

Schwartz	Stupak	Van Hollen
Scott (GA)	Sutton	Visclosky
Scott (VA)	Tanner	Walden
Serrano	Taylor	Walz
Sestak	Thompson (CA)	Wasserman
Shea-Porter	Thompson (MS)	Schultz
Sires	Thompson (PA)	Watson
Skelton	Tierney	Watt
Smith (WA)	Titus	Welch
Snyder	Tonko	Woolsey
Spratt	Towns	Wu
Stark	Tsongas	Young (AK)

NAYS—169

Aderholt	Gingrey (GA)	Murphy (CT)
Akin	Gohmert	Myrick
Alexander	Goodlatte	Neugebauer
Altmire	Graves	Nye
Austria	Griffith	Olson
Bachmann	Guthrie	Paulsen
Bachus	Gutierrez	Perriello
Barton (TX)	Hall (TX)	Petri
Bean	Harper	Pitts
Berry	Hastings (WA)	Platts
Bilbray	Heller	Poe (TX)
Bilirakis	Herger	Price (GA)
Bishop (UT)	Holden	Quigley
Blunt	Honda	Radanovich
Boehner	Hunter	Rehberg
Bonner	Inglis	Roe (TN)
Bono Mack	Jenkins	Rogers (AL)
Boozman	Johnson (IL)	Rogers (KY)
Boren	Johnson, Sam	Rogers (MI)
Boustany	Jones	Rohrabacher
Brady (TX)	Jordan (OH)	Rooney
Bright	Kanjorski	Roskam
Broun (GA)	King (IA)	Ross
Burgess	Kingston	Royce
Calvert	Kucinich	Rush
Camp	Lamborn	Ryan (WI)
Capito	Lance	Scalise
Carney	Latham	Schmidt
Carter	LaTourette	Sensenbrenner
Cassidy	Latta	Sessions
Chaffetz	Lee (NY)	Shadegg
Chandler	Lewis (CA)	Sherman
Childers	Lipinski	Shimkus
Coble	LoBiondo	Shuster
Conaway	Lucas	Simpson
Cooper	Luetkemeyer	Smith (NE)
Costello	Lummis	Smith (NJ)
Courtney	Manzullo	Smith (TX)
Culberson	Marchant	Souder
Davis (IL)	Marshall	Space
Davis (KY)	Matheson	Stearns
DeLauro	McCaul	Sullivan
Donnelly (IN)	McClintock	Terry
Dreier	McCotter	Thornberry
Duncan	McHenry	Tiahrt
Ellison	McIntyre	Tiberi
Emerson	McKeon	Turner
Fleming	McMahon	Upton
Forbes	McMorris	Velázquez
Fortenberry	Rodgers	Weiner
Foxx	Miller (FL)	Westmoreland
Frank (MA)	Miller (MI)	Whitfield
Franks (AZ)	Miller, Gary	Wilson (SC)
Gallely	Minnick	Wittman
Garrett (NJ)	Mitchell	Wolf
Gerlach	Moore (WI)	Young (FL)
Giffords	Moran (KS)	

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Slaughter

NOT VOTING—37

Barrett (SC)	Granger	Paul
Boucher	Green, Gene	Pingree (ME)
Boyd	Hinchev	Reyes
Brown (SC)	Hinojosa	Shuler
Butterfield	Hodes	Speier
Castor (FL)	Hoekstra	Teague
Clay	Kilpatrick (MI)	Wamp
Cohen	Klein (FL)	Waters
Davis (AL)	Linder	Waxman
DeGette	Meeeks (NY)	Wilson (OH)
Delahunt	Melancon	Yarmuth
Fallin	Mollohan	
Filner	Nunes	

□ 1855

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 242, final passage of H.R. 2499, had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall 242, I was away from the Capitol due to commitments in my Congressional District. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BOYD. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to attend votes this evening. Had I been present, my votes would have been as follows:

"Nay" on Velázquez (NY) Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute; "yea" on the Motion to Recommit H.R. 2499; "yea" on H.R. 2499.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. KILPATRICK of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to attend to several votes today. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on the Motion to Recommit; "nay" on passage of H.R. 2499.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. KOSMAS). The Chair will remind all persons in the gallery that they are here as guests of the House and that any manifestation of approval or disapproval of proceedings or other audible conversation is in violation of the rules of the House.

VACATING ORDERING OF YEAS AND NAYS ON HOUSE RESOLUTION 375, SUPPORTING THE GOALS AND IDEALS OF WORKERS' MEMORIAL DAY

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the ordering of the yeas and nays on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to House Resolution 375 be vacated, to the end that the resolution be considered as adopted in the form considered by the House on Tuesday April 27, 2010.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

Accordingly (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. CANTOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CANTOR. I yield to the gentleman from Maryland, the majority

leader, for the purposes of announcing next week's schedule.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the Republican whip for yielding.

I observe that our former colleague is on the floor, the Governor of Puerto Rico. Congratulations to him.

On Tuesday, the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business with votes postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for legislative business. On Friday, no votes are expected in the House.

We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules. The complete list of suspension bills will be announced by the close of business tomorrow. In addition, we will consider H.R. 5019, the Home Star Energy Retrofit Act of 2010.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman.

Madam Speaker, I noticed that my friend the majority leader did not mention the budget or the supplemental for Afghanistan and Iraq for next week's schedule. Obviously, both are extremely critical. I would like to ask, Madam Speaker, when does he expect those items to come to the floor?

I yield to the gentleman from Maryland.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for his question, and I appreciate him yielding.

As I have said before on the floor with respect to scheduling, I agree with him on both items. I think the budget is very important, and clearly the supplemental is very important, and I hope to be able to move those as soon as possible.

□ 1900

We are working on both. I know the Appropriations Committee is working on the supplemental. I know Mr. SPRATT is working on budget. So I tell my friend that I share his view of their importance, and that we hope to be able to move those to the floor within the near future. I cannot give him a date, but within the near future.

Mr. CANTOR. I would just like to reiterate our concern that as he just expressed the need for us to focus on matters of fiscal importance—and a budget would reflect that—as well as, Madam Speaker, to ensure that the House goes in regular order, hopefully, with a supplemental bill. I know there were some reports that that supplemental would come directly to the floor. I can yield to the gentleman if he has anything to respond to that.

Mr. HOYER. I really don't have anything specific. I have talked to Mr. OBEY. I don't have the specifics of how he is going to consider that. Obviously, that is an appropriations matter, as the gentleman well observes. I would be glad to talk to Mr. OBEY specifically about how he is going to proceed and let the gentleman know.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman, and I think in order to wrap up probably the shortest colloquy yet, I yield back the balance of my time.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, MAY 3, 2010

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 10 a.m. on Monday next, and further, when the House adjourns on that day, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 4, 2010, for morning-hour debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. KOSMAS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

COMMUNICATION FROM CHAIR OF COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Chair of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure; which was read and, without objection, referred to the Committee on Appropriations:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE,

Washington, DC, April 29, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker of the House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Today, on April 29, 2010, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure met in open session to consider four resolutions for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, in accordance with 33 U.S.C. § 542. The resolutions authorize Corps surveys (or studies) of water resources needs and possible solutions. The Committee adopted the resolutions by voice vote with a quorum present.

Enclosed are copies of the resolutions adopted by the Committee.

Sincerely,

JAMES L. OBERSTAR.

Enclosures.

RESOLUTION—DOCKET 2822—COASTAL CONNECTICUT STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, MILFORD, CONNECTICUT

Resolved by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the United States House of Representatives, That the Secretary of the Army review the report of the Chief of Engineers on Land and Water Resources of the New England-New York Region, published as Senate Document No. 14, 85th Congress, 1st Session, and other reports to determine whether any modifications of the recommendations contained therein are advisable at the present time in the interest of flood damage reduction, coastal storm damage reduction, coastal erosion, and other related purposes in the vicinity of the estuaries and shoreline from the Housatonic River to the Oyster River of Milford, Connecticut.

RESOLUTION—DOCKET 2823—HOUSATONIC RIVER WATERSHED, MASSACHUSETTS AND CONNECTICUT

Resolved by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the United

States House of Representatives, That the Secretary of the Army review the report of the Chief of Engineers on Land and Water Resources of the New England-New York Region, published as Senate Document No. 14, 85th Congress, 1st Session, and other reports to determine whether any modifications of the recommendations contained therein are advisable at the present time in the interest of flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, and other related purposes in the vicinity of the Housatonic River, Connecticut.

RESOLUTION—DOCKET 2824—FAIRFIELD AND NEW HAVEN COUNTIES, CONNECTICUT

Resolved by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the United States House of Representatives, That the Secretary of the Army review the report of the Chief of Engineers on Land and Water Resources of the New England-New York Region, published as Senate Document No. 14, 85th Congress, 1st Session, and other reports to determine whether any modifications of the recommendations contained therein are advisable at the present time in the interest of flood damage reduction, coastal storm damage reduction, coastal erosion, and other related purposes in the vicinity of the estuaries and shoreline of Fairfield and New Haven Counties, Connecticut.

RESOLUTION—DOCKET 2825—FIVE MILE RIVER, CONNECTICUT

Resolved by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the United States House of Representatives, That the Secretary of the Army review the report of the Chief of Engineers on Land and Water Resources of the New England-New York Region, published as Senate Document No. 14, 85th Congress, 1st Session, and other reports to determine whether any modifications of the recommendations contained therein are advisable at the present time in the interest of flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, and other related purposes in the vicinity of Five Mile River, Connecticut.

There was no objection.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

BRAZILIAN CRITTERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. POE of Texas. I want to bring to the attention of the House a serious problem that we have encountered. It seems as though we have such a problem on our borders that now, in southeast Texas, in a small port city called Port Arthur, three illegal Brazilians have shown up in the last couple of days. They have come into the port of Port Arthur and they were stowaways on this massive ship that was bringing in Brazilian paper pulp. Thirteen thousand tons of this pulp was brought in on this ship, and through inspection by

Federal authorities, they found three stowaways—three illegals from the nation of Brazil.

Now, you probably assume that I'm talking about people, but I am not. Here is one of those stowaways, one that they actually captured and gave an identification number. You see, the three stowaways turned out to be three grasshoppers. Little bitty critters. Yet our United States Agriculture Department was able to investigate and find these three little illegal stowaways in this massive amount of paper pulp from Brazil.

So they took the pulp, and it's sitting on the dock. It's going to be sprayed down for any disease. They even gave one of these grasshoppers an official government ID number. Here it is down here: 234735719. Of course, the grasshopper was found in Jefferson County, Texas. The other two, apparently, didn't look quite as bad as this one. They thought this one might be carrying some type of disease and it has, lo and behold, been brought to Washington, D.C., to be examined further by Federal authorities to see if it was carrying any type of disease or contamination from the nation of Brazil.

Madam Speaker, I bring this to the House's attention for this reason: our United States Department of Agriculture is so good and so intense and so competent that they are able to keep out of the United States illegal grasshoppers about three inches long. They're able to find them on this massive ship in the port of Port Arthur, Texas, carrying 13,000 tons of paper pulp. They're able to capture these grasshoppers, send one to Washington, D.C., to be examined to see if it's carrying disease. I commend the Department of Agriculture for their work and tenacious activity in making sure illegal Brazilians—that are grasshoppers—don't enter the United States without being caught.

Now it seems to me that if we are so advanced with technology and manpower and competence that we can capture illegal grasshoppers from Brazil in the holds of ships that are in a little small place in Port Arthur, Texas, on the Sabine River—the Sabine River, Madam Speaker, is the river that separates Texas from Louisiana—if we're able to do that as a country, how come we cannot capture the thousands of people that cross the border every day on the border of the United States? They're a little bigger than grasshoppers, and they should be able to be captured easier.

Well, maybe it's because the country doesn't have the moral will, the government doesn't have the moral will, to protect the borders from people coming in. But we sure have the moral will as a Nation to keep these grasshopper critters from coming into the United States from Brazil. Maybe we