AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

107TH CONGRESS, 1st Session | HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES | REPORT 107–207

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2002

SEPTEMBER 20, 2001.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. HOBSON, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2904]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignments and closures for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002.

CONTENTS

	Page
Purpose of the Bill	2
Conformance With Authorization Bill	2
Summary of Committee Recommendation	3
Items of Special Interest	6
Military Housing	6
Historic Properties	7
Overseas Military Construction	7
Building to Private Sector Standards	8
Contingency Funding	9
Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization	9
Fiscal Year 2002 Barracks Request	9
Child Development Centers	11
Hospital and Medical Facilities	12
Military Construction:	
Army	13
Navy	13
Air Force	14

75–251

Defense-wide 14
Army National Guard 15
Air National Guard 16
Army Reserve 16
Naval Reserve 17
Air Force Reserve 17
NATO Security Investment Program 17
Family Housing Overview 18
Family Housing:
Army 20
Navy 21
Air Force 22
Defense-wide 22
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund 23
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense 23
Base Realignment and Closure 24
General Provisions 25
Changes in Application of Existing Law 27
Definition of Program, Project and Activity 28
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law 28
Transfer of Funds 29
Rescission of Funds 29
Constitutional Authority 29
Comparisons With Budget Resolution 29
Five-Year Projection of Outlays 30
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments 30
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives 30
Full Committee Votes 30
State List 30
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority 4, 54

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction Appropriation Bill provides funding for planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for active and reserve forces. Additionally, the bill appropriates amounts for construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, and for payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. The bill provides funds for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP). Finally, the bill provides funds to implement base realignments and closures (BRAC) and impact assistance in communities where BRACs cause property values to decrease.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

On August 1, 2001, the House Armed Services Committee marked up H.R. 2586, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2002. At this time, conference action on the legislation has not occurred; therefore, projects in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $10,500,000,000 in new budget (obligational) authority for the Department of Defense, Military Construction Appropriation Bill. This recommendation is $528,688,000 above the President's request and $1,563,502,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The following table summarizes the amounts recommended in the bill compared to amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2001.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
SUMMARY OF APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNTS					
Military Construction, Army	943,328	1,760,541	1,702,934	+759,606	-57,607
Military Construction, Navy	929,411	1,071,408	1,134,660	+205,249	+63,252
Military Construction, Air Force	885,333	1,068,250	1,185,220	+299,887	+116,970
Military Construction, Defense-wide	798,463	694,558	852,808	+54,345	+158,250
Total, Active components	3,556,535	4,594,757	4,875,622	+1,319,087	+280,865
Military Construction, Army National Guard	285,587	267,389	313,348	+27,761	+45,959
Military Construction, Air National Guard	210,081	149,072	198,803	-11,278	+49,731
Military Construction, Army Reserve	108,499	111,404	167,769	+59,270	+56,365
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	61,931	33,641	61,426	-505	+27,785
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	36,510	53,732	81,882	+45,372	+28,150
Total, Reserve components	702,608	615,238	823,228	+120,620	+207,990
Total, Military Construction	4,259,143	5,209,995	5,698,850	+1,439,707	+488,855
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	171,622	162,600	162,600	-9,022	.....
Family Housing, Army:					
Construction	235,437	291,542	294,042	+58,605	+2,500
Operation and Maintenance	976,135	1,108,991	1,096,431	+120,296	-12,560

Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
Construction	417,235	304,400	334,780	-82,455	+30,380
Operation and Maintenance	899,925	918,095	910,095	+10,170	-8,000
Family Housing, Air Force:					
Construction	251,413	518,237	536,237	+284,824	+18,000
Operation and Maintenance	832,686	869,121	858,121	+25,435	-11,000
Family Housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction	.....	250	250	+250	.....
Operation and Maintenance	44,787	43,762	43,762	-1,025	.....
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	.....	2,000	2,000	+2,000	.....
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	.....	10,119	10,119	+10,119	.....
Total, Family Housing	3,657,618	4,066,517	4,085,837	+428,219	+19,320
Base Realignment and Closure Account	1,031,115	532,200	552,713	-478,402	+20,513
General Provisions	-183,000	.....	.....	+183,000	.....
Grand Total	8,936,498	9,971,312	10,500,000	+1,563,502	+528,688

TERRORIST ATTACKS OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2001

The Committee fully supports the President, Departments, and agencies in all their efforts to recover from and respond to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, on the United States. The Committee is committed to working with the Defense Department to ensure necessary resources are available for reconstructing the Pentagon and improving the anti-terrorism and force protection measures of defense facilities at home and abroad.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

For the most part, the President's budget request is a great improvement over past requests. The request increases the military construction budget by $1,034,814,000, or 11 percent, above the fiscal year 2001 enacted level of $8,936,498,000; decreases the current facility replacement rate of 192 years to 101 years, and maintains the goals of improving barracks and base housing by 2008 and 2010 respectively.

However, military installations face other challenges not addressed by the request. For example, according to the Installations Readiness Report (IRR), 69% of Department of Defense (DoD) facilities continue to be rated C–3 (deficiencies that prevent performing some missions) or C–4 (major facility deficiencies that preclude accomplishing the mission satisfactorily). Likewise, while the new replacement rate is better under this budget, it falls short of the Department's goal of 67 years, and even further than commercially-acceptable rates. Finally, the budget fails to implement a consistent, comprehensive strategy for maintaining and recapitalizing facilities so that mission performance and quality of life for troops and their families are not compromised. To ameliorate these problems, DoD should consider the pros and cons of implementing an aggressive asset management program that provides for effective and routine maintenance, as well as for adequate recapitalization of facilities, and determine whether it could be effectively implemented on military installations.

MILITARY HOUSING

The Committee is pleased with the Administration's commitment to execute the Military Housing Privatization Initiative. However, once again, this budget fails to address several issues associated with the initiative. First, budget scoring of the alternative authorities is inconsistent and is not always based on sound financial principles. DoD is directed to work with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to compare the current scoring methodology with similar federal housing programs, and to report the findings to the appropriate Congressional committees by March 15, 2002. This exercise should be helpful to OMB when it reexamines the scoring matrix for this program.

Second, because most privatization contracts are fifty years in length, the Committee believes that monitoring and enforcing contractual obligations will be paramount to program success. Each service should begin to develop capacity in this area. Similarly, because the initiative involves complex and complicated real estate transactions dissimilar to the traditional military housing program, each service should develop expertise in this area.

Finally, in the fiscal year 2001 appropriation bill, the Committee directed each service to submit a Family Housing Master Plan no later than July 1, 2001. Currently, only the Army's plan has been received by the Committee. The information contained in these plans is vital because it enables the Committee to understand how each service intends to meet the goal of eliminating all inadequate housing by fiscal year 2010. Therefore, the Committee urges the Navy and Air Force to submit their plans on time in the future.

HISTORIC PROPERTIES

As noted in the past, the Committee is concerned with the inordinate expenditures associated with improving and maintaining historically significant properties. The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 requires the Department to manage those units listed on the National Historic Register, as well as any units that meet the criteria of being potentially eligible for listing, in a way that preserves their historic significance and integrity. In the future, these costs will grow as the number of properties eligible for listing on the Register grows. In the next five years alone, the Department will have approximately 38,000 structures that reach 50 years of age.

To reduce the costs associated with maintaining historic properties, the Department should pursue innovative funding sources and operating methods. Several examples were discussed during the Historic Properties hearing on March 15, 2001, such as expanded gift acceptance authority, leasing to third parties like the Park Service, and demolition where appropriate.

During the hearing, the General Accounting Office (GAO) reported that inventory control systems available to the services are inaccurate. The Committee recommends the services work with the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment) to develop better inventory controls and a plan that addresses the increasing number of aging structures. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Department to conduct a seminar on working with State Historic Preservation Offices. Finally, the Committee directs the Department to pursue a cooperative agreement with the National Trust for Historic Preservation for Cold War era housing, such as Capehart, Wherry and Lustron homes, that is similar to the 1986 programmatic memorandum of agreement on World War II wooden buildings.

OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

This year, the Committee held several hearings about the condition of overseas military installations and facilities. The Commanders-in-Chief of the European, Pacific, and Korean Commands provided testimony. General Joseph Ralston, Admiral Dennis Blair, and General Thomas Schwartz testified their top two spending priorities were military construction and real property maintenance, and the Committee commends them for their leadership.

Despite being unable to include a substantial increase in overseas construction projects, the Committee would like to highlight several items of interest. First, the European Command priorities of better housing and vehicle maintenance facilities are duly warranted. Further, the Committee commends General Ralston and his

staff for their efforts to close and consolidate bases scattered throughout Europe and to find alternative funding sources. The Committee believes the Command would benefit from a consolidated European master plan, and encourages DoD and General Ralston to consider the feasibility of developing a master plan and report the findings to the Committee.

Admiral Blair testified that as the Pacific Command becomes more strategically important, its installations would require substantial upgrades, particularly in the area of technology. In addition, he emphasized the need to improve the living conditions throughout the Command, particularly in Korea, in order to retain highly trained troops and staff.

General Schwartz concurred with Admiral Blair, testifying that some of the worst housing and working conditions in the Pacific Command are in Korea. To ameliorate the conditions, General Schwartz has developed a Land Partnership Plan that consolidates many existing camps and relocates troops to more suitable areas. The Committee commends him for his efforts and will review the plan when the Quadrenniel Defense Review (QDR), which will discuss force structure requirements in Korea, is submitted to Congress.

Finally, the Committee notes that the Korean Special Measures Agreement (SMA) will be re-negotiated in the near future. DoD is encouraged to aggressively pursue additional host nation funding consistent with the overall congressional goal of seventy-five percent contained in the 1998 Defense Authorization bill.

ENERGY EFFICIENCIES

The Committee encourages energy efficiency and the maximum use of energy produced from noncarbon and renewable sources at military installations. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense is mandated to comply with Executive Order 13123 ("Greening the Government Through Efficient Energy Management") to meet energy management requirements, reduce greenhouse gases, and expand the use of renewable sources.

To assist DOD in complying with the Executive Order, and to build upon the effort to expand the use of noncarbon and renewable sources, the Committee directs that not later than January 1, 2003, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a plan to achieve at military installations the goals specified in sections 201, 202, and 203 of Executive Order 13123 (64 Fed. Reg. 30851; 42 U.S.C. 8251 note), relating to greenhouse gases reduction goals and energy efficiency improvement goals, by maximizing the use of (1) energy efficiency products and services and (2) energy produced from noncarbon and renewable sources.

BUILDING TO PRIVATE SECTOR STANDARDS

Section 2803 of the National Defense Authorization Act, 2001 (Public Law 106–398) repealed the military family housing square footage limitations included in Section 2826 of title 10, United States Code. These limitations were in place for many years and were inconsistent with housing constructed in the private sector.

Waiving these limitations should create incentives for the military departments to replace large, inefficient housing units with

more energy efficient and appropriately sized homes to meet the needs of military families. For instance, some General and Flag Officer Quarters (GFOQs) exceed 5,000 square feet because they were built for other purposes many decades ago. Because many of these homes are approaching 50 years of age, the minimum age for inclusion on the National Historic Preservation list, they would require special handling for maintenance and repair requirements. As a result, the costs to maintain and improve these homes generally exceed the costs for new homes built in the private sector.

The Committee directs the Department to replace larger, inefficient housing units with housing built to private sector standards wherever feasible. Building to local private sector standards could save the Department the added cost and burden of maintaining historic homes. Furthermore, when executing projects included in the fiscal year 2002 appropriation, the Committee expects the Department to construct housing to community standards rather than to the standards previously included in Section 2826 of title 10, United States Code.

CONTINGENCY FUNDING

Most construction projects include a five percent reserve account to offset any unexpected project costs, called contingency costs. Last year, the Department did not include contingency costs in their budget request in an attempt to reduce the overall budget request. This year, however, the Department has requested funds sufficient to cover these costs for every project, and the Committee commends them for taking this action.

SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION

The Department is directed to continue describing on form 1390, the backlog of Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization needs at installations with future construction projects. For troop housing requests, the form 1391 should describe any Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization conducted in the past two years, and future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation. Additionally, the forms should include English equivalent measurements for projects presented in metric measurement. Finally, the rules for funding repairs of facilities under the Operation and Maintenance account are described below:

- Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.
- Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.
- Such projects may be done concurrently with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.
- The appropriate service secretary shall notify the appropriate Committees 21 days prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of $7,500,000.

FISCAL YEAR 2002 BARRACKS REQUEST

The Committee recommends appropriating $1,200,525,000 to construct or modernize 15,466 barracks spaces in fiscal year 2002.

This recommendation is $35,090,000 above the request, and $391,325,000 above the amount enacted in fiscal year 2001. This recommendation also constructs or renovates an additional 1,854 barracks spaces, and maintains the departmental goal of eliminating all inadequate barracks by 2008.

The following troop housing construction projects are recommended for fiscal year 2002:

FISCAL YEAR 2002 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Alaska-Fort Richardson	$45,000,000	$45,000,000
California-Defense Language Institute	0	5,900,000
Colorado-Fort Carson	25,000,000	25,000,000
Hawaii-Schofield Barracks	23,000,000	23,000,000
Hawaii-Wheeler Army Airfield	50,000,000	50,000,000
Kentucky-Fort Campbell	47,000,000	47,000,000
New Jersey-Fort Monmouth	20,000,000	20,000,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg	49,000,000	49,000,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg	27,000,000	27,000,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg	17,500,000	17,500,000
Texas-Fort Hood	41,000,000	41,000,000
Washington-Fort Lewis	48,000,000	48,000,000
Germany-Bamberg	20,000,000	20,000,000
Germany-Darmstadt	6,700,000	6,700,000
Germany-Darmstadt	6,800,000	6,800,000
Germany-Hanau	7,200,000	7,200,000
Germany-Heidelberg	6,800,000	6,800,000
Germany-Heidelberg	8,500,000	8,500,000
Korea-Camp Hovey	33,000,000	33,000,000
Korea-Camp Humphreys	14,500,000	14,500,000
Korea-Camp Stanley	28,000,000	28,000,000
Korea-Yongsan Garrison	0	12,800,000
Subtotal, Army	524,000,000	542,700,000
Navy/Marine Corps:		
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base	21,200,000	21,200,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base	21,600,000	21,600,000
California-El Centro Naval Air Facility	23,520,000	23,520,000
California-Lemoore Naval Air Station	10,010,000	10,010,000
California-San Diego Naval Station	47,240,000	47,240,000
California-Twentynine Palms	29,675,000	29,675,000
District of Columbia-Anacostia	9,810,000	9,810,000
Florida-Mayport Naval Station	16,420,000	16,420,000
Hawaii-Kaneohe Bay Marine Corps Base	24,920,000	24,920,000
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Complex	17,300,000	17,300,000
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Complex	23,300,000	23,300,000
Illinois-Great Lakes Naval Training Center	41,130,000	41,130,000
Illinois-Great Lakes Naval Training Center	41,130,000	41,130,000
Maine-Brunswick Naval Air Station	22,630,000	22,630,000
Mississippi-Gulfport Naval Construction Battalion Center	14,300,000	14,300,000
Missouri-Kansas City Marine Corps Support Activity	9,010,000	9,010,000
North Carolina-Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base	16,530,000	16,530,000
North Carolina-Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base	13,550,000	13,550,000
South Carolina-Beaufort Naval Hospital	0	7,330,000
Virginia-Norfolk Naval Station	14,730,000	14,730,000
Virginia-Quantico Marine Corps Combat Development Command	9,390,000	9,390,000
Greece-Larissa Naval Support Activity	12,240,000	12,240,000
Guam-Guam Naval Support Activity	9,300,000	9,300,000
Subtotal, Navy	448,935,000	456,265,000
Air Force:		
Alabama-Maxwell AFB	11,800,000	11,800,000

FISCAL YEAR 2002 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Alabama-Maxwell AFB	13,600,000	13,600,000
Alaska-Elmendorf AFB	20,000,000	20,000,000
Arizona-Davis-Monthan AFB	8,700,000	8,700,000
Colorado-Buckley AFB	11,200,000	11,200,000
Oklahoma-Tinker AFB	10,200,000	10,200,000
Texas-Lackland AFB	8,600,000	8,600,000
Texas-Sheppard AFB	16,000,000	16,000,000
Texas-Sheppard AFB	21,000,000	21,000,000
Virginia-Langley AFB	8,300,000	8,300,000
Germany-Ramstein AB	11,000,000	11,000,000
Italy-Aviano AB	8,200,000	8,200,000
Korea-Osan AB	14,400,000	14,400,000
Korea-Osan AB	15,800,000	15,800,000
Korea-Osan AB	9,700,000	9,700,000
Turkey-Eskisehir	4,000,000	4,000,000
Subtotal, Air Force	192,500,000	192,500,000
Navy Reserve:		
Texas-Fort Worth Joint Reserve Base	0	9,060,000
Subtotal, Navy Reserve	0	9,060,000
Total	1,165,435,000	1,200,525,000

Child Development Centers

The Committee recommends $42,660,000 for child development centers. This is $17,200,000 above the budget request, and $466,000 below last year's enacted level.

Child Development Centers (CDCs) remain critically important for military families, especially single parents, dual-income families, and spouses left behind during deployments, and the Committee commends the individual services on the quality of care CDCs provide. However, the Committee remains concerned with the limited number of spaces and hours available at these centers, and encourages the service components to expand service and increase hours where demand warrants it, and to provide acceptable alternatives where it does not.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 2002:

FISCAL YEAR 2002 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Kansas-Fort Riley	$6,800,000	$6,800,000
Maryland-Fort Meade	5,800,000	5,800,000
Germany-Bamberg	0	6,500,000
Germany-Weisbaden	6,800,000	6,800,000
Subtotal, Army	19,400,000	25,900,000
Navy/Marine Corps:		
South Carolina-Beaufort Marine Corps Air Station	6,060,000	6,060,000
Subtotal, Navy	6,060,000	6,060,000
Air Force:		
Arizona-Davis-Monthan AFB	0	6,200,000

FISCAL YEAR 2002 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Arizona-Luke AFB	0	4,500,000
Subtotal, Air Force	0	10,700,000
Total	25,460,000	42,660,000

HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends appropriating $198,826,000 for hospitals and medical facilities. The request includes $193,300,000 for 22 projects, and $5,526,000 for unspecified minor construction. The recommended appropriation is $57,589,000 above last year's enacted level.

The Committee recognizes and commends the Army's application of population-based planning and industry-based facility assessments in their development of medical and other facility infrastructure repair and recapitalization requirements. The Committee is interested in how DoD interprets and further develops requirements into rational, life-cycle based investments to support the Defense Health Program. Using the GAO findings in Report NS/IAD–99–100 that outline the needs of DoD infrastructure, the Department is directed to provide to the appropriate Committees a strategy for medical facility and installation asset management and funding by May 15, 2002.

The following hospital and medical facilities are recommended for fiscal year 2002:

Location	Project Title	Request	Recommended
Alaska-Fort Wainwright	Hospital Replacement (Phase III)	$18,500,000	$18,500,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Fleet Hospital Support Facilities	3,150,000	3,150,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic (Horno)	4,300,000	4,300,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic (Las Flores)	3,800,000	3,800,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic (Las Pulgas)	4,050,000	4,050,000
California-Twentynine Palms	Hospital LDRP Conversion	1,600,000	1,600,000
Colorado-Schriever AFB	Medical/Dental Clinic	4,000,000	4,000,000
Florida-Hurlburt Field	Add/Alter Medical/Dental Clinic	8,800,000	8,800,000
Florida-Mayport Naval Station	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic	24,000,000	24,000,000
Georgia-Albany Marine Corps Logistics Base.	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic	5,800,000	5,800,000
Georgia-Fort Stewart	Consolidated Troop Medical Clinic	11,000,000	11,000,000
Maryland-Andrews AFB	Add/Alter Medical/Dental Clinic	7,300,000	7,300,000
Maryland-Andrews AFB	Branch Medical/Dental Clinic Relocation	2,950,000	2,950,000
New Mexico-Holloman AFB	Medical Clinic Alteration	5,700,000	5,700,000
Texas-Dyess AFB	Medical Treatment Facility Alteration	3,300,000	3,300,000
Texas-Fort Hood	Add/Alter Hospital	12,200,000	12,200,000
Virginia-Norfolk	Add/Alter Branch Medical Clinic	21,000,000	21,000,000
Washington-Whidbey Island Naval Air Station.	Water Survival Facility	6,600,000	6,600,000
Wyoming-F. E. Warren AFB	Medical Clinic Alteration	2,700,000	2,700,000
Germany-Heidelberg	Hospital Addition/Clinic Alteration	28,000,000	28,000,000
Greenland-Thule AB	Composite Medical Facility Replacement	10,800,000	10,800,000
Portugal-Lajes Field	Dental Clinic Replacement	3,750,000	3,750,000
Various	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,526,000	5,526,000
Total		198,826,000	198,826,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$907,243,000
Miscellaneous Appropriation (P.L. 106–554)	26,941,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	9,144,000
Total	943,328,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	1,760,541,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,702,934,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+759,606,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	−57,607,000

The Committee recommends a total of $1,702,934,000 for Military Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2002. This is a decrease of $57,607,000 below the budget request and an increase of $759,606,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

The budget request proposes, as it has for several years, that chemical demilitarization projects be appropriated in this account. However, the Committee recommends that the request of $172,500,000 be appropriated in the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account, in order to better track expenses, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army's military construction program.

Pennsylvania-Tobyhanna Army Depot: Training and Conference Center.—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design within this account, the Committee directs that not less than $225,000 be made available to design this facility.

Worldwide Classified-Classified Location: Classified Project.—The Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000 (Public Law 106–52) appropriated $36,400,0000 for a classified project at a classified location. This project has been cancelled. As a result, the Committee rescinds $36,400,000 from the "Military Construction, Army" account.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$926,224,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	3,187,000
Total	929,411,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	1,071,408,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,134,660,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+205,249,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+63,252,000

The Committee recommends a total of $1,134,660,000 for Military Construction, Navy, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $63,252,000 above the budget request and an increase of $205,249,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The Committee rescinds $19,588,000 of unobligated planning and design funds from this account. These funds will be used to offset unfunded Navy BRAC requirements.

California-Monterey Naval Postgraduate School: Replacement of Spanagel Hall.—The Committee is aware that a new academic facility is needed at the Naval Postgraduate School. Spanagel Hall is almost 50 years old and is costly to maintain. Furthermore, the facility suffers from substandard classrooms and laboratories incapable of supporting today's advanced technologies. A new facility is critical to the continued success of graduate education for the Navy. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to make this

project a priority and program the requirement within the Future Years Defense Plan.

Mississippi-Meridian NAS: Airfield Lighting.—The Committee is aware that the existing airfield electrical distribution system at the Meridian Naval Air Station (NAS) is 40 years old and impedes flight operations. In addition, the current lighting system in place at Meridian NAS does not meet Naval Air Systems Command criteria. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

Washington-Keyport Naval Undersea Warfare Center.—The Committee understands that a Center for Integrated Undersea Warfare (USW) Systems Dependability is needed at the Keyport Naval Undersea Warfare Center to integrate all range communications and worldwide communication links for the Northwest Range Complex and ensure access to the Complex for all fleet and Navy customers. Consequently, the Committee encourages the Navy to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Program.

Japan-Camp Schwab: 3rd Marine Expeditionary Force Training Facility.—With the additional funds provided for Unspecified Minor Construction, the Committee directs the Navy to execute a project in the amount of $1,490,000 to provide this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$868,294,000
Miscellaneous Appropriation (P.L. 106–554)	11,974,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	5,065,000
Total	885,333,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	1,068,250,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,185,220,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+299,887,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+116,970,000

The Committee recommends a total of $1,185,220,000 for Military Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $116,970,000 above the budget request and an increase of $299,887,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Delaware-Dover Air Force Base.—The Committee recognizes that an Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) compliant fire station and a modern control tower at Dover Air Force Base are vital to the mission capability of our armed services. Funding for these projects will increase safety, efficiency, and effectiveness of Dover Air Force Base. The Committee considers these projects as top priorities, and encourages the Air Force to include this project in the Future Years Defense Plan.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$812,839,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	−14,376,000
Total	798,463,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	694,558,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	852,808,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+54,345,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+158,250,000

The Committee recommends a total of $852,808,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $158,250,000 above the budget request and an increase of $54,345,000 above the fiscal year 2001 level.

Aruba-Forward Operating Location.—Division B, Title III, Chapter 3 of the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106–246) appropriated a total of $10,250,000 to construct a Forward Operating Location in Aruba. This requirement is no longer needed. Therefore, the Committee rescinds $10,250,000 from the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account.

Chemical Demilitarization.—The 1986 National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 99–145) authorized the Chemical Demilitarization program for the purpose of destroying all U.S. stockpiled chemical agents and weapons by April 29, 2007. In 1991, Congress expanded the law to include the destruction of chemical warfare material not part of the stockpile, such as buried munitions and former weapons production facilities. The Department of the Army is the agent responsible for program management and oversight. As requested by the President, the Committee recommends appropriating $172,500,000 for chemical demilitarization projects, which is $2,900,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2001. The following chart displays the fiscal year 2002 funding increments:

State	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Arkansas	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase VI).	$26,000,000	$26,000,000
Colorado	Pueblo Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase III).	11,000,000	11,000,000
Indiana	Newport Army Ammunition Plant	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase IV).	66,000,000	66,000,000
Kentucky	Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase II).	3,000,000	3,000,000
Maryland	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase IV).	66,500,000	66,500,000
Total			172,500,000	172,500,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$281,097,000
Miscellaneous Appropriation (P.L. 106–554)	4,490,000
Total	285,587,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	267,389,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	313,348,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+27,761,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+45,959,000

The Committee recommends a total of $313,348,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $45,959,000 above the budget request and an increase of $27,761,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Arkansas-Warren: Readiness Center.—The Committee is aware that a new armory is needed to accommodate various classrooms, offices and utility-related rooms for the 3rd Battalion 153 Infantry in Warren, Arkansas. The Committee encourages the Army National Guard to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

Michigan-Shiawassee County: Readiness Center.—The Committee understands that a readiness center in Shiawassee County, Michigan would alleviate the problems currently associated with soldiers assigned to an overcrowded, substandard, and aged facility in nearby Owosso. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Army National Guard to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$203,381,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	6,700,000
Total	210,081,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	149,072,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	198,803,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	−11,278,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+49,731,000

The Committee recommends a total of $198,803,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $49,731,000 above the budget request and a decrease of $11,278,000 below the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Montana-Malmstrom AFB: Training and Mobility Storage Facility.—The Committee understands that a training and mobility storage facility is needed for the Montana Air National Guard's 219th Red Horse team for storage of mobility equipment, cargo preparation and processing, equipment maintenance, and an indoor team training area. Consequently, the Committee encourages the Air National Guard to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

South Carolina-McEntire Air National Guard Station: Joint Headquarters.—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,331,000 be made available to design this facility.

Delaware-New Castle County Airport.—The Committee recognizes that an upgraded parking apron/taxiway for the Air National Guard at New Castle County Airport is vital to the mission capability of our armed services. Funding for this project will increase safety, efficiency, and effectiveness of the Air National Guard at New Castle County Airport. The Committee considers this project a top priority, and encourages the Air National Guard to include the project in the Future Years Defense Plan.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$108,499,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	111,404,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	167,769,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+59,270,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+56,365,000

The Committee recommends a total of $167,769,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $56,365,000 above the budget request and an increase of $59,270,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Pennsylvania-Johnstown: Security Improvements.—With the additional funds provided for Unspecified Minor Construction, the

Committee directs the Army Reserve to execute a project in the amount of $500,000 to improve security at this location.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVAL RESERVE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$61,931,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	33,641,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	61,426,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	−505,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+27,785,000

The Committee recommends a total of $61,426,000 for Military Construction, Naval Reserve, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $27,785,000 above the budget request and a decrease of $505,000 below the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The Committee rescinds $925,000 of unobligated planning and design funds from this account. These funds will be used to offset unfunded Navy BRAC requirements.

Louisiana-New Orleans Joint Reserve Base: Joint Reserve Center and Runway Extension.—The Committee recommends $10,000,000 for the second phase of the Joint Reserve Center at the New Orleans Joint Reserve Base (JRB). This joint use center will include the Army Reserve and other reserve components. As stated in the House Report accompanying the fiscal year 2001 Military Construction Appropriations Bill (Report 106–614), this multi-service center can dramatically increase deployment, mobilization, and training capabilities. In addition to this project, the Department of the Navy is encouraged to complete design of the 4,000-foot runway extension project at the New Orleans JRB and make the project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$36,510,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	53,732,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	81,882,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+45,372,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+28,150,000

The Committee recommends a total of $81,882,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $28,150,000 above the budget request and an increase of $45,372,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

C–17 Facilities.—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design within this account, the Committee directs that no less than $3,000,000 be made available for planning and site assessments of March AFB and Wright-Patterson AFB in order to provide long-term support for C–17 aircraft within Air Force Reserve Command operations.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$171,622,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	162,600,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	162,600,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	−9,022,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

The NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP) is paid for with annual contributions by NATO member countries. The program finances the costs of construction needed to support the roles of the major NATO commands. The investments cover facilities such as airfields, fuel pipelines and storage, harbors, communications and information systems, radar and navigational aids, and military headquarters. The U.S. share of the NSIP for fiscal year 2002 is $199,000,000, or roughly 25% of the total NSIP program amount of $802,000,000.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends $162,600,000 for the NSIP, which is a decrease of $9,022,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2001. To offset the total U.S. share of the program, $11,000,000 from projected savings from recoupments of pre-financed projects, and $25,000,000 from prior year obligations, are available for expenditure.

In each year since 1997, the U.S. has been forced to temporarily block authorization of projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority. The Committee is concerned that the U.S. has been placed in this position, and has been assured by DoD that the total U.S. program share of $199,000,000 is sufficient to preclude similar action in the future. Nevertheless, the Committee intends to monitor the program, and directs DoD to notify the Committee within 30 days after taking such action.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

Historically, housing for military personnel and their families has been a low priority for DoD. Consequently, the inventory is old and in most cases is substandard. Currently, DoD estimates that 180,000 of the 300,000 military family housing units it owns and operates are substandard, and that it would cost more than $16 billion to improve or replace them.

In testimony before this subcommittee on March 8, 2001, senior enlisted service members stated that housing was one of the most important factors soldiers, sailors, airmen, and Marines consider when deciding whether to remain on active duty or to leave the service. Military spouses who testified before the subcommittee echoed these statements.

To ameliorate the costs associated with providing decent housing, Congress authorized the Military Housing Privatization Initiative. The initiative's intent is to create more housing quickly, to attract private capital, and to make the private sector responsible for providing routine maintenance for the term of the contract. Whether the initiative has been successful is still unclear.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends funding of $1,165,309,000 for family housing construction for fiscal year 2002, an increase of $50,880,000 above the budget request, and $261,224,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The following chart provides a service component breakout of the current family housing deficit, both in units and in cost of new construction, replacement, and improvements:

DEFICITS (CURRENT PROJECTIONS)

[Dollars in thousands]

	New construction	Replacement	Improvement	Grand total
Army:				
Number of Units	1,368	3,724	26,769	31,861
Costs	$410,400	$604,404	$2,003,240	$3,018,044
Navy:				
Number of Units	15,600	5,569	18,801	39,970
Costs	2,294,300	1,162,000	1,737,200	5,193,500
Marine Corps:				
Number of Units	9,449	8,501	7,805	25,755
Costs	1,457,200	1,696,900	454,500	3,608,600
Air Force:				
Number of Units	6,000	26,300	32,900	65,200
Costs	780,845	3,421,860	2,959,110	7,161,815
Total DOD:				
Number of Units	32,417	44,094	86,275	162,786
Costs	4,942,745	6,885,164	7,154,050	18,981,959

The fiscal year 2002 request for operation and maintenance totals $2,939,969,000. The operations and maintenance accounts provide for annual family housing expenditures for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance, and miscellaneous expenses. The Committee recommends a total of $2,908,409,000 for fiscal year 2002 which is $31,560,000 below the President's request and $154,876,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Utility Estimates

The Committee includes a total reduction of $30,000,000 to the following appropriations because current utility rates are more favorable than the Department predicted when it submitted its fiscal year 2002 budget request:

Account	*Amount*
Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Army	−$11,000,000
Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	−8,000,000
Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Air Force	−11,000,000
Total	−$30,000,000

Foreign Currency Savings

Once again, the Committee directs that savings from foreign currency re-estimates be used to maintain existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on how these savings are allocated by December 1, 2002. Likewise, only 10% of funds made available to the construction account and operation and maintenance accounts may be transferred between the accounts. Such transfers must be reported to the Committee within thirty days of such action.

Leasing Reporting Requirement

The Committee continues the reporting requirement for both domestic and foreign leases. For domestic leases (not funded by the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund), the Department is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter which ex-

ceed $12,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; report the details of new or renewal lease that exceeds $20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation) 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or $2,000,000, whichever is less) also apply to new housing construction projects and improvement projects over $2,000,000.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$235,437,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	291,542,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	294,042,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+58,605,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+2,500,000

The Committee recommends a total of $294,042,000 for Family Housing Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is an increase of $2,500,000 above the budget request, and an increase of $58,605,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The appropriation includes $61,700,000 to construct new family housing units, $220,750,000 to improve existing units, and $11,592,000 for planning and design.

California-Presidio of Monterey: Residential Communities Initiative.—The military family housing at the Presidio of Monterey is scheduled for privatization under the Residential Communities Initiative (RCI) program in fiscal year 2002. The scope of the planned project is 1,675 housing units. The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) included a provision, which expanded the types of entities eligible to participate in the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI) to include a State or local government, or a housing authority of a State or local government. Therefore, the Committee expects that governmental entities, specifically local housing authorities, shall have standing to submit proposals and compete fairly for the privatization of military family housing at the Presidio of Monterey in California.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$949,655,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	26,480,000
Total	976,135,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	1,108,991,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,096,431,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	+120,296,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	−12,560,000

The Committee recommends a total of $1,096,431,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Army, for fiscal year 2002.

This appropriation is a decrease of $12,560,000 below the budget request, and an increase of $120,296,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Maintenance and Repair: General Quarters.—The Committee defers $1,560,000 from the maintenance and repair account until further justification is provided for two general officer quarters projects. Specifically, the Committee defers $1,200,000 for the maintenance and repair of Quarters 3 at Fort McNair in Washington, DC; and $360,000 for the maintenance and repair of Quarters 1000 at Camp Zama in Japan.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$417,235,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	304,400,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	334,780,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	−82,455,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+30,380,000

The Committee recommends a total of $334,780,000 for Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of $30,380,000 above the budget request, and a decrease of $82,455,000 below the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The appropriation includes $124,847,000 to construct new family housing units, $203,434,000 to improve existing units, and $6,499,000 for planning and design.

Construction Improvements.—Of the amount provided for construction improvements, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to execute the following projects: $11,840,000 for whole-site revitalization (69 units) at Barking Sands Pacific Missile Range Facility in Hawaii, $6,940,000 for whole house revitalization (124 units) at Westover Air Reserve Base in Massachusetts, and $1,600,000 to renovate Quarters 1 and Quarters 3 at 8th and I Marine Barracks in Washington, DC.

Washington, DC—8th and I Marine Barracks: Historic Residences.—The Committee notes the Secretary of the Navy is authorized to use funds received pursuant to section 2601 of title 10, United States Code, for the construction, improvement, repair, and maintenance of historic residences located at the 8th and I Marine barracks. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to use funds received pursuant to this authority to offset the total cost of all construction improvement projects at 8th and I Marine Barracks included in the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$879,625,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	20,300,000
Total	899,925,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	918,095,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	910,095,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	+10,170,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	−8,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of $910,095,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, for

fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is a decrease of $8,000,000 below the budget request, and an increase of $10,170,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$251,413,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	518,237,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	536,237,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+284,824,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+18,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of $536,237,000 for Family Housing Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is an increase of $18,000,000 above the budget request, and an increase of $284,824,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The appropriation includes $140,800,000 to construct new family housing units, $370,879,000 to improve existing units, and $24,558,000 for planning and design.

Construction Improvements.—Of the amount provided for construction improvements, the Secretary of the Air Force is directed to execute the following project: $18,000,000 for Whole Neighborhood Revitalization (164 units) at Whiteman Air Force Base in Missouri.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$819,061,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	13,625,000
Total	832,686,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	869,121,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	858,121,000
Comparison with:.	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	+25,435,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	−11,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of $858,121,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Air Force, for fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is a decrease of $11,000,000 below the budget request, and an increase of $25,435,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$44,787,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	44,012,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	44,012,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	−775,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends $44,012,000 for Family Housing, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2002. This amount is a decrease of $775,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2001. Of this amount $250,000 is for construction, $43,762,000 is for operations and maintenance.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	0
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	$2,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+2,000,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

The Family Housing Improvement Fund is used to build or renovate family housing by mixing or matching various authorities in the authorization, and by utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The fund, administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations, contains appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts. The total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not exceed $850,000,000. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are deposited into this fund, and any use of the fund is subject to annual appropriations. The authority to utilize the alternative authorities is due to expire on December 31, 2004; however, the Committee supports the House Armed Services Committee proposal to make the authorities permanent.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends $2,000,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 2002, which is $2,000,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The Department is directed to continue providing quarterly status reports on each privatization project.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	0
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	$10,119,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	10,119,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+10,119,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund which provides assistance to homeowners. The fund was established in recognition that base closure and reduction actions cause adverse economic impacts on local communities. Service members may access the fund when military installations are closed or operations are reduced, and the value of their home diminishes accordingly. The fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee appropriates $10,119,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Fund for fiscal year 2002, which is $10,119,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 2001.

Total Fund requirements for fiscal year 2002 are estimated to be $31,615,000. Additional amounts will be derived from transfers from the Base Realignment and Closure account, revenue from sales of acquired property, and prior year unobligated balances.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	$1,022,115,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	9,000,000
Total	1,031,115,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	$532,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	552,713,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	−478,402,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	20,513,000

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988 (Public Law 100–526) and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510) enacted legislation that instituted four base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds between 1988 and 1995 for the purposes of reducing excess military bases and infrastructure. The BRAC rounds closed 97 of 495 major domestic installations, realigned several other facilities, and are estimated to save $15.5 billion through fiscal year 2001. BRAC legislation requires DoD to fund the environmental restoration and caretaker costs for facilities closed in previous BRAC rounds.

The Committee is recommending $552,713,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure Account for fiscal year 2002, which is $478,402,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2001, and $20,513,000 above the President's request. The additional amount is for previously unfunded cleanup requirements at Navy BRAC sites. Of the total amount provided, $544,983,000 is for environmental restoration and caretaker costs of facilities closed under previous rounds of BRAC. Also included in the appropriation is $7,730,000 that will be transferred during execution to the Homeowner's Assistance Program to provide assistance to military personnel and civilian homeowners affected by base closures.

The Congress has appropriated, to date, a net total of $21,141,854,000 for the BRAC program from fiscal years 1990 through 2001. Within this total, the Department has allocated $6,868,497,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by service component, by functions and by base. Recognizing the complexities of providing for environmental restoration of properties, the Committee has provided flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor program execution to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays, and to effect timely execution of environmental cleanup responsibilities.

California-Fort Ord: Affordable Housing.—There are circumstances under which local reuse authorities stand to generate substantial revenues from the conveyance of closed or realigned bases and the facilities on the installation. In those instances, it is appropriate for the authority to work cooperatively with the services, and state and local governments, to ensure that those revenues are directed towards public purposes.

For example, in Monterey County, California, the local base reuse authority stands to generate substantial revenues from the conveyance of Fort Ord by developing new homes on open land as well as retrofitting existing homes on the base, for which the au-

thority paid nothing, and selling this housing at prices that reflect the market rates in the area. Though the plan of the reuse authority is well-intentioned, the area suffers from a serious shortage of housing that is affordable for lower and middle-income families. The median home price in the area is $400,000, which is simply unaffordable to working families making 60 or 80 percent of area median income.

Clearly, it is in the best interests of the local reuse authority and government to find ways to make affordable homes available for hard working families, particularly when the property is being conveyed at no cost to the community. There are many housing programs—private and public—that undertake multi-income projects with little effort and minimal effects on profit margins. The reuse authority in Monterey County is urged to consider including such a program in its overall reuse plan. In addition, the authority is urged to increase the amount of affordable housing at the former Fort Ord.

California-Fort Ord: Hazardous Waste from Building Removal.—The Committee is concerned about the environmental challenges associated with the base closure re-use issues at the former Fort Ord in California and the disposal of lead-based paint (LBP), asbestos, and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) that will be generated during building removal. Accordingly, the Army shall develop, demonstrate, and validate innovative technologies to specifically address the remediation of LBP, asbestos, and PCBs generated from the 12th Street Corridor building removal at Fort Ord. These technologies may include, but are not necessarily limited to, thermochemical conversion processes. A successful technology solution from this effort will also be beneficial for other closed or realigned defense installations facing similar challenges.

The Department of the Army shall work with the Fort Ord Reuse Authority in its efforts to advance the 12th Street Corridor Project and report on the progress of these technology efforts as part of the mandated Environmental Quality Technology Report to Congress until this project is completed, at which time a final report specifically focused on this initiative will be provided to Congress which should make suggestions for further building removal activities.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Administration proposed eliminating several general provisions enacted in P.L. 106–246: sections 111, 113, 119, 121, 122, 124, 125, and 128–139. The Committee recommends retaining every provision except for sections 128–139. Additionally, the Administration proposed a new provision that allowed the transfer of up to $67,000,000 among any accounts in the bill. The Committee did not include this provision.

General Provisions included in the bill are as follows:

Section 101 of the General Provisions limits DoD from spending funds appropriated in this Act for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed $25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

Section 102 of the General Provisions permits the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 of the General Provisions permits funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

Section 104 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States without a specific appropriation.

Section 105 of the General Provisions limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100% of value.

Section 106 of the General Provisions prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

Section 107 of the General Provisions limits the use of minor construction funds to be transferred or relocated from one installation to another.

Section 108 of the General Provisions prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 of the General Provisions limits appropriations from being used to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 of the General Provisions establishes a preference for American architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, and the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 of the General Provisions establishes a preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where construction costs exceed $100,000.

Section 114 of the General Provisions limits obligations to no more than 20% during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 of the General Provisions permits DoD to make available funds appropriated in prior years for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 of the General Provisions permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 of the General Provisions permits obligation of funds from more than one fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

Section 118 of the General Provisions allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account.

Section 119 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to report annually on actions taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the NATO, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of defense costs.

Section 120 of the General Provisions authorizes the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 of the General Provisions prohibits the availability of funds to any entity that violates the Buy American Act.

Section 122 of the General Provisions states the Sense of the Congress notifying recipients of equipment or products authorized to be purchased with financial assistance provided in this Act to purchase American-made equipment and products.

Section 123 of the General Provisions permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 124 of the General Provisions limits the obligation of funds for Partnership for Peace Programs.

Section 125 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to notify congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreement which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions, and extended deployments.

Section 126 of the General Provisions provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Section 127 of the General Provisions requires that appropriations from this Act be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses and limits the repair on these quarters to $25,000 per year.

Section 128 of the General Provisions requires the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force to submit a Family Housing Master Plan to the appropriate committees of Congress by July 1, 2002.

Section 129 of the General Provisions authorizes additional funds for a project at Masirah Island Airfield in Oman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORT REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the rules of the House of Representatives.

CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities that require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

Language is included that enables various appropriations to remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availability.

Language is included under Military Construction, Defense-wide, which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

Language is included under Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV, limiting the amount of funds that shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

Language is included under the General Provisions authorizing additional funds for a project at Masirah Island Airfield in Oman.

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100–119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–508), the following information provides the definitions of the terms "program, project and activity" for appropriations contained in the Military Construction Appropriations Act. The term "program, project, and activity" shall include the most specific level of budget items, identified in the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2002, the accompanying House and Senate reports, and the conference report of the joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference.

In carrying out any sequestrations, the Department of Defense (DoD) and related agencies shall carry forth the sequestration order in a manner that would not adversely affect or alter Congressional policies and priorities established for the DoD and the related agencies, and no program, project, and activity should be eliminated or reduced to a level of funding that would adversely affect DoD ability to effectively continue any program, project, and activity.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[Dollars in thousands]

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Military Construction, Army	2001	$943,328	$943,328	$1,702,934
Military Construction, Navy	2001	929,411	929,411	1,134,660
Military Construction, Air Force	2001	885,333	885,333	1,185,220
Military Construction, Defense-wide	2001	798,463	798,463	852,808
Military Construction, Army National Guard	2001	285,587	285,587	313,348
Military Construction, Air National Guard	2001	210,081	210,081	198,803
Military Construction, Army Reserve	2001	108,499	108,499	167,769
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	2001	61,931	61,931	61,426
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	2001	36,510	36,510	81,882
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	2001	171,622	171,622	162,600
Family Housing, Construction, Army	2001	235,437	235,437	294,042
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Army	2001	976,135	976,135	1,096,431
Family Housing, Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	2001	417,235	417,235	334,780
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	2001	899,925	899,925	910,095
Family Housing, Construction, Air Force	2001	251,413	251,413	536,237
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2001	832,686	832,686	858,121
Family Housing, Defense-wide	2001	44,787	44,787	44,012
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2001	0	0	2,000
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	2001	0	0	10,119
Base Realignment and Closure	2001	1,031,115	1,031,115	552,713

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 115, 118, 120, 123, 126, and 129 of the General Provisions, and language included under "Military Construction, Defense-wide" provide certain transfer authority.

RESCISSION OF FUNDS

In compliance with clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee recommends rescissions of:

Military Construction, Army—$36,400,000
Military Construction, Navy—$19,588,000
Military Construction, Naval Reserve—$925,000
Military Construction, Defense-Wide—$10,250,000

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

> Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

> No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law * * *

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section of 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) allocation		This bill	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary	$10,500	$9,203	$10,500	$9,202
Mandatory				

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

[In thousands of dollars]

Budget authority, fiscal year 2002	$10,500,000
Outlays:	
2002	2,690,000
2003	4,042,000
2004	2,337,000
2005	785,000
2006 and beyond	598,000

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

[In millions of dollars]

New budget authority	0
Fiscal year 2000 outlays resulting therefrom	0

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

There were no recorded votes.

STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
ALABAMA		
ARMY		
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT		
COMPONENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,300	2,300
REBUILD SHOP AND FACILITY	2,850	2,850
FORT RUCKER		
AIRCRAFT PARTS WAREHOUSE	---	6,800
COMMANCHE SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	11,400	11,400
REDSTONE ARSENAL		
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	---	2,700
DINING FACILITY	7,200	7,200
AIR FORCE		
MAXWELL AFB		
SQUADRON OFFICER SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITY	9,000	9,000
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL DORMITORY	11,800	11,800
SQUADRON OFFICER SCHOOL DORMITORY	13,600	13,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HUNTSVILLE		
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	7,498	7,498
MOBILE		
ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER	5,333	5,333
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MAXWELL AFB		
FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,300	7,300
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	9,900	9,900
TOTAL, ALABAMA	88,181	97,681
ALASKA		
ARMY		
FORT RICHARDSON		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (PHASE I)	45,000	45,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
ASSEMBLY BUILDING	4,200	4,200
POWER PLANT COOLING TOWER	23,000	23,000
AIR FORCE		
EARECKSON AFB		
UPGRADE WASTEWATER SYSTEM	4,600	4,600
ELMENDORF AFB		
ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,200	12,200
DORMITORY	20,000	20,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
EIELSON AFB		
REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS	8,800	8,800
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE III)	18,500	18,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ELMENDORF AFB		
UPGRADE COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES	5,000	5,000
TOTAL, ALASKA	141,300	141,300
ARIZONA		
ARMY		
FORT HUACHUCA		
EFFLUENT REUSE SYSTEM	6,100	6,100
YUMA PROVING GROUNDS		
RANGE IMPROVEMENTS	---	3,100
NAVY		
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	6,750	6,750
LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II)	8,660	8,660
STATION ORDNANCE AREA	7,160	7,160
AIR FORCE		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	---	6,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
DORMITORY	8,700	8,700
REPLACE AIRCRAFT RECLAMATION/PARTS PROCESS COMPLEX	8,600	8,600
LUKE AFB		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	---	4,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
MARANA		
AVIATION MAINTENANCE HANGAR	14,358	14,358
PAPAGO PARK MILITARY RESERVATION		
ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER	---	1,104
ARMY RESERVE		
MESA		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	10,900	10,900
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
LUKE AFB		
ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATION FACILITY	---	1,400
TOTAL, ARIZONA	71,228	87,532
ARKANSAS		
ARMY		
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)	26,000	---
AIR FORCE		
LITTLE ROCK AFB		
C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	10,600	10,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)	---	26,000
ARMY RESERVE		
CONWAY		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	---	5,625
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	36,600	42,225
CALIFORNIA		
ARMY		
FORT IRWIN		
DIRECT SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	---	23,000
MONTEREY DEFENSE LANGUAGE INSTITUTE		
BARRACKS COMPLEX	---	5,900
NAVY		
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE		
AIRCRAFT HANGAR IMPROVEMENTS	4,470	4,470
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	21,200	21,200
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	21,600	21,600
BOAT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	11,980	11,980
HELO OUTLYING LANDING FIELD (PHASE II)	3,910	3,910
INDOOR PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	13,460	13,460
IRON/MANGANESE PLANT	11,180	11,180
REGIMENTAL ARTILLERY MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	13,160	13,160
CHINA LAKE NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER		
PROPULSION AND EXPLOSIVES LABORATORY (PHASE I)	---	10,100
CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE TRAINING FACILITY	8,610	8,610
EL CENTRO NAVAL AIR FACILITY		
TRANSIENT BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	23,520	23,520
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,010	10,010
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
MISSILE MAGAZINES	---	3,680
PORT HUENEME NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SCHOOL	3,780	3,780
PORT IMPROVEMENTS	12,400	12,400
SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	47,240	47,240
REPLACE PIERS 10/11 (PHASE II)	17,500	17,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
SAN NICHOLAS ISLAND		
SUPPLY PIER	13,730	13,730
TWENTYNINE PALMS		
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING	9,860	9,860
AMMUNITION STORAGE FACILITIES	9,540	9,540
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	29,675	29,675
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	11,930	11,930
RESERVE SUPPORT FACILITIES	8,760	8,760
VEHICLE WASH STATION	5,360	5,360
AIR FORCE		
BEALE AFB		
COMMUNICATIONS OPERATIONS CENTER	---	7,900
EDWARDS AFB		
ADD/ALTER TERMINAL AREA CONTROL FACILITY	4,600	4,600
BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY	---	5,000
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT FACILITY	11,700	11,700
LOS ANGELES AFB		
CONSOLIDATED BASE SUPPORT COMPLEX	23,000	23,000
TRAVIS AFB		
RADAR APPROACH CONTROL CENTER	---	3,300
REPLACE SUPPORT FACILITY	6,800	6,800
VANDENBERG AFB		
MISSILE TRANSPORT BRIDGE	11,800	11,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE		
FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT FACILITIES	3,150	3,150
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINC (HORNO)	4,300	4,300
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC (LAS FLORES)	3,800	3,800
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC (LAS PULGAS)	4,050	4,050
TRACY DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT		
REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	30,000	30,000
CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
SEAL TEAM FIVE OPERATIONS FACILITY	13,650	13,650
TWENTYNINE PALMS		
HOSPITAL LDRP CONVERSION	1,600	1,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT IRWIN		
MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I)	21,953	21,953
LANCASTER		
READINESS CENTER	4,530	4,530
NAVY RESERVE		
PORT HUENEME NAVAL RESERVE CENTER		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,000	1,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MARCH ARB		
FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	---	7,200
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	458,808	524,888
COLORADO		
ARMY		
FORT CARSON		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (NELSON BLVD) (PHASE I)	25,000	25,000
PUEBLO DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)	11,000	---
AIR FORCE		
BUCKLEY AFB		
DORMITORY	11,200	11,200
FITNESS CENTER	12,000	12,000
SCHRIEVER AFB		
SBIRS MISSION CONTROL STATION BACKUP	19,000	19,000
SECURE AREA LOGISTICS FACILITY	---	11,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY		
ADD/ALTER ATHLETIC FACILITIES (PHASE II).....	11,400	11,400
INSTALL AIR CONDITIONING (ENLISTED DORMITORY).....	1,300	1,300
REPLACE CONTROL TOWER.....	6,400	6,400
UPGRADE POTABLE WATER SYSTEM (CADET AREA).....	6,400	6,400
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PUEBLO DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)..	---	11,000
SCHRIEVER AFB		
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC.....	4,000	4,000
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT CARSON		
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/NEW RESERVE CENTER....	9,394	9,394
TOTAL, COLORADO.....	117,094	128,494
CONNECTICUT		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ORANGE ANGS		
REPLACE AIR CONTROL SQUADRON COMPLEX.....	12,000	12,000
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
ARMY		
FORT MCNAIR		
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER.....	11,600	11,600
NAVY		
ANACOSTIA		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT.....	9,810	9,810
AIR FORCE		
BOLLING AFB		
ADD/ALTER CHAPEL CENTER.....	2,900	2,900
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.....	24,310	24,310
FLORIDA		
NAVY		
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER/OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	11,400	11,400
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	16,420	16,420
PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION		
CONSOLIDATED FIRE STATION.....	---	3,700
WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRFIELD APPROACH LIGHTING.....	2,140	2,140
AIR FORCE		
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR STATION		
REPLACE FIRECRASH RESCUE STATION.....	7,800	7,800
EGLIN AFB		
COMMAND AND CONTROL TEST OPERATIONS CENTER.....	11,400	11,400
HURLBURT FIELD		
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATION FACILITY.....	4,000	4,000
DINING FACILITY/FITNESS CENTER.....	6,400	6,400
MACDILL AFB		
MISSION PLANNING CENTER (PHASE I).....	10,000	---
TYNDALL AFB		
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY.....	---	5,300
F-22 FUELS SYSTEM MAINTANENCE HANGER.....	3,050	3,050
F-22 SQUAD OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT HANGER..	12,000	12,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HURLBURT FIELD		
ADD/ALTER MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC.....	8,800	8,800
CV-22 TRAINING DEVICE SUPPORT FACILITY.....	10,200	10,200
READINESS SUPPLY PACKAGE FACILITY.....	3,200	3,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MACDILL AFB		
PUBLIC ACCESS BUILDING	2,500	2,500
RENOVATE COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	9,500	9,500
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION		
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	24,000	24,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BLANDING		
REPLACE WEATHER TRAINING COMPLEX	6,900	6,900
ARMY RESERVE		
ST PETERSBERG		
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)	---	34,056
NAVY RESERVE		
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION		
HANGAR RENOVATIONS	3,744	3,744
MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	---	8,650
READINESS SUPPORT SITE FACILITIES	2,500	2,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
HOMESTEAD ARB		
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	---	2,000
TOTAL, FLORIDA	155,954	199,660
GEORGIA		
ARMY		
FORT BENNING		
PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY	17,000	17,000
RUNWAY EXTENSION	6,900	6,900
FORT GILLEM		
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION FORENSIC LABORATORY	29,000	29,000
EXPLOSIVE ORDANCE DETACHMENT OPERATIONS BUILDING	5,600	5,600
FORT GORDON		
INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY	11,000	11,000
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	23,000	23,000
FORT STEWART		
EDUCATION CENTER	16,000	16,000
SOLDIER SERVICE CENTER	10,200	10,200
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	13,600	13,600
AIR FORCE		
MOODY AFB		
C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	---	4,900
ROBINS AFB		
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	3,800	3,800
LARGE ITEM AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FAC	3,050	3,050
REPLACE KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS	7,800	7,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ALBANY MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE		
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	5,800	5,800
FORT BENNING		
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	5,100	5,100
FORT STEWART		
CONSOLIDATED TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	11,000	11,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ROBINS AFB		
REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX	6,100	6,100
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
ROBINS AFB		
AIR FORCE RESERVE HEADQUARTERS (PHASE II)	2,000	2,000
TOTAL, GEORGIA	176,950	181,850
HAWAII		
ARMY		
PEARL HARBOR		
SHIPPING OPERATIONS BUILDING	11,800	11,800
POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA		

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
COMMAND AND RANGE CONTROL BUILDING	5,100	5,100
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (WILSON STREET) (PHASE I-C)	23,000	23,000
WHEELER ARMY AIRFIELD		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (AVIATION) (PHASE VI-A)	50,000	50,000
NAVY		
CAMP SMITH		
CINCPAC HEADQUARTERS (PHASE III)	37,580	37,580
KANEOHE BAY MARINE CORPS BASE		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	24,920	24,920
LUALUALEI NAVAL MAGAZINE		
SHORE POWER AT WHARVES	6,000	6,000
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	17,300	17,300
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	23,300	23,300
DRYDOCK SUPPORT FACILITY	7,900	7,900
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	12,100	12,100
SEWER FORCE MAIN	16,900	16,900
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HICKAM AFB		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	29,200	29,200
TOTAL, HAWAII	265,100	265,100
IDAHO		
AIR FORCE		
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB		
REPLACE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	14,600	14,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GOWEN FIELD		
READINESS CENTER	8,117	8,117
TOTAL, IDAHO	22,717	22,717
ILLINOIS		
NAVY		
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER		
RECRUIT BARRACKS	41,130	41,130
RECRUIT BARRACKS	41,130	41,130
NAVY RESERVE		
GREAT LAKES		
RESERVE CENTER RENOVATION	4,426	4,426
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	86,686	86,686
INDIANA		
ARMY		
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	66,000	
NAVY		
CRANE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER		
MICROWAVE DEVICES ENGINEERING FACILITY	---	9,110
SPECIAL WARFARE MUNITIONS ENGINEERING FACILITY	5,820	5,820
DEFENSE-WIDE		
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	---	66,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT WAYNE IAP		
UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP AND TAXIWAY	---	8,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
GRISSOM ARB		
SERVICES COMPLEX (PHASE III)	13,200	13,200
TOTAL, INDIANA	85,020	102,630

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
IOWA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
ESTHERVILLE		
READINESS CENTER	2,713	2,713
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT		
KC-135 PARKING APRON/HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	14,400	14,400
KC-135 FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	8,300	8,300
KC-135 EXTEND AND UPGRADE TAXIWAY	4,300	4,300
TOTAL, IOWA	29,713	29,713
KANSAS		
ARMY		
FORT RILEY		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,800	6,800
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,100	4,100
AIR FORCE		
MCCONNELL AFB		
HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER	---	5,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT RILEY		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	645	645
TOTAL, KANSAS	11,545	16,645
KENTUCKY		
ARMY		
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	3,000	---
FORT CAMPBELL		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (MARKET GARDEN ROAD) (PHASE III)	47,000	47,000
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX	3,300	3,300
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/AIR	3,300	3,300
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/RAIL	3,300	3,300
ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	10,000	10,000
EXPAND KEYHOLE HARDSTAND AREA	10,600	10,600
PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY	11,400	11,400
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	---	3,000
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT KNOX		
RESERVE CENTER	14,846	14,846
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	106,746	106,746
LOUISIANA		
ARMY		
FORT POLK		
EDUCATION CENTER	10,800	10,800
READINESS AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	10,400	10,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BEAUREGARD		
READINESS CENTER	5,392	5,392
CARVILLE		
READINESS CENTER	5,677	5,677
NAVY RESERVE		
LAFAYETTE		
MARINE RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	5,200	5,200
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION		
EQUIPMENT SHOP AND HOLDING SHELTER	2,270	2,270
JOINT RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)	---	10,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
REFUELER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	650	650
REPLACE BRIDGES	1,300	1,300
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	41,689	51,689
MAINE		
NAVY		
BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	41,665	41,665
BACHELOR ENLSITED QUARTERS	22,630	22,630
P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY	3,100	3,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BANGOR		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (PHASE I)	11,618	11,618
TOTAL, MAINE	79,013	79,013
MARYLAND		
ARMY		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	66,500	---
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	5,300	5,300
CLIMATIC TEST FACILITY	9,000	9,000
EDGEWOOD		
CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	44,000	44,000
FORT MEADE		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,800	5,800
NAVY		
INDIAN HEAD NAVAL EXPLOSIVE ORDANCE CENTER		
JOINT EOD EQUIPMENT MAGNETIC EVALUATION FACILITY	1.250	1.250
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER		
ADVANCED SYSTEMS INTEGRATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)	10,770	10,770
RANGES OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	2,260	2,260
ST INIGOES NAVALEX		
COMMUNICATIONS INTEGRATION FACILITY	---	5,100
AIR FORCE		
ANDREWS AFB		
CONSOLIDATE SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	10,070	10,070
REPAIR EAST RUNWAY	7,600	7,600
UPGRADE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,750	1,750
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	---	66,500
OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	3,200	3,200
ANDREWS AFB		
ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CLINIC	7,300	7,300
BRANCH MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC RELOCATION	2,950	2,950
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
SALISBURY		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,314	2,314
TOTAL, MARYLAND	180,064	185,164
MASSACHUSETTS		
AIR FORCE		
HANSCOM AFB		
RENOVATE ACQUISITION MGMT FACILITY (PHASE III)	9,400	9,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FRAMINGHAM		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	8,347	8,347
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
BARNES ANGB		
UPGRADE SUPPORT FACILITIES	---	5,200
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	17,747	22,947

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MICHIGAN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GRAYLING ARMY AIRFIELD		
TRAINING SITE, HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	---	5,680
LANSING		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE II)	5,809	5,809
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SELFRIDGE ANGB		
RUNWAY CLEAR ZONE LAND ACQUISITION	2,000	2,000
NAVY RESERVE		
SELFRIDGE ANGB		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,490	1,490
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	9,299	14,979
MINNESOTA		
NAVY RESERVE		
DULUTH		
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	2,980	2,980
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL ARS		
CONSOLIDATED LODGING FACILITY (PHASE III)	---	13,300
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	2,980	16,280
MISSISSIPPI		
NAVY		
GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	14,300	14,300
MOBILIZATION OPERATIONS FACILITY	7,360	7,360
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION		
T-45 MAINTENANCE FACILITY	---	3,400
AIR FORCE		
KEESLER AFB		
REPLACE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE II-A)	28,600	28,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BATESVILLE		
READINESS CENTER	---	3,055
CAMP SHELBY		
MILITARY EDUCATION CENTER (PHASE II)	11,444	11,444
GULFPORT		
READINESS CENTER	9,145	9,145
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
JACKSON IAP		
C-17 FACILITY CONVERSION	16,500	16,500
C-17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	---	4,100
UPGRADE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5,700	5,700
ARMY RESERVE		
GULFPORT CBC		
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE (PHASE I)	---	12,184
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
KEESLER AFB		
C-130J MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,000	12,000
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	105,049	127,788
MISSOURI		
ARMY		
FORT LEONARD WOOD		
BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE II)	27,000	27,000
NIGHT FIRE RANGE	4,300	4,300
RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,550	3,550
NAVY		
KANSAS CITY MARINE CORPS SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	9,010	9,010
TOTAL, MISSOURI	43,860	43,860

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MONTANA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
KALISPELL		
READINESS CENTER	822	822
NEVADA		
AIR FORCE		
NELLIS AFB		
DYNAMIC BATTLE CONTROL CENTER	12,600	12,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
RENO-TAHOE IAP		
REPLACE BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE COMPLEX	8,500	8,500
TOTAL, NEVADA	21,100	21,100
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CONCORD		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	27,185	27,185
READINESS CENTER	1,868	1,868
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
PEASE AFB		
KC-135R SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200
ARMY RESERVE		
ROCHESTER		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	9,122	9,122
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	40,375	40,375
NEW JERSEY		
ARMY		
FORT MONMOUTH		
BARRACKS	20,000	20,000
PICATINNY ARSENAL		
HIGH ENERGY PROPELLANT FORMULATION FACILITY	---	10,200
AIR FORCE		
MCGUIRE AFB		
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL/BASE SUPPLY CMPLX (PHASE II)	---	12,600
C-17 ADD/ALTER FUEL CELL	1,050	1,050
C-17 COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	1,400	1,400
C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	4,900	4,900
C-17 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	27,700	27,700
C-17 THREE BAY HANGAR	1,500	1,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
MCGUIRE AFB		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK	4,400	4,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ATLANTIC CITY IAP		
COMMUNICATIONS/SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX	6,300	6,300
MCGUIRE AFB		
REPLACE JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	4,900	4,900
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT DIX		
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	12,000	12,000
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	84,150	106,950
NEW MEXICO		
ARMY		
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE		
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER	---	7,600
AIR FORCE		
CANNON AFB		
REPLACE FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	9,400	9,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
KIRTLAND AFB		
TELESCOPE/ATMOSPHERE COMPENSATION LABORATORY.....	15,500	15,500
UPGRADE SMALL ARMS RANGE SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	4,300
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HOLLOMAN AFB		
MEDICAL CLINIC ALTERATION.....	5,700	5,700
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO.....	30,600	42,500
NEW YORK		
ARMY		
FORT DRUM		
BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER (PHASE II).....	9,000	9,000
FIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	2,150	2,150
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE FACILITY.....	4,700	4,700
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOPS.....	31,000	31,000
TRAINING AREA ACCESS ROAD.....	---	18,500
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY		
CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE II).....	37,900	37,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT DRUM		
MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I)...	17,000	17,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
FRANCIS S. GABRESKI AIRPORT		
COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX.....	19,000	19,000
HANCOCK FIELD		
CIVIL ENGINEERING FACILITY.....	---	1,500
COMPOSITE READINESS SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	2,500
TOTAL, NEW YORK.....	120,750	143,250
NORTH CAROLINA		
ARMY		
FORT BRAGG		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (BUTNER ROAD) (PHASE II).....	49,000	49,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (LONGSTREET ROAD) (PHASE II).....	27,000	27,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (TAGAYTAY ROAD) (PHASE II-C).....	17,500	17,500
PARACHUTE TEAM GENERAL PURPOSE BUILDING.....	7,700	7,700
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	13,600	13,600
SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL		
DEPLOYMENT STAGING AREA.....	2,000	2,000
FIRE STATION.....	2,750	2,750
OPEN STORAGE AREA.....	2,050	2,050
ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AND TRUCK PAD.....	4,600	4,600
NAVY		
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE		
ACADEMIC BUILDING.....	15,860	15,860
AMMUNITION STORAGE MAGAZINE UPGRADE (PHASE I).....	5,880	5,880
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	16,530	16,530
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	13,550	13,550
ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	6,960	6,960
LANDFILL CELL.....	8,290	8,290
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY.....	1,560	1,560
PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY.....	2,490	2,490
AIR FORCE		
POPE AFB		
CONSOLIDATE C-130 CORROSION CONTROL FACILTY.....	17,800	17,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION AND RENOVATION.....	8,857	8,857
FORT BRAGG		
IMAGERY AND ANALYSIS FACILITY.....	3,150	3,150
LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING FACILITY.....	2,100	2,100
REPAIR TRAINING FACILITY.....	1,812	1,812
TEAM OPERATIONS/INFORMATION AUTOMATION FACILITY...	5,800	5,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
TRAINING FACILITY	5,000	5,000
TRAINING RANGE	2,600	2,600
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,600	3,600
WEATHER OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,000	1,000
BATTALION OPERATIONS/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	8,500	8,500
POPE AFB		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,400	3,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT BRAGG		
MILITARY EDUCATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	---	8,290
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	260,939	269,229
NORTH DAKOTA		
AIR FORCE		
GRAND FORKS AFB		
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	7,800	7,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
GRAND FORKS AFB		
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	9,110	9,110
MINOT AFB		
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	14,000	14,000
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	30,910	30,910
OHIO		
AIR FORCE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB		
ADD/ALTER SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE FACILITY	3,450	3,450
CONSOLIDATE ACQ MANAGEMENT COMPLEX (PHASE IV-B)	21,400	21,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BOWLING GREEN		
READINESS CENTER	---	3,200
CINCINNATI		
READINESS CENTER	9,780	9,780
COSHOCTON		
READINESS CENTER	---	2,632
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MANSFIELD-LAHM AIRPORT		
REPLACE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	---	3,500
SPRINGFIELD-BECKLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT		
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON/TAXIWAY	---	10,600
ARMY RESERVE		
CLEVELAND		
LAND ACQUISITION	1,200	1,200
TOTAL, OHIO	35,830	55,762
OKLAHOMA		
ARMY		
FORT SILL		
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX	5,100	5,100
AIR FORCE		
ALTUS AFB		
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (PHASE I)	20,200	20,200
TINKER AFB		
CONSOLIDATE INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY	---	7,500
DORMITORY	10,200	10,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
OKLAHOMA CITY		
READINESS CENTER	---	9,320
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	35,500	52,320

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
PENNSYLVANIA		
NAVY		
PHILADELPHIA NAVAL FOUNDRY AND PROPELLER CENTER		
MACHINE SHOP MODERNIZATION	---	14,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
NEW CUMBERLAND DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT		
SPECIAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	19,900	19,900
PHILADELPHIA DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER		
CONSOLIDATE INDOOR FITNESS FACILITIES	2,429	2,429
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
PITTSBURGH IAP		
REPLACE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,200	3,200
ARMY RESERVE		
JOHNSTOWN		
TRANSIENT QUARTERS	---	3,000
NAVY RESERVE		
WILLOW GROVE		
HANGAR FIRE PROTECTION UPGRADES	3,715	3,715
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	29,244	47,044
RHODE ISLAND		
NAVY		
NEWPORT NAVAL STATION		
SPECIAL WARFARE OFFICERS INSTRUCTION BUILDING	15,290	15,290
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
QUONSET STATE AIRPORT		
C-130J REPLACE COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	9,600	9,600
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	24,890	24,890
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ARMY		
FORT JACKSON		
BASIC COMBAT TRAINEE COMPLEX (PHASE I)	26,000	26,000
CENTRAL ENERGY PLANT	---	3,650
NAVY		
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
AIRBORNE WEAPONS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE	1,960	1,960
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,060	6,060
BEAUFORT NAVAL HOSPITAL		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	---	7,330
PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT		
MILITARY POLICE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES FACILITY	5,430	5,430
AIR FORCE		
SHAW AFB		
EDUCATION CENTER	---	5,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
REPLACE LAUREL BAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	12,850	12,850
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	52,300	69,080
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
MITCHELL		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	14,228	14,228
TENNESSEE		
NAVY		
MILLINGTON NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
ELEVATED WATER TANK	3,900	3,900
AIR FORCE		
ARNOLD AFB		
CONVERT TO HYPERSONIC PLANT	10,400	10,400
UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM (PHASE IV)	14,000	14,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
ALCOA		
READINESS CENTER	8,203	8,203
HENDERSON		
OPERATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,012	2,012
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
NASHVILLE IAP		
REPLACE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE I)	---	11,000
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	38,515	49,515
TEXAS		
ARMY		
CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT		
ENERGY DISASSEMBLY AND CLEANING FACILITY	---	10,400
FORT BLISS		
REPLACE ELEVATED WATER TANKS	---	5,000
FORT HOOD		
BARRACKS COMPLEX	41,000	41,000
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY (PHASE II)	10,000	10,000
GRAY ARMY AIRFIELD DEPLOYMENT UPGRADE (PHASE II)	---	18,000
MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE II)	13,000	13,000
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	12,200	12,200
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	23,000	23,000
FORT SAM HOUSTON		
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	2,250	2,250
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	---	7,400
AIR FORCE		
LACKLAND AFB		
JOINT ADVANCED LANGUAGE TRAINING CENTER	4.200	4.200
DORMITORY	8,600	8,600
LAUGHLIN AFB		
ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	12,000	12,000
SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX	---	3,600
SHEPPARD AFB		
FITNESS CENTER/HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER	---	8,200
REPLACE STUDENT DORMITORY/DINING FACILITY	16,000	16,000
STUDENT DORMITORY/DINING FACILITY	21,000	21,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
DYESS AFB		
MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITY ALTERATION	3,300	3,300
FORT HOOD		
ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL	12,200	12,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
AUSTIN		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	25,659	25,659
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP MABRY		
REPLACE WEATHER FLIGHT COMPLEX	900	900
ARMY RESERVE		
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,862	1,862
NAVY RESERVE		
FORT WORTH JRB		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	---	9,060
TOTAL, TEXAS	207,171	268,831
UTAH		
AIR FORCE		
HILL AFB		
CONSOLIDATE HYDRAULIC/PNEUDRAULIC REPAIR FACILITY	14,000	14,000
DEPOT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE I)	---	18,000
TOTAL, UTAH	14,000	32,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
VIRGINIA		
ARMY		
FORT BELVOIR		
CHAPEL	4,950	4,950
OPERATIONS BUILDING	31,000	31,000
FORT EUSTIS		
FIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,750	1,750
MAIN PIER	23,000	23,000
FORT LEE		
AIRBORNE TRAINING FACILITY	17,500	17,500
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION	6,400	6,400
NAVY		
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITY	---	9,090
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR REPLACEMENT	11,300	11,300
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR REPLACEMENT	14,100	14,100
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT UPGRADE	6,360	6,360
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	14,730	14,730
DEPERMING PIER REPLACEMENT	2,810	2,810
PIER REPLACEMENT (PHASE I)	28,210	28,210
WATERFRONT ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	15,620	15,620
WATERFRONT ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	12,900	12,900
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEVELOPMENT COMMAND		
AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION	3,790	3,790
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	9,390	9,390
AIR FORCE		
LANGLEY AFB		
DORMITORY	8,300	8,300
F-22 LOW OBSERVABLE/COMPOSITE REPAIR FACILITY	16.000	16.000
F-22 OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY	19,000	19,000
F-22 UPGRADE FLIGHTLINE INFRASTRUCTURE	4,000	4,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT BELVOIR		
ADDITIONAL CHILLER UNIT	900	900
NORFOLK		
ADD/ALTER BRANCH MEDICAL CLINIC	21,000	21,000
PENTAGON		
PHYSICAL FITNESS AND READINESS FACILITY	25,000	25,000
NAVY RESERVE		
WILLIAMSBURG		
HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	2,130	2,130
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	300,140	309,230
WASHINGTON		
ARMY		
FORT LEWIS		
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT EXPANSION	17,000	17,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (17TH AND B STREET) (PHASE I)	48,000	48,000
COMBAT VEHICLE TRAIL	7,300	7,300
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX	15,500	15,500
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/RAIL	16,500	16,500
PALLET HANDING FACILITY	13,200	13,200
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,100	9,100
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,600	9,600
NAVY		
BANGOR STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY		
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	3,900	3,900
BREMERTON NAVAL STATION		
REPLACE PIER DELTA (PHASE II)	24,460	24,460
EVERETT NAVAL STATION		
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	6,820	6,820

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD		
INDUSTRIAL SKILLS CENTER (PHASE II)	---	14,000
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION		
P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY	3,470	3,470
AIR FORCE		
FAIRCHILD AFB		
REPLACE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE ADMIN FACILITY	2,800	2,800
MCCHORD AFB		
ADD/ALTER MISSION SUPPORT CENTER (PHASE I)	15,800	15,800
C-17 EXTEND NOSE DOCKS	4,900	4,900
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT LEWIS		
LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING FACILITY	1,100	1,100
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	5,800	5,800
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION		
AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	6,600	6,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
RICHLAND		
CHEMICAL DEFENSE TRAINING FACILITY	---	2,800
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT LEWIS		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	21,978	21,978
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	233,828	250,628
WISCONSIN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
OSHKOSH		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	5,274	5,274
WYOMING		
AIR FORCE		
F. E. WARREN AFB		
FITNESS CENTER	10,200	10,200
DEFENSE-WIDE		
F. E. WARREN AFB		
MEDICAL CLINIC ALTERATION	2,700	2,700
NAVY RESERVE		
CHEYENNE		
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	1,060	1,060
TOTAL, WYOMING	13,960	13,960
EL SALVADOR		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
COMALAPA AB		
FORWARD OPERATING LOCATION	12,577	12,577
GERMANY		
ARMY		
BAMBERG		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (WARNER'S 3)	20,000	20,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	---	6,500
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	16,000	16,000
BAUMHOLDER		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,000	9,000
DARMSTADT		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (CAMBRAI FRITSCH 4028)	6,700	6,700
BARRACKS COMPLEX (KELLEY 4163)	6,800	6,800
HANAU		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (PIONEER 8)	7,200	7,200
HEIDELBERG		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (PATTON 114)	6,800	6,800
BARRACKS COMPLEX (TOMPKINS 4253)	8,500	8,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MANNHEIM		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	16,000	16,000
WEISBADEN		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,800	6,800
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	19,500	19,500
AIR FORCE		
RAMSTEIN AB		
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON COMPLEX (PHASE I)	15,000	15,000
DORMITORY	11,000	11,000
FREIGHT TERMINAL & DEFENSE COURIER SERVICE	9,400	9,400
STRATEGIC LIFT AREA EXPANSION	4,600	4,600
UPGRADE UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE	2,900	2,900
SPANGDAHLEM AB		
NORTHWEST INFRASTRUCTURE EXPANSION	6,200	6,200
REFUELER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,500	2,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
GEILENKIRCHEN		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MULTI PURPOSE ROOM	1,733	1,733
HEIDELBERG		
HOSPITAL ADDITION/CLINIC ALTERATION	28,000	28,000
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION/RENOVATION	3,312	3,312
KAISERLAUTERN		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,439	1,439
KITZINGEN		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,394	1,394
LANDSTUHL		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL/MIDDLE SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,444	1,444
RAMSTEIN		
HIGH SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	2,814	2,814
VOGELWEH		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION/RENOVATION	1,558	1,558
WEISBADEN		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,378	1,378
WUERZBURG		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM/GYMNASIUM ADDITION	2,684	2,684
TOTAL, GERMANY	220,656	227,156
GREECE		
NAVY		
LARISSA NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12,240	12,240
SOUDA BAY NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT ADDITION	3,210	3,210
TOTAL, GREECE	15,450	15,450
GREENLAND		
AIR FORCE		
THULE AB		
REPLACE TAXIWAYS/APRONS	19,000	19,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
THULE AB		
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT	10,800	10,800
TOTAL, GREENLAND	29,800	29,800
GUAM		
NAVY		
GUAM NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	9,300	9,300
WATERFRONT UTILITIES UPGRADE	14,800	14,800
AIR FORCE		
ANDERSEN AFB		
WAR RESERVE MATERIAL STORAGE FACILITY	4,550	4,550
REPLACE SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,600	5,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ANDERSEN AFB		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	20,000	20,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BARRIGADA		
READINESS CENTER (PHASE II)	---	7,748
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ANDERSON AFB		
OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	4,300	4,300
TOTAL, GUAM	58,550	66,298
ICELAND		
NAVY		
KEFLAVIK NAVAL AIR STATION		
SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL CONNECTION CHARGE	2,820	2,820
ITALY		
NAVY		
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION		
P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY	3,060	3,060
AIR FORCE		
AVIANO AB		
DORMITORY	8,200	8,200
INDOOR FIRING RANGE	3,600	3,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
AVIANO AB		
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	3,647	3,647
TOTAL, ITALY	18,507	18,507
JAPAN		
ARMY		
CAMP SCHWAB		
SPECIAL FORCES TRAINING RANGE	---	3,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
YOKOTA AB		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK	13,000	13,000
TOTAL, JAPAN	13,000	16,800
KOREA		
ARMY		
CAMP CARROLL		
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	8,000	8,000
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	8,593	8,593
CAMP CASEY		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	8,500	8,500
CAMP HOVEY		
BARRACKS COMPLEX	33,000	33,000
SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,750	2,750
CAMP HUMPHREYS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX	14,500	14,500
CAMP JACKSON		
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	6,100	6,100
CAMP STANLEY		
BARRACKS COMPLEX	28,000	28,000
YONGSAN		
BARRACKS COMPLEX	---	12,800
AIR FORCE		
KUNSAN AB		
ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	12,000	12,000
OSAN AB		
DORMITORY	14,400	14,400
DORMITORY	15,800	15,800
OFFICER DORMITORY	9,700	9,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
REPLACE BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	36,000	36,000
REPLACE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FACILITY	5,925	5,925
REPLACE VEHICLE OPERATIONS/ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	2,000	2,000
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	17,317	17,317
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CAMP CASEY		
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITY	5,500	5,500
TOTAL, KOREA	228,085	240,885
KWAJALEIN		
ARMY		
KWAJALEIN ATOLL		
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	11,000	11,000
OMAN		
AIR FORCE		
MASIRAH ISLAND		
AIRFIELD REPAIRS (PHASE II)	---	8,000
PORTUGAL		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
LAJES FIELD		
DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	3,750	3,750
AMERICAN SAMOA		
ARMY RESERVE		
AMERICAN SAMOA		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,703	19,703
SPAIN		
NAVY		
ROTA NAVAL STATION		
AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE ADDITION	2,240	2,240
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ROTA NAVAL STATION		
MARINE LOADING ARMS	3,000	3,000
TOTAL, SPAIN	5,240	5,240
TURKEY		
AIR FORCE		
ESKISEHIR		
DORMITORY/MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	4,000	4,000
INCIRLIK AB		
BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	---	5,500
TOTAL, TURKEY	4,000	9,500
UNITED KINGDOM		
AIR FORCE		
RAF MILDENHALL		
AVIONICS MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE II)	10,800	10,800
FITNESS CENTER	11,600	11,600
RAF LAKENHEATH		
REPLACE SUPPLY MATERIAL CONTROL FACILITY	11,300	11,300
DEFENSE-WIDE		
RAF FELTWELL		
CONSTRUCT NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	22,132	22,132
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	55,832	55,832

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
WAKE ISLAND		
AIR FORCE		
WAKE ISLAND		
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT (PHASE I)	25,000	25,000
NATO		
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	162,600	162,600
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED		
ARMY		
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS		
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,000	4,000
AIR FORCE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION		
TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	4,458	4,458
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CLASSIFIED LOCATION		
AVIATION AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,400	2,400
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED	10,858	10,858
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
HOST NATION SUPPORT	23,100	23,100
PLANNING AND DESIGN	134,098	140,041
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	18,000	18,000
RESCISSION	---	-36,400
NAVY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	29,932	30,972
UPSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	10,546	12,036
RESCISSION	---	-19,588
AIR FORCE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	79,130	83,000
UPSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	11,250	11,250
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-4,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	35,600	35,600
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000
RESCISSION	---	-10,250
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-4,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN		
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	26,300	26,300
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	6,861	6,861
CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	700	700
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	2,400	2,400
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	1,929	1,929
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	6,290	6,290
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY	6,516	6,516
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	3,500	3,500
UNDISTRIBUTED	20,000	20,000
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	74,496	74,496
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	5,526	5,526
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	1,903	1,903
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,500	1,500
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	4,249	4,249

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	2,009	2,009
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	6,305	6,305
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	24,492	24,492
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	25,794	27,924
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,671	4,671
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,972	6,803
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,000	5,000
ARMY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,024	9,024
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,375	2,875
NAVY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,176	2,176
RESCISSION	---	-925
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,336	8,586
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,996	4,996
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	510,988	459,879
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY		
ALASKA		
FORT WAINWRIGHT (32 UNITS)	12,000	12,000
ARIZONA		
FORT HUACHUCA (72 UNITS)	10,800	10,800
GEORGIA		
FORT STEWART (160 UNITS)	---	2,500
KANSAS		
FORT LEAVENWORTH (40 UNITS)	10,000	10,000
TEXAS		
FORT BLISS (76 UNITS)	13,600	13,600
KOREA		
CAMP HUMPHREYS (54 UNITS)	12,800	12,800
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	220,750	220,750
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,592	11,592
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	291,542	294,042
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHING ACCOUNT	45,546	45,546
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	82,177	82,177
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,277	1,277
SERVICES ACCOUNT	49,520	49,520
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	258,790	247,790
LEASING	196,956	196,956
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	446,806	445,246
INTEREST PAYMENT	1	1
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST	27,918	27,918
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,108,991	1,096,431
TOLAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,400,533	1,390,473

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		
ARIZONA		
YUMA (51 UNITS)	9,017	9,017
CALIFORNIA		
TWENTYNINE PALMS (74 UNITS)	16,250	16,250
HAWAII		
KANEOHE BAY (172 UNITS)	46,996	46,996
PEARL HARBOR (70 UNITS)	16,827	16,827
MISSISSIPPI		
PASCAGOULA (160 UNITS)	23,354	23,354
VIRGINIA		
QUANTICO (60 UNITS)	---	10,000
ITALY		
SIGONELLA (10 UNITS)	2,403	2,403
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	183,054	203,434
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,499	6,499
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	304,400	334,780
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	32,701	32,701
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	85,535	85,535
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,200	1,200
SERVICES ACCOUNT	65,787	65,787
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	195,172	187,172
LEASING ACCOUNT	123,965	123,965
MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT	409,567	409,567
SVCM'S MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM ACCOUNT	68	68
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST	4,100	4,100
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	918,095	910,095
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,222,495	1,244,875
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE		
ARIZONA		
LUKE AFB (120 UNITS)	15,712	15,712
CALIFORNIA		
TRAVIS AFB (118 UNITS)	18,150	18,150
COLORADO		
BUCKLEY AFB (55 UNITS)	11,400	11,400
DELAWARE		
DOVER AFB (120 UNITS)	18,145	18,145
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
BOLLING AFB (136 UNITS)	16,926	16,926
HAWAII		
HICKAM AFB (102 UNITS)	25,037	25,037
LOUISIANA		
BARKSDALE AFB (56 UNITS)	7,300	7,300
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ELLSWORTH AFB (78 UNITS)	13,700	13,700
VIRGINIA		
LANGLEY AFB (4 UNITS)	1,200	1,200
PORTUGAL		
LAJES FIELD (64 UNITS)	13,230	13,230
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	352,879	370,879
PLANNING AND DESIGN	24,558	24,558
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	518,237	536,237

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	36,619	36,619
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	58,224	58,224
SERVICES ACCOUNT	28,356	28,356
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	168,652	157,652
MISCELLANEOUS	2,384	2,384
LEASING	102,919	102,919
MAINTENANCE	436,526	436,526
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	35	35
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST	35,406	35,406
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	869,121	858,121
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,387,358	1,394,358
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	250	250
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	129	129
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA)	3,630	3,630
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	30	30
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	15	15
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	292	292
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA)	57	57
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	374	374
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	78	78
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	414	414
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA)	428	428
LEASING (NSA)	11,698	11,698
LEASING (DLA)	25,600	25,600
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	658	658
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	359	359
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	43,762	43,762
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	44,012	44,012
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND		
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,000	2,000
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE		
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE	10,119	10,119
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	532,200	552,713
GRAND TOTAL	9,971,312	10,500,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002

(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Army	907,878	1,760,541	1,739,334	+831,456	-21,207
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-635	.....	.....	+635	.....
Rescission	.....	.....	-36,400	-36,400	-36,400
Miscellaneous appropriations (P.L. 106-554)	26,941	.....	.....	-26,941	.....
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	9,144	.....	.....	-9,144	.....
Total	943,328	1,760,541	1,702,934	+759,606	-57,607
Military construction, Navy	929,113	1,071,408	1,154,248	+225,135	+82,840
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-2,889	.....	.....	+2,889	.....
Rescission	.....	.....	-19,588	-19,588	-19,588
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	3,187	.....	.....	-3,187	.....
Total	929,411	1,071,408	1,134,660	+205,249	+63,252
Military construction, Air Force	868,294	1,068,250	1,185,220	+316,926	+116,970
Miscellaneous appropriations (P.L. 106-554)	11,974	.....	.....	-11,974	.....
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	5,065	.....	.....	-5,065	.....
Total	885,333	1,068,250	1,185,220	+299,887	+116,970

Military construction, Defense-wide	819,954	694,558	863,058	+43,104	+168,500
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-7,115	.....	.....	+7,115	.....
Rescission	.....	.....	-10,250	-10,250	-10,250
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	-14,376	.....	.....	+14,376	.....
Total	798,463	694,558	852,808	+54,345	+158,250
Total, Active components	3,556,535	4,594,757	4,875,622	+1,319,087	+280,865
Military construction, Army National Guard	281,097	267,389	313,348	+32,251	+45,959
Miscellaneous appropriations (P.L. 106-554)	4,490	.....	.....	-4,490	.....
Total	285,587	267,389	313,348	+27,761	+45,959
Military construction, Air National Guard	203,381	149,072	198,803	-4,578	+49,731
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	6,700	.....	.....	-6,700	.....
Total	210,081	149,072	198,803	-11,278	+49,731
Military construction, Army Reserve	108,499	111,404	167,769	+59,270	+56,365
Military construction, Naval Reserve	64,331	33,641	62,351	-1,980	+28,710
Rescission	-2,400	.....	-925	+1,475	-925
Total	61,931	33,641	61,426	-505	+27,785

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002—Continued
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	36,510	53,732	81,882	+45,372	+28,150
Total, Reserve components	702,608	615,238	823,228	+120,620	+207,990
Total, Military construction	4,259,143	5,209,995	5,698,850	+1,439,707	+488,855
Appropriations	(4,261,543)	(5,209,995)	(5,766,013)	(+1,504,470)	(+556,018)
Rescissions	(-2,400)	.....	(-67,163)	(-64,763)	(-67,163)
NATO Security Investment Program	171,622	162,600	162,600	-9,022	.....
Family housing, Army:					
New construction	165,459	59,200	61,700	-103,759	+2,500
Construction improvements	63,450	220,750	220,750	+157,300	.....
Planning and design	6,528	11,592	11,592	+5,064	.....
Subtotal, construction	235,437	291,542	294,042	+58,605	+2,500
Operation and maintenance	969,566	1,108,991	1,096,431	+126,865	-12,560
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-19,911	.....	.....	+19,911	.....
Subtotal, operation and maintenance	949,655	1,108,991	1,096,431	+146,776	-12,560
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	26,480	.....	.....	-26,480	.....
Total, Family housing, Army	1,211,572	1,400,533	1,390,473	+178,901	-10,060

Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
New construction	204,669	114,847	124,847	-79,822	+10,000
Construction improvements	192,652	183,054	203,434	+10,782	+20,380
Planning and design	19,914	6,499	6,499	-13,415	.....
Subtotal, construction	417,235	304,400	334,780	-82,455	+30,380
Operation and maintenance	880,696	918,095	910,095	+29,399	-8,000
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-1,071	.....	.....	+1,071	.....
Subtotal, operation and maintenance	879,625	918,095	910,095	+30,470	-8,000
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	20,300	.....	.....	-20,300	.....
Total, Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	1,317,160	1,222,495	1,244,875	-72,285	+22,380
Family housing, Air Force:					
New construction	71,857	140,800	140,800	+68,943	.....
Construction improvements	173,663	352,879	370,879	+197,216	+18,000
Planning and design	12,732	24,558	24,558	+11,826	.....
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-6,839	.....	.....	+6,839	.....
Subtotal, construction	251,413	518,237	536,237	+284,824	+18,000
Operation and maintenance	824,453	869,121	858,121	+33,668	-11,000
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-5,392	.....	.....	+5,392	.....
Subtotal, operation and maintenance	819,061	869,121	858,121	+39,060	-11,000
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	13,625	.....	.....	-13,625	.....
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,084,099	1,387,358	1,394,358	+310,259	+7,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002—Continued
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Family housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction improvements	.....	250	250	+250	.....
Operation and maintenance	44,787	43,762	43,762	-1,025	.....
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	44,787	44,012	44,012	-775	.....
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	.....	2,000	2,000	+2,000	.....
Homeowners assistance fund, Defense	.....	10,119	10,119	+10,119	.....
Total, Family housing	3,657,618	4,066,517	4,085,837	+428,219	+19,320
New construction	(441,985)	(314,847)	(327,347)	(-114,638)	(+12,500)
Construction improvements	(429,765)	(756,933)	(795,313)	(+365,548)	(+38,380)
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	(-6,839)	.....	.....	(+6,839)	.....
Planning and design	(39,174)	(42,649)	(42,649)	(+3,475)	.....
General reduction	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Operation and maintenance	(2,779,907)	(2,939,969)	(2,908,409)	(+128,502)	(-31,560)
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	(-26,374)	.....	.....	(+26,374)	.....
Family Housing Improvement Fund	.....	(2,000)	(2,000)	(+2,000)	.....
Homeowners Assistance Fund	.....	(10,119)	(10,119)	(+10,119)	.....
Base realignment and closure accounts:					
Part IV	1,022,115	532,200	552,713	-469,402	+20,513
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	9,000	.....	.....	-9,000	.....
Total	1,031,115	532,200	552,713	-478,402	+20,513

GENERAL PROVISIONS					
General provision (sec. 129)	-100,000	.....	.....	+100,000	.....
Foreign currency account (sec. 132)	-83,000	.....	.....	+83,000	.....
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority	8,936,498	9,971,312	10,500,000	+1,563,502	+528,688
Appropriations	(8,938,898)	(9,971,312)	(10,567,163)	(+1,628,265)	(+595,851)
Rescissions	(-2,400)	.....	(-67,163)	(-64,763)	(-67,163)

O