  
 Emerson Lewis (CA)  
 Engel Lewis (GA)  
 Eshoo Lipinski  
 Etheridge LoBiondo  
 Fallin Lowey  
 Farr Lucas  
 Fattah Luetkemeyer  
 Filner Lummis  
 Fleming Lungren, Daniel  
 Forbes E.  
 Fortenberry Lynch  
 Foster Mack  
 Foxx Maffei  
 Franks (AZ) Manzullo  
 Frelinghuysen Markey (CO)  
 Fudge Markey (MA)  
 Garamendi Matheson  
 Garrett (NJ) Matsui  
 Gerlach McCarthy (CA)  
 Giffords McCarthy (NY)  
 Gohmert McCaul  
 Gonzalez McClintock  
 Goodlatte McCollum  
 Gordon (TN) McCotter  
 Granger McDermott  
 Graves McGovern  
 Grayson McHenry  
 Green, Al McIntyre  
 Green, Gene McKeon  
 Griffith McMahan  
 Guthrie McMorris  
 Gutierrez Rodgers  
 Hall (NY) McNeerney  
 Hall (TX) Meek (FL)  
 Halvorson Meeks (NY)  
 Hare Melancon  
 Harman Mica  
 Harper Michaud  
 Hastings (FL) Miller (FL)  
 Hastings (WA) Miller (MI)  
 Heinrich Miller (NC)  
 Herger Miller, George  
 Herseth Sandlin Minnick  
 Himes Mitchell  
 Hinchey Mollohan  
 Hinojosa Moore (KS)  
 Hirono Moran (VA)  
 Hodes Murphy, Patrick  
 Holden Myrick  
 Holt Nadler (NY)  
 Honda Neal (MA)  
 Hoyer Neugebauer  
 Hunter Nunes  
 Inglis Nye  
 Inslee Oberstar  
 Israel Obey  
 Jackson (IL) Olson  
 Jackson Lee Oliver  
 (TX) Ortiz  
 Jenkins Owens  
 Johnson (GA) Pallone  
 Johnson (IL) Pascrell  
 Johnson, E. B. Paulsen  
 Jordan (OH) Payne  
 Kanjorski Perlmutter  
 Kennedy Perriello  
 Kildeer Peters  
 Kilroy Peterson  
 Kind Petri  
 King (IA) Pingree (ME)  
 King (NY) Pitts  
 Kingston Platts  
 Kirkpatrick (AZ) Poe (TX)  
 Kissell Polis (CO)  
 Klein (FL) Posey  
 Kline (MN) Price (GA)  
 Kosmas Price (NC)  
 Kratoch Putnam  
 Kucinich Quigley  
 Lamborn Radanovich  
 Lance Rahall

Rangel Rehberg  
 Reichert Larson (CT)  
 Richardson  
 Roe (TN)  
 Rogers (AL)  
 Rogers (MI)  
 Rooney  
 Ross  
 Rothman (NJ)  
 Roybal-Allard  
 Royce  
 Ruppenger  
 Rush  
 Ryan (OH)  
 Salazar  
 Sanchez, Linda  
 T.  
 Sanchez, Loretta  
 Sarbanes  
 Scalise  
 Schakowsky  
 Schauer  
 Schiff  
 Schmidt  
 Schrader  
 Schwartz  
 Scott (GA)  
 Scott (VA)  
 Sensenbrenner  
 Serrano  
 Sessions  
 Sestak  
 Shadegg  
 Shea-Porter  
 Sherman  
 Shuler  
 Shuster  
 Simpson  
 Skelton  
 Slaughter  
 Smith (NE)  
 Smith (NJ)  
 Smith (TX)  
 Mica  
 Snyder  
 Souder  
 Space  
 Spratt  
 Stark  
 Stearns  
 Stupak  
 Sullivan  
 Mollohan  
 Sutton  
 Tanner  
 Taylor  
 Teague  
 Thompson (MS)  
 Thompson (PA)  
 Thornberry  
 Tiahrt  
 Tiberi  
 Tierney  
 Titus  
 Tonko  
 Towns  
 Tsongas  
 Turner  
 Upton  
 Van Hollen  
 Velázquez  
 Visclosky  
 Walz  
 Wasserman  
 Schultz  
 Waters  
 Watson  
 Watt  
 Waxman  
 Weiner  
 Welch  
 Whitfield  
 Wilson (OH)  
 Wilson (SC)  
 Wittman  
 Wolf  
 Wu  
 Yarmuth  
 Young (AK)

NOT VOTING—80  
 Frank (MA) Murphy (CT)  
 Gallegly Murphy (NY)  
 Gingrey (GA) Murphy, Tim  
 Grijalva Napolitano  
 Heller Pastor (AZ)  
 Hensarling Paul  
 Higgins Pence  
 Hill Pomeroy  
 Hoekstra Reyes  
 Issa Rodriguez  
 Johnson, Sam Rogers (KY)  
 Jones Rohrabacher  
 Kagen Ros-Lehtinen  
 Kaptur Roskam  
 Kilpatrick (MI) Ryan (WI)  
 Kirk Schock  
 LaTourette Shimkus  
 Linder Sires  
 Loebsock Smith (WA)  
 Lofgren, Zoe Speier  
 Luján Terry  
 Maloney Thompson (CA)  
 Marchant Walden  
 Marshall Wamp  
 Miller, Gary Wamp  
 Moore (WI) Woolsey  
 Moran (KS) Young (FL)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE  
 The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1114

So the Journal was approved.  
 The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:  
 Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, on Friday, March 12, 2010, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 110. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on approving the journal.

Stated against:  
 Mr. HELLER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 110, had I been present, I would have voted “nay.”

Mr. TIM MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 110, had I been present, I would have voted “nay.”

BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIP ACT OF 2010

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. HALVORSON). The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4506, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COHEN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4506, as amended.

This will be a 5-minute vote.  
 The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 345, nays 5, not voting 80, as follows:

[Roll No. 111]  
 YEAS—345

Aderholt Bono Mack Clyburn  
 Adler (NJ) Boozman Coble  
 Akin Boren Coffman (CO)  
 Altmire Boucher Cohen  
 Andrews Boustany Cole  
 Arcuri Boyd Conaway  
 Austria Brady (PA) Connolly (VA)  
 Bachmann Brady (TX) Conyers  
 Bachus Braley (IA) Cooper  
 Baird Brown, Corrine Costa  
 Baldwin Burton (IN) Courtney  
 Barrett (SC) Butterfield Crenshaw  
 Barrow Camp Crowley  
 Bartlett Cantor Cuellar  
 Bean Capito Culberson  
 Becerra Capps Cummings  
 Berkley Capuano Dahlkemper  
 Berry Cardoza Davis (CA)  
 Biggert Carnahan Davis (IL)  
 Bilbray Carney Davis (KY)  
 Bilirakis Carson (IN) Davis (TN)  
 Bishop (GA) Cassidy DeGette  
 Bishop (NY) Castle DeLauro  
 Blackburn Castor (FL) Dent  
 Blumenauer Chandler Dicks  
 Boccieri Chu Dingell  
 Boehner Clarke Doggett  
 Bonner Cleaver Donnelly (IN)

Bright  
 Broun (GA)

NAYS—5

Brown-Waite, Duncan  
 Ginny Westmoreland

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE  
 The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1126

Mr. MANZULLO changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:  
 Mr. HELLER. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 111, had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. TIM MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 111, had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Madam Speaker, on Friday, March 12, 2010, I was absent during rollcall vote No. 111. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on the motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 4506, the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2010, which will authorize the appointment of additional bankruptcy judges into the courts.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. KILPATRICK of Michigan. Madam Speaker, I was unable to attend several votes today. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on final passage of H.R. 3650 and “aye” on final passage of H.R. 4506.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, on March 12, 2010, I was unavoidably unable to cast my votes for rollcall 109, rollcall 110 and rollcall 111. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, yesterday, I debated the impeachment resolution, H. Res. 1031, but

I was delayed in a health care discussion and meeting, which caused me to miss rollcall vote 102 of article I of H. Res. 1031, the impeachment resolution.

Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

PERMISSION FOR MEMBER TO BE CONSIDERED AS FIRST SPONSOR OF H.R. 562

Ms. BERKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may hereafter be considered to be the first sponsor of H.R. 562, a bill originally introduced by Representative Neil Abercrombie of Hawaii, for the purposes of adding cosponsors and requesting reprintings pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Nevada?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR MEMBER TO BE CONSIDERED AS FIRST SPONSOR OF H.R. 3333

Ms. BERKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may hereafter be considered to be the first sponsor of H.R. 3333, a bill originally introduced by Representative Neil Abercrombie of Hawaii, for the purposes of adding cosponsors and requesting reprintings pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Nevada?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. CANTOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland, the majority leader, for the purposes of announcing next week's schedule.

Mr. HOYER. I thank my friend, the Republican whip, for yielding.

Madam Speaker, on Monday the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning-hour debate and at 2 p.m. for legislative business, with votes postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Tuesday the House will meet at 10:30 a.m. for morning-hour debate and 12 p.m. for legislative business. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business.

We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules, including a number of bills focused on improving government operations: the Plain Language Act, H.R. 946, by Representative BRALEY; H.R. 4720, Taking Responsibility for Congressional Pay Act, by Representative KIRKPATRICK of Ari-

zona. A complete list of suspension bills will be announced by the close of business today, as is the custom.

In addition, we will consider further action on H.R. 1586, the FAA Air Transportation Modernization and Safety Improvement Act. Further action on the jobs agenda is possible, and further action on health care legislation is also possible.

□ 1130

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman. Madam Speaker, I think it has been well reported that the majority plans to try to use the reconciliation process to ram a health care bill through this House and the one across the Capitol, and we also know from the reports that it is imperative that this House and the House majority and members of the majority must first pass the Senate's health care bill before any other action on a reconciliation measure is taken. The gentleman has announced, Madam Speaker, that all this will take place next week.

I wonder if the gentleman could give us a little bit more clarity as to the schedule and perhaps the need for Members to keep their schedules flexible through the weekend.

Mr. HOYER. First, let me say that no matter how often the gentleman and his colleagues want to say so, that we are going to "ram through" something, no matter how many times the press and public may be misled by that assertion, we are not ramming through anything, I tell my friend.

We are following the rules of the House and following the rules of the Senate that have been decades in existence, which, when they have been used, 72 percent of the time they have been used, 72 percent of the time they have been used, I tell my friend, your party used them. They are the rules, and we are going to follow the rules.

Both bills that are pending before the Congress of the United States have been passed with a majority, and, in fact, the Senate bill was passed by a 60 percent majority, I tell my friend, not rammed through, after a full year of debate and discussion, scores of hearings, hundreds of witnesses, and thousands of hours of consideration.

I tell my friend that you can say we are ramming something through as much as you want and it will not make it true, no matter how often it is said by your side of the aisle, who, in my opinion, wants simply to stop the legislation in its tracks.

I tell my friend that we are going to be in the regular order, as we have been on these bills since they were introduced. We are going to be in the regular order in terms of considering the passage of bills that have received majorities in both Houses. As I say again, the Senate bill has received a 60 percent majority in its House.

Now, the American public, frankly, I expect when we vote on bills, they ex-

pect things to pass by majority vote. They do here. They unfortunately don't in the other body. So you can have 59 percent, as we had in the House, to give children health care, and children don't get health care.

So I say to my friend, as I said, the expectation is we will consider passing health care legislation this coming week. We think it is long overdue. We expect the Budget Committee to mark up a reconciliation bill, as the committee did when the Republicans were in charge on 16 occasions out of the 22 that reconciliation has been used, 72 percent of the time, as I want to reiterate; because I, frankly, get a little impatient with this assertion that somehow a process that you utilized 72 percent of the times it has been utilized, which means we used it 28 percent, that somehow now when we are using it, it is somehow now not consistent with the rules. My friend knows it is consistent with the rules, and we are pursuing that process.

The committee, I expect, will mark up on Monday. I expect thereafter the Rules Committee to meet, as is consistent with the rules, to prepare a reconciliation bill and to report it to this floor. I expect them to report a rule to consider that reconciliation bill, and I expect that reconciliation bill to be considered.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman. Madam Speaker, all I asked was whether the Members should be prepared to be here over the weekend.

Mr. HOYER. No, you said a number of things before that which I was responding to. But, yes, Members should prepare to be here next weekend.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman. Madam Speaker, without having to delve back into the debate on what makes this health care bill different than the other times reconciliation was used, I think the American people are those that see the obvious.

But I would ask the gentleman, since he says we will be employing regular order here in response to the President's request that there be an up-or-down vote in this House, could the gentleman give us some enlightenment as to the suggestion surrounding something called the "Slaughter solution" and whether, in fact, Members can have an up-or-down vote, clean up-or-down vote on this bill, or whether there will be some procedural maneuvering, self-executing rule deeming the Senate bill passed? If he could give us some indication of what we may be able to expect next week.

Mr. HOYER. Of course, as the gentleman knows, the gentleman's party has used that process as well, as I am sure the gentleman knows. But, in any event, we will follow the rules. We will have a vote on the rule, consistent with the rules.

I have not talked to the chairwoman of the Rules Committee at this point in