AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

Calendar No. 479

107TH CONGRESS, 2d Session | SENATE | REPORT 107–202

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 2003

JULY 3, 2002.—Ordered to be printed

Filed, under the authority of the order of the Senate of June 26, 2002

Mrs. FEINSTEIN, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 2709]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 2709) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

Total of bill as reported to Senate	$10,622,000,000
Amount of 2003 budget estimate	9,664,041,000
Amount of 2002 appropriations	10,604,400,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 2003	957,959,000
Over appropriations for fiscal year 2002	17,600,000

80–489 PDF

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	9
Committee recommendation	9
Accrual funding of retirement costs and post-retirement health benefits	9
Items of special interest	11
Military construction, Army	17
Military construction, Navy	18
Military construction, Air Force	19
Military construction, Defense-wide	20
Military construction, Reserve components	23
NATO Security Investment Program	26
Family housing overview	27
Family housing, Army	27
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	28
Family housing, Air Force	29
Family housing, Defense-wide	31
Family housing improvement fund	31
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	32
General provisions	34
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	35
Compliance with paragraph 7(c), rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	35
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	36
Project listing by location	36

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling $10,622,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2003 request.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2002 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (−) compared with—	
				2002 enacted	Budget estimate
Military construction, Army	1,778,256	1,450,438	1,456,747	−321,509	+6,309
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)		222,465	222,465	+222,465	
Rescission	−36,400		−13,676	+22,724	−13,676
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 107–117)	20,700			−20,700	
Total	1,762,556	1,672,903	1,665,536	−97,020	−7,367
Military construction, Navy	1,144,221	884,661	995,913	−148,308	+111,252
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)		220,730	220,730	+220,730	
Rescission	−19,588		−1,340	+18,248	−1,340
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 107–117)	2,000			−2,000	
Total	1,126,633	1,105,391	1,215,303	+88,670	+109,912
Military construction, Air Force	1,194,880	644,090	987,320	−207,560	+343,230
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)		190,597	188,297	+188,297	−2,300
Rescission	−4,000		−10,281	−6,281	−10,281
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 107–117)	46,700			−46,700	
Total	1,237,580	834,687	1,165,336	−72,244	+330,649
Military construction, Defense-wide	840,558	740,535	895,942	+55,384	+155,407
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)		31,300	31,300	+31,300	
Rescissions	−69,280		−2,976	+66,304	−2,976
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 107–117)	35,000			−35,000	
Total	806,278	771,835	924,266	+117,988	+152,431
Total, Active components	4,933,047	4,384,816	4,970,441	+37,394	+585,625
Military construction, Army National Guard	405,565	101,595	208,482	−197,083	+106,887
Military construction, Air National Guard	253,386	53,473	209,055	−44,331	+155,582
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)		8,933	8,933	+8,933	

Total	253,386	62,406	217,988	−35,398	+155,582
Military construction, Army Reserve	167,019	58,779	66,487	−100,532	+7,708
Military construction, Naval Reserve	53,201	51,554	51,554	−1,647	.....
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)	.....	7,117	7,117	+7,117	.....
Rescission	−925	.....	.....	+925	.....
Total	52,276	58,671	58,671	+6,395	.....
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	74,857	31,900	54,633	−20,224	+22,733
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)	.....	6,076	3,576	+3,576	−2,500
Total	74,857	37,976	58,209	−16,648	+20,233
Total, Reserve components	953,103	319,427	609,837	−343,266	+290,410
Total, Military construction	5,886,150	4,704,243	5,580,278	−305,872	+876,035
Appropriations	(5,911,943)	(4,017,025)	(4,926,133)	(−985,810)	(+909,108)
Defense emergency response fund	.....	(687,218)	(682,418)	(+682,418)	(−4,800)
Emergency appropriations	(104,400)	.....	.....	(−104,400)	.....
Rescissions	(−130,193)	.....	(−28,273)	(+101,920)	(−28,273)
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	162,600	168,200	168,200	+5,600	.....
Family housing, Army:					
Construction	312,742	283,346	282,856	−29,886	−490
Rescission	.....	.....	−4,920	−4,920	−4,920
Operation and maintenance	1,089,573	1,119,007	1,119,007	+29,434	.....
Total, Family housing, Army	1,402,315	1,402,353	1,396,943	−5,372	−5,410
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
Construction	331,780	375,700	374,468	+42,688	−1,232
Rescission	.....	.....	−2,652	−2,652	−2,652
Operation and maintenance	910,095	867,788	867,788	−42,307	.....
Total, Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	1,241,875	1,243,488	1,239,604	−2,271	−3,884
Family housing, Air Force:					
Construction	550,703	676,694	676,694	+125,991	.....
Rescission	.....	.....	−8,782	−8,782	−8,782
Operation and maintenance	844,715	844,419	844,419	−296	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2002 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (−) compared with—	
				2002 enacted	Budget estimate
Defense emergency response fund (DERF)	.....	29,631	29,631	+29,631	.....
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,395,418	1,550,744	1,541,962	+146,544	−8,782
Family housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction	250	5,480	5,480	+5,230	.....
Operation and maintenance	43,762	42,395	42,395	−1,367	.....
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	44,012	47,875	47,875	+3,863	.....
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	2,000	2,000	.....	.....
Homeowners assistance fund, Defense	10,119	.....	.....	−10,119	.....
(By transfer)	(7,730)	.....	.....	(−7,730)	.....
Total, Family housing	4,095,739	4,246,460	4,228,384	+132,645	−18,076
Base realignment and closure account	632,713	545,138	645,138	+12,425	+100,000
(Transfer out)	(−7,730)	.....	.....	(+7,730)	.....
Total	632,713	545,138	645,138	+12,425	+100,000
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
General provision (sec. 130)	−60,000	.....	.....	+60,000	.....
General provision (sec. 132)	−112,802	.....	.....	+112,802	.....
Total, General provisions	−172,802	.....	.....	+172,802	.....
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority	10,604,400	9,664,041	10,622,000	+17,600	+957,959
Appropriations	(10,630,193)	(8,947,192)	(9,954,578)	(−675,615)	(+1,007,386)
Defense emergency response fund	.....	(716,849)	(712,049)	(+712,049)	(−4,800)
Emergency appropriations	(104,400)	.....	.....	(−104,400)	.....
Rescissions	(−130,193)	.....	(−44,627)	(+85,566)	(−44,627)

(Transfer out)	(−7,730)	.....	.....	(+7,730)	.....
(By transfer)	(7,730)	.....	.....	(−7,730)	.....
SUMMARY OF APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNTS					
Military Construction, Army	1,762,556	1,672,903	1,665,536	−97,020	−7,367
Military Construction, Navy	1,126,633	1,105,391	1,215,303	+88,670	+109,912
Military Construction, Air Force	1,237,580	834,687	1,165,336	−72,244	+330,649
Military Construction, Defense-wide	806,278	771,835	924,266	+117,988	+152,431
Total, Active components	4,933,047	4,384,816	4,970,441	+37,394	+585,625
Military Construction, Army National Guard	405,565	101,595	208,482	−197,083	+106,887
Military Construction, Air National Guard	253,386	62,406	217,988	−35,398	+155,582
Military Construction, Army Reserve	167,019	58,779	66,487	−100,532	+7,708
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	52,276	58,671	58,671	+6,395	.....
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	74,857	37,976	58,209	−16,648	+20,233
Total, Reserve components	953,103	319,427	609,837	−343,266	+290,410
Total, Military Construction	5,886,150	4,704,243	5,580,278	−305,872	+876,035
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	162,600	168,200	168,200	+5,600	.....
Family Housing, Army:					
Construction	312,742	283,346	277,936	−34,806	−5,410
Operation and Maintenance	1,089,573	1,119,007	1,119,007	+29,434	.....
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
Construction	331,780	375,700	371,816	+40,036	−3,884
Operation and Maintenance	910,095	867,788	867,788	−42,307	.....
Family Housing, Air Force:					
Construction	550,703	676,694	667,912	+117,209	−8,782
Operation and Maintenance	844,715	874,050	874,050	+29,335	.....
Family Housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction	250	5,480	5,480	+5,230	.....
Operation and Maintenance	43,762	42,395	42,395	−1,367	.....
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	2,000	2,000	.....	.....
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	10,119	.....	.....	−10,119	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2002 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (−) compared with—	
				2002 enacted	Budget estimate
Total, Family Housing	4,095,739	4,246,460	4,228,384	+132,645	−18,076
Base Realignment and Closure Account	632,713	545,138	645,138	+12,425	+100,000
General Provisions	−172,802			+172,802	
Grand Total	10,604,400	9,664,041	10,622,000	+17,600	+957,959

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation [1]	Amount of bill	Committee allocation [1]	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees, fiscal year 2003: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
Discretionary	10,622	10,622	10,122	[2] 10,120
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2003				[3] 2,771
2004				4,025
2005				2,370
2006				836
2007 and future years				576
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2003	NA		NA	

[1] Levels approved by the Committee on June 26, 2002.
[2] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
[3] Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2003 appropriations of $10,622,000,000. This is $957,959,000 over the budget request, and $17,600,000 over the appropriations for fiscal year 2002. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Items of special interest," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ACCRUAL FUNDING OF RETIREMENT COSTS AND POST-RETIREMENT HEALTH BENEFITS

The President's Budget included a legislative proposal under the jurisdiction of the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs to charge to individual agencies, starting in fiscal year 2003, the fully accrued costs related to retirement benefits of Civil Service Retirement System employees and retiree health benefits for all civilian employees. The Budget also requested an additional dollar amount in each affected discretionary account to cover these accrued costs.

The authorizing committee has not acted on this legislation, therefore the Senate Appropriations Committee has reduced the dollar amounts of the President's request shown in the "Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority Request and Amounts

Recommended in the Bill", as well as in other tables in this report, to exclude the accrual funding proposal.

The Committee further notes that administration proposals requiring legislative action by the authorizing committees of Congress are customarily submitted in the budget as separate schedules apart from the regular appropriations requests. Should such a proposal be enacted, a budget amendment formally modifying the President's appropriation request for discretionary funding is subsequently transmitted to the Congress.

The Senate Appropriations Committee joins with the House Appropriations Committee in raising concern that this practice, which has always worked effectively for both Congress and past administrations, was not followed for the accrual funding proposal. In this case, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) decided to include accrual amounts in the original discretionary appropriations language request. These amounts are based on legislation that has yet to be considered and approved by the appropriate committees of Congress. This led to numerous misunderstandings both inside and outside of Congress of what was the "true" President's budget request. The Committee believes that, in the future, OMB should follow long-established procedures with respect to discretionary spending proposals that require legislative action.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held hearings on the fiscal year 2003 budget request during March 2002. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the military services and defense agencies concerning fiscal year 2003 budget priorities and base realignment and closure issues.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 2003 reflects a decrease of $940,359,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 2002.

The Committee recommends an additional $957,959,000 above the fiscal year 2003 budget request. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 2003 is $10,622,000,000, an increase of $17,600,000 from fiscal year 2002 funding.

The Committee recommendation includes a total of $712,049,000 transferred to military construction accounts from the Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF), which was included as part of the budget submission for the Department of Defense Appropriations bill. The President's request for DERF military construction projects totals $716,849,000. This includes $594,384,000 that was submitted as part of the original request, and an additional $122,465,000 in DERF military construction requirements that were identified by the Army subsequent to the submission of the budget. On the recommendation of the Department of Defense, the Committee included the entire $716,849,000 as part of the President's budget request. The Committee concurs with the recommendation of the Department that the military construction projects in the DERF should be transferred to the Military Construction Appropriations bill, and believes that the entire $716,849,000 should be treated as part of the President's budget request.

The Committee is disappointed in the overall levels of the fiscal year 2003 budget request for military construction. After a promising spike in the request for military construction funding in fiscal year 2002, the fiscal year 2003 budget request represents a sharp decline in funding for all accounts with the exception of housing accounts. Particularly hard hit are the Guard and Reserve accounts. The Committee agrees with the Department that infrastructure is a key component of readiness and remains concerned about the condition and adequacy of facilities and infrastructure at U.S. military installations in the United States and overseas. The Committee believes that the fiscal year 2003 budget request did not adequately address infrastructure requirements, and adjusted its recommendation accordingly.

TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE

Recognizing the increased requirements that have been placed on America's military forces as a result of the global war on terror, the Committee is recommending the establishment of a transformation funding initiative to accelerate the transformation and modernization programs of the Army and Air Force. The initiative provides $100,000,000 to the Army for infrastructure requirements related to the establishment of Interim Brigade Combat Teams (IBCT) and $100,000,000 to the Air Force for infrastructure requirements related to the C–17 Air Mobility Modernization Program.

Senior Army officials testified during the Department of Defense appropriations subcommittee hearings in March that the military construction funding currently identified for transformation was not sufficient to meet the Army's time line for the IBCTs to be trained and operational. Air Force officials have likewise identified a potentially serious shortfall in airlift capability.

The war on terror has placed urgent new demands on all elements of the military. Timetables for Army and Air Force transformation developed prior to September 11 are no longer sufficient. The Committee believes that it is imperative to accelerate the Army and Air Force transformation programs, and has therefore provided this funding to meet the infrastructure requirements associated with transformation. The funds are appropriated specifically to support the infrastructure requirements of the Army's IBCTs and the Air Force's Air Mobility modernization program, including the beddown of C–17, C–5, and C–130 aircraft. It is the intent of the Committee that the Army and the Air Force have the discretion to determine how these funds will be allocated in support of transformation, subject to notification of the congressional defense committees 15 days prior to the obligation of funds.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Committee strongly supports the authorization-appropriation process. However, the Committee has reported the appropriation bill prior to completion of the authorization process. Therefore, the Committee has provided construction funds for specific projects which were included in the Senate-reported version of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2003 and projects subject to authorization.

REPROGRAMMING RULES/CRITERIA

The following rules apply for all military construction and family housing reprogrammings. A project or account (including the subelements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the appropriation request is considered to be a congressional interest item. A prior approval reprogramming is required for any increase to an item that has been specifically reduced by the Congress. Accordingly, no below threshold reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or $2,000,000 whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and

to improvements over $2,000,000. To provide the individual Services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where a prior approval reprogramming request for a project or account has been approved by the Committee, the amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item).

TRANSFER AUTHORITY

The budget request proposed a general provision which would allow the transfer of up to $70,000,000 between any accounts in the bill, and this could be accomplished at the determination of the Secretary of Defense and upon the approval of OMB. Congress would be given an "after the fact" notification. The Committee continues to believe that the existing reprogramming procedures are sufficient in solving urgent, high priority funding problems within available resources and denies this request.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included $645,138,000 for the "Base realignment and closure" account, an increase of $100,000,000 over the budget request. The additional funding is provided to undertake a BRAC Environmental Cleanup Acceleration Initiative to address the backlog of urgent environmental remediation requirements at bases that have been closed or realigned.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 2003 budget request includes $1,157,990,000 to construct or modernize 46 barracks projects.

The Committee recommends $1,150,690,000 for barracks construction projects in fiscal year 2003, a decrease of $7,300,000 from the budget request.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Location/Project	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Alaska: Fort Richardson Barracks Complex (144 spaces)	$21,000,000	$21,000,000
Colorado: Fort Carson Barracks Complex (336 spaces)	42,000,000	42,000,000
Georgia: Fort Benning Barracks Complex (492 spaces)	45,000,000	45,000,000
Hawaii:		
Schofield Barracks Complex (200 spaces)	49,000,000	49,000,000
Schofield Barracks Complex (100 spaces)	42,000,000	42,000,000
Kansas: Fort Riley Barracks Complex (312 spaces)	41,000,000	41,000,000
Kentucky: Fort Campbell Barracks Complex (300 spaces)	49,000,000	49,000,000
Maryland: Fort Detrick Barracks Complex (144 spaces)	16,000,000	16,000,000

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

Location/Project	Request	Recommended
North Carolina:		
Fort Bragg Barracks Complex (336 spaces)	50,000,000	50,000,000
Fort Bragg Barracks Complex (320 spaces)	50,000,000	50,000,000
Texas: Fort Hood Barracks Complex (480 spaces)	45,000,000	45,000,000
Washington: Fort Lewis Barracks Complex (500 spaces)	50,000,000	50,000,000
Belgium: Barracks Complex-Chievres (136 spaces)	13,600,000	13,600,000
Germany:		
Bamberg Barracks Complex (124 spaces)	10,200,000	10,200,000
Grafenwoehr Brigade Complex-Barracks (179 spaces)	13,200,000	13,200,000
Mannheim Barracks Complex (336 spaces)	42,000,000	42,000,000
Italy: Vicenza Barracks Complex (300 spaces)	31,000,000	31,000,000
Korea:		
Camp Carroll Barracks Complex (464 spaces)	20,000,000	20,000,000
Camp Hovey Barracks Complex (232 spaces)	25,000,000	25,000,000
Camp Humphreys Barracks Complex (400 spaces)	36,000,000	36,000,000
Camp Henry Barracks Complex (232 spaces)	10,200,000	10,200,000
K16 Airfield Barracks Complex (464 spaces)	40,000,000	40,000,000
Subtotal, Army	741,200,000	741,200,000
Navy:		
California:		
Camp Pendleton Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (400 spaces)	23,230,000	23,230,000
Twentynine Palms Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (384 spaces)	25,770,000	25,770,000
Illinois:		
Great Lakes Recruit Barracks (1,056 spaces)	43,360,000	38,360,000
Great Lakes Recruit Barracks (1,056 spaces)	41,740,000	36,740,000
Maryland: Andrews AFB Replacement BQ (120 spaces)	9,680,000	9,680,000
Mississippi: Pascagoula Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (100 spaces)	.....	10,500,000
Virginia:		
Quantico Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (200 spaces)	10,280,000	10,280,000
Quantico Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (100 spaces)	5,040,000	5,040,000
Yorktown Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (168 spaces)	15,020,000	15,020,000
Norfolk Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (500 spaces)	37,310,000	37,310,000
Washington: Bremerton Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (264 spaces)	35,120,000	35,120,000
Greece: Larissa Bachelor Enlisted Quarters & Support Facility (40 spaces)	14,800,000	.....
Guam: Mariana Island Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (72 spaces)	13,400,000	13,400,000
Subtotal, Navy & Marine Corps	274,750,000	260,450,000
Air Force:		
Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB Dormitory (120 spaces)	9,110,000	9,110,000
Florida: Hurlburt Field Dormitory (144 spaces)	9,000,000	9,000,000
Louisiana: Barksdale AFB Dormitory (168 spaces)	10,900,000	10,900,000
Mississippi: Keesler AFB Student Dormitory (200 spaces)	22,000,000	22,000,000
Nevada: Nellis AFB Dormitory (144 spaces)	12,280,000	12,280,000
New Mexico: Kirtland AFB Visiting Officers/Airmen Quarters (96 spaces)	.....	8,400,000
North Carolina: Pope AFB Dormitory (144 spaces)	9,700,000	9,700,000
Texas:		
Lackland AFB Student Dormitory (200 spaces)	18,500,000	18,500,000
Sheppard AFB Dormitory (144 spaces)	10,000,000	10,000,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB Dormitory (144 spaces)	10,400,000	.....
Virginia: Langley AFB Dormitory (96 spaces)	8,320,000	8,320,000
Korea: Osan AB Dormitory (156 spaces)	15,100,000	15,100,000
Subtotal, Air Force	135,310,000	133,310,000
Reserve Components:		
Naval Reserve:		
Georgia: Atlanta Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (92 spaces)	6,730,000	6,730,000
Air Force Reserve:		
New York: Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, Visiting Officers Quarters, Phase 1 (300 spaces)	.....	9,000,000

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

Location/Project	Request	Recommended
Subtotal, Reserve Components	6,730,000	15,730,000

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under Operations and Maintenance account funding:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or code.

Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of $7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The fiscal year 2003 budget request includes $10,700,000 for two child development center projects. The Committee recommends $25,730,000 for a total of four projects.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 2003:

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Kentucky: Ft. Knox		$6,800,000
Germany: Bamberg	$7,000,000	7,000,000
Italy: Vicenza	3,700,000	3,700,000
Navy/Marine Corps: California: Camp Pendleton		8,230,000
Total	10,700,000	25,730,000

FOREIGN CURRENCY SAVINGS

The following table reflects foreign currency savings identified by the Department of Defense. The Committee recommends reductions to the specific appropriations identified in the table in those amounts, for a total of $44,627,000.

Account	*Amount*
Military Construction:	
Army	−$13,676,000
Navy	−1,340,000
Air Force	−10,281,000

Account	*Amount*
Defense-wide	−2,976,000
Family Housing, Army	−4,920,000
Family Housing, Navy	−2,652,000
Family Housing, Air Force	−8,782,000
Total	−44,627,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2002	$1,762,556,000
Budget estimate, 2003	1,672,903,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,665,536,000

The Committee recommends $1,665,536,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2003. This is a decrease of $7,367,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 2003. This also reflects the realignment of the Chemical Demilitarization Program responsibility from the Army. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2003 as practical:

Training & UAV Maintenance Support Facility, Donnelly Training Area, AK.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) First Responder Training Facility, Fort Leonard Wood, MO.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that $500,000 be made available for the design of this facility. Additionally, the Committee agrees that this project addresses an urgent, mission critical, and homeland security requirement and strongly encourages the Army to advance this project into the fiscal year 2004 budget request.

Storage Igloo Upgrade, Letterkenny Depot, PA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that $390,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Vehicle Maintenance Facility, Camp Bullis, TX.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than $900,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Military Police Emergency Vehicle Storage Facility, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Military Police Emergency Vehicle Storage Facility, Fort Richardson, AK.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Kinetic Energy Missile Complex, White Sands Missile Range, NM.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that $1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Training Facility, Corpus Christi Army Depot, TX.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army" account, the Committee directs that $925,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2002	$1,126,633,000
Budget estimate, 2003	1,105,391,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,215,303,000

The Committee recommends $1,215,303,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2003. This amount is an increase of $109,912,000 from the fiscal year 2003 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2003 as practical:

Base Main Entrance & Gate, Naval Air Station, North Island, CA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than $410,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Replace Fuel Farm, NAS Kingsville, TX.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,000,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Force Protection Facility, Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, TX.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Navy" account, the Committee directs that $1,450,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

UAV Facility, Fallon Naval Air Station, NV.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Navy" account, the Committee directs that $1,475,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Aircraft Prototype Facility, Patuxent River, MD.—The Committee provided $1,450,000 within the "Navy" account for the planning and design of this facility in fiscal year 2002. Due to recent base consolidations, Patuxent Naval Air Station is the only installation capable of conducting air combat survivability enhancement programs that are critical to national security. The Committee supports the construction of this facility and strongly urges the Navy to complete the planning and design as expeditiously as possible, and to fund the construction of this project in the President's fiscal year 2004 budget.

Turner Field House, U.S. Naval Academy, MD.—The Committee recognizes that physical fitness is a key component of the comprehensive training programs at military academies. Last year, the Committee provided $2,700,000 for planning and design within the "Navy" account for the Turner Field House to address a critical shortage of indoor physical education space at the U.S. Naval Academy. The Committee urges the Navy to complete the planning and design of this facility as quickly as possible, and to advance the project into the President's fiscal year 2004 budget.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2002	$1,237,580,000
Budget estimate, 2003	834,687,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,165,336,000

The Committee recommends $1,165,336,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2003. This is an increase of $330,649,000 from the fiscal year 2003 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2003 as practical:

Wide-Body Aircraft Hangar, Elmendorf AFB, AK.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $2,700,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Replace C–5 Squadron Operations Facility/Aircraft Maintenance Unit, Travis AFB, CA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $864,000 be made available for the design of this facility. The Committee notes that this critical project was within the scope of the Air Force's fiscal year 2002 Future Years Defense Plan, and urges the Air Force to advance the project into the President's fiscal year 2004 budget so that it can be undertaken without further delay.

Control Tower, Dover Air Force Base, DE.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $675,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

B–1B Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility, McConnell AFB, KS.—The Committee notes the additional capacity the 184th Air Refueling Wing at McConnell Air Force Base may need as it prepares to take on more B–1B engine repair workload consistent with the agreement reached last year between the State of Kansas and the Air Force concerning the B–1B consolidation and reduction initiative. B–1B propulsion work is currently performed in four separate buildings, resulting in the loss of over 10,000 man hours and lost production of 33 engines per year. Accordingly, the Committee urges the Air National Guard and the Air Force to include the B–1B Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) in the fiscal year 2004 Military Construction Budget Request.

Fire/Crash Rescue Station/Control Tower, Otis ANG, MA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,700,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Tri-Service Research Facility, Brooks AFB, TX.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air Force" account, the Committee directs that $1,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Railroad Crossing Gates, Laughlin AFB, TX.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $220,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

Replace & Bury Electrical Infrastructure, Lackland AFB, TX.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the

"Air Force" account, the Committee directs that $900,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2002	$806,278,000
Budget estimate, 2003	771,835,000
Committee recommendation (including rescissions)	924,266,000

The Committee recommends $924,266,000 for projects considered within the "Defense-wide" account. The amount recommended is an increase of $152,431,000 from the fiscal year 2003 budget request. This increase reflects the realignment of the Chemical Demilitarization Program responsibility to this appropriation. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION

The budget request identified a requirement of $167,631,000 for the construction of chemical weapons demilitarization facilities in fiscal year 2003. The Committee recommends a total of $159,250,000 for this program. The Committee is aware of ongoing efforts by the Department of Defense to accelerate the destruction of chemical agents and weapons in order to enhance the safety of the American public and comply with the requirements of the Chemical Weapons Convention. The Committee encourages the Defense Department to continue to explore innovative and cost-saving procedures to accelerate the process of destroying these weapons and agents while maintaining stringent public and environmental safety standards.

In the aftermath of September 11, the protection of chemical weapons stockpiles has required the call up of approximately 1,000 National Guard troops to protect chemical weapons facilities in the United States. In addition to putting a strain on National Guard resources, the call up of these forces underscores the potential vulnerability of these sites to terrorist attack. It will be to the benefit of the Nation to complete the chemical demilitarization program as quickly as possible, and ahead of schedule if at all possible.

The Committee is particularly supportive of the Department's initiative to speed up the neutralization of stockpiled chemical agents at Aberdeen, Maryland, and Newport, Indiana. If executed as planned, the neutralization initiative could be completed at Aberdeen in September 2003, a full 43 months ahead of schedule and at a cost avoidance of $216,000,000. At Newport, the neutralization initiative could be completed as early as March 2004, 57 months ahead of schedule at an estimated cost avoidance of $530,000,000. The Department is currently awaiting guidance, dependent on the availability of supplemental funding, as to whether it will be able to proceed this summer with the neutralization initiative at Aberdeen and Newport. If the neutralization program goes forward, the Department may not require the completion of the chemical agent destruction facilities currently under construction at Aberdeen and Newport, and therefore would not require the same allocation of military construction funds as requested in the fiscal year 2003 budget. For this reason, the Committee is recommending a non-

prejudicial 5 percent across-the-board reduction in funding for the fiscal year 2003 chemical demilitarization program, with the intention of reevaluating program requirements during conference with the House.

Substantial investment has already been made in facilities at Newport and Aberdeen. If these structures are not needed for chemical demilitarization, the Committee expects the Department to maintain them until they can be converted to usable facilities. To this end, the Committee directs the Department to provide a report to the congressional defense committees no later than January 30, 2003, on alternative uses for the Aberdeen and Newport facilities if they are no longer required for chemical demilitarization.

State/installation/project	Request	Recommended
Arkansas: Pine Bluff Arsenal, Ammunition Demolition Shop	$18,937,000	$17,990,000
Colorado: Pueblo Depot Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Ph 4	38,000,000	36,100,000
Indiana: Newport Army Ammunition Plant, Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Ph 5	61,494,000	58,420,000
Kentucky:		
Blue Grass Army Depot, Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Ph 3	10,300,000	9,785,000
Blue Grass Army Depot, Ammunition Demilitarization Support Ph 3	8,300,000	7,885,000
Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Ph 5	30,600,000	29,070,000
Total	167,631,000	159,250,000

The budget request proposes that these amounts should be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Army" account. As in prior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account, in order to facilitate the tracking of expenses for the Chemical Demilitarization Program, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army's military construction program.

The Committee believes that the focus on this program must remain at the Office of the Secretary of the Defense rather than at the service level and directs the Department to submit the fiscal year 2004 budget accordingly.

The following chart displays the scope of the military construction investment in the overall chemical demilitarization program:

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS

[In millions of dollars]

	2001 and prior	Fiscal year—						Total
		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	
Tooele	198.0							198.0
Anniston	189.0							189.0
Umatilla Depot	11.2							11.2
Umatilla Facility	191.6							191.6
Pine Bluff Fixed Facility			18.0					18.0
Pine Bluff Depot	10.0							10.0
Pine Bluff Facility	151.4	26.0						177.4
Pueblo Depot	6.3							6.3
Pueblo Facility	10.7	11.0	36.1	105.2	66.0	30.1		259.1
Blue Grass Depot	2.7		7.9					10.6
Blue Grass Facility		3.0	9.8	71.5	59.6	65.4	51.8	261.1
Aberdeen CTDF	16.1							16.1
Aberdeen Depot	1.9							1.9
Aberdeen Facility	125.7	61.5	29.1					216.3
Newport Depot	2.0							2.0
Newport Facility	81.8	61.0	58.4	11.0	54.9	20.1	1.9	289.1

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS—Continued

[In millions of dollars]

	2001 and prior	Fiscal year—						Total
		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	
MAPS [1] Facility	3.1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3.1
Planning & Design	114.5	15.0	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	129.5
Total	1,116.0	177.5	159.3	187.7	180.5	115.6	53.7	1,990.3

[1] MAPS (NSCMP) Munitions Assessment and Processing System (Non-Stockpile Chemical Material Program).

MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2003 budget request includes $164,741,000 for four major construction projects plus planning and design, unspecified minor construction, and contractor claims to provide hospital and medical facilities. The Committee recommends a total of $169,741,000, which fully funds the budget request and provides additional planning and design funding.

Location/project title	Request	Recommended
Alaska: Ft. Wainwright, Hospital Replacement (Phase IV)	$53,000,000	$53,000,000
Hawaii: Hickam AFB, Life Skills Clinic Replacement	2,700,000	2,700,000
Germany: Spangdahlem, Hospital Replacement	39,629,000	39,629,000
Italy: Naples Medical/Dental Facility Replacement	41,449,000	41,449,000
Total	136,778,000	136,778,000

Biomedical Center, Tripler Army Hospital, Honolulu, HI.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Defense-wide Tricare Management Activity" account, the Committee directs that not less than $2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Health Clinic, Grafenwoehr, Germany.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Defense-wide, Tricare Management Activity" account, the Committee directs that $1,000,000 be made available for planning and design to expand and alter the existing facility at Grafenwoehr to accommodate the Army's Efficient Basing East initiative.

INTELLIGENCE UPGRADES

The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence, is conducting an ongoing assessment of U.S. intelligence gathering capabilities and requirements. The events of September 11 have placed added emphasis on the urgency and importance of this assessment. Preliminary study results have identified a serious shortfall in the future data archiving capability associated with U.S. Measurement and Signature Intelligence. The study indicates that a distributed data archiving capability is needed to address this shortfall. Such capability would provide data backup protection and allow for improved cross-disciplinary and trend analysis. This capability would complement and enhance the missions of existing Measurement and Signature Intelligence centers in the United States by providing enhanced archiving and networking capability. Preliminary results of the as-

sessment indicate that a backup data archiving facility as well as equipment and infrastructure upgrades at existing centers could address the anticipated shortfall in capability. The study is scheduled to be completed on August 15, 2002. Because of the new demands placed on the Nation's intelligence gathering resources as a result of the events of September 11, the Committee believes that the Department of Defense should have the resources available to move forward immediately with planning and design for needed upgrades if the final conclusions of the assessment bear out the preliminary findings. To avoid unnecessary delay pending completion of the study, the Committee has reserved $2,000,000 within the "Defense-wide planning and design, undistributed" account to be available immediately for planning and design if the study determines that such intelligence upgrades are needed.

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided $10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense "Contingency construction" account in accordance with the budget request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided in the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends the full budget request of $49,531,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP).

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 2002	$953,103,000
Budget estimate, 2003	319,427,000
Committee recommendation	609,837,000

The Committee recommends $609,837,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is $290,410,000 above the fiscal year 2003 budget request. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENT

Component	Request	Recommended
Army National Guard	$101,595,000	$208,482,000
Air National Guard	62,406,000	217,988,000
Army Reserve	58,779,000	66,487,000
Naval Reserve	58,671,000	58,671,000
Air Force Reserve	37,976,000	58,209,000
Total	319,427,000	609,837,000

The Committee has added funding for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives. The Committee recommendation also provides additional funding over the budget request for minor construction activities for the Reserve components.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2003 as practical:

Readiness Center, Bethel, AK.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, New Haven, CT.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,400,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Joint Readiness Center, Haleyville, AL.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,100,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Relocation of Hawaii Army National Guard, Barbers Point Naval Air Station, HI.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $2,000,000 be made available for the design of these facilities.

Readiness Center, Clarksdale, MS.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $300,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, St. Peters, MO.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

AVCRAD, Aviation Classification Repair Activity Depot, Springfield, MO.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,200,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MATES—Equipment Maintenance Site, Fort Drum, NY.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,527,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, Henderson, NV.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $850,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Barracks, Chillicothe, OH.—Of the funds provided for minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,497,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Readiness Center STARC, Rapid City, SD.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,200,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Organizational Maintenance Shop Consolidation, Pierre, SD.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army Na-

tional Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $300,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Federal Scout Readiness Centers, Alakanuk, Quinhagak, & Kwigillingok, AK.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,500,000 be made available for the construction of these facilities.

Post Engineer Maintenance Facility, Ft. Harrison, MT.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,473,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Weapons of Mass Destruction/Civil Support Teams.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $2,500,000 be made available for construction to support the completion of the WMD/CST facilities.

Armed Forces Reserve Center/Organizational Maintenance Shop, Bedford, Hanscom AFB, MA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army Reserve" account, the Committee directs that $2,568,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Eau Claire, WI.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Army Reserve" account, the Committee directs that $927,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Replace Pararescue Training Complex, Kulis ANG Base, AK.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $700,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Munitions Complex, Gulfport, MS.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $700,000 be made available for the design of these facilities.

Security Complex, Reno, NV.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $900,000 be made available for the design of these facilities.

C–5 Support Facilities, Martinsburg Air National Guard Base, WV.—Of the funds provided for planning and design within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $3,000,000 be made available for the design of these facilities.

Warehouse 912 Renovation, Lackland AFB, TX.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Air Force Reserve" account, the Committee directs that not less than $800,000 be made available for the renovation of this facility.

Multiple Threat Emitter System (MUTES) Facility, Smoky Hill Range, KS.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,000,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Bachelor Officer/Enlisted Quarters, Fort Meade, SD.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,500,000 be made available for the construction of these quarters.

Ammunition Supply Point, Camp Grafton, ND.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that $1,499,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2002	$162,600,000
Budget estimate, 2003	168,200,000
Committee recommendation	168,200,000

The Committee has provided $168,200,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] Security Investment Program for fiscal year 2003, fully funding the budget request.

The Committee continues the requirement that no funds will be used for projects (including planning and design) related to the enlargement of NATO and the Partnership for Peace program, unless Congress is notified 21 days in advance of the obligation of funds. In addition, the Committee's intent is that section 122 of the General Provisions shall apply to this program.

The Department of Defense is directed to identify separately the level of effort anticipated for NATO enlargement and for Partnership for Peace for that fiscal year in future budget justifications.

NATO INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee is concerned about the recent increase in the number and levels of headquarters associated with the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). The military command structure now consists of three levels of commands-strategic, regional and sub-regional. The Committee recently learned that NATO plans to spend over a half billion dollars to upgrade and modernize these military command headquarters. This places a tremendous financial burden on the NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP) as well as the U.S. military services which must provide supporting personnel and funding for associated barracks, family housing and quality of life facilities at each of these headquarters. These military construction projects compete directly with projects that support U.S. missions at home and overseas. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that proposed funding for three U.S. projects relating to the sub-regional NATO headquarters in Madrid, Spain and Larrisa, Greece not be approved.

KOREA LAND PARTNERSHIP PLAN

The Committee supports the Department's efforts to consolidate its presence in the Republic of Korea and align installations with future requirements. The Korea Land Partnership Plan (LPP) appears to offer a strategy to help reduce U.S. military infrastructure. However, the Committee continues to be concerned about several aspects of the plan, which commits both the Department of Defense and Government of South Korea to make major infrastructure investments over many years. For example, the plan calls for significantly increasing the number of accompanied tours in South Korea. Further, the Committee understands that there are land exchanges currently under consideration, which fall outside the LPP. The plan

also does not address potential force structure changes that might be envisioned over the next 10 years and their impact on overall infrastructure requirements.

The Committee requests that the General Accounting Office review the LPP to provide the Congress with a better understanding of the plan, associated costs, burden sharing implications and other related factors that may not be addressed in the plan. This report should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than March 15, 2003.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided $4,228,384,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvement fund. This amount is $18,076,000 below the fiscal year 2003 budget request and $132,645,000 above the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2002.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Appropriations, 2002	$1,402,315,000
Budget estimate, 2003	1,402,353,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,396,943,000

The Committee recommends a total of $1,396,943,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 2003. This is $5,410,000 below the fiscal year 2003 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $27,452,000 for new construction, as shown below:

Location/project	Request	Recommended
Alaska: Fort Wainwright (38 units)	$17,752,000	$17,752,000
Arizona: Yuma Proving Ground (33 units)	6,100,000	6,100,000
Germany: Stuttgart (1 unit)	990,000	500,000
Korea, Yongsan (10 units)	3,100,000	3,100,000
Total	27,942,000	27,452,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Hawaii: Schofield Barracks/Fort Shafter (8,178 units) Privatization	$21,000,000	$21,000,000
Louisiana: Fort Polk (3,648 units) Privatization	64,000,000	64,000,000
Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood (2,472 units) Privatization	45,000,000	45,000,000
New York: West Point (54 units)	10,800,000	10,800,000
Pennsylvania: Carlisle Barracks (36 units)	4,200,000	4,200,000
Virginia:		
Fort Belvoir (2,070 units) Privatization	8,700,000	8,700,000
Forts Eustis/Fort Story (1,115 units) Privatization	14,800,000	14,800,000
Germany:		
Darmstadt (48 units)	4,200,000	4,200,000
Heidelberg (75 units)	12,151,000	12,151,000
Mannheim (72 units)	10,400,000	10,400,000
Mannheim (60 units)	10,000,000	10,000,000
Schweinfurt (234 units)	7,600,000	7,600,000

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Stuttgart (72 units)	9,900,000	9,900,000
Vilseck (36 units)	3,900,000	3,900,000
Wuerzberg (136 units)	11,200,000	11,200,000
Korea: Yongsan (8 units)	1,900,000	1,900,000
Total	239,751,000	239,751,000

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2002	$1,241,875,000
Budget estimate, 2003	1,243,488,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,239,604,000

The Committee recommends $1,239,604,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2003. This amount is $3,884,000 below the fiscal year 2003 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $223,719,000 for new construction, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
California:		
Lemoore (178 units) Alvarez Village, Phase VII & VIII	$40,981,000	$40,981,000
Twentynine Palms (76 units)	19,425,000	19,425,000
Connecticut: New London (100 units) Polaris Park	24,415,000	24,415,000
Florida: Mayport Commanders Quarters (1 unit)	329,000	329,000
Hawaii: Kaneohe Bay (65 units)	24,797,000	24,797,000
Mississippi: Meridian (56 units) Juniper Ridge	9,755,000	9,755,000
North Carolina: Camp Lejeune (317 units)	43,650,000	43,650,000
Virginia: Quantico (290 units)	41,843,000	41,843,000
Greece: Larissa (2 units)	1,232,000	.....
United Kingdom: St. Mawgan (62 units)	18,524,000	18,524,000
Total	224,951,000	223,719,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Arizona: MCAS Yuma (121 units)	$12,499,000	$12,499,000
California:		
Monterey (193 units)	18,399,000	18,399,000
Ventura (215 units) Santa Rosa/Santa Cruz	19,605,000	19,605,000
Washington, DC:		
Bethesda (1 unit) Quarters B	4,000	4,000
Bethesda (1 unit) Quarters E	5,000	5,000
Naval Observatory (1 unit) Quarters F	38,000	38,000
Hawaii: Pearl Harbor (1,392 units) Privatization	33,382,000	33,382,000
Louisiana: New Orleans (1 unit) Quarters D	32,000	32,000
Maryland: Thurmont (1 unit) Cedar	109,000	109,000
Nevada: Fallon (1 unit) 150 May Ranch	96,000	96,000
South Carolina: Charleston (300 units)	22,900,000	22,900,000
Virginia:		
Hampton Roads (1 unit) Quarters F–33W	123,000	123,000
Hampton Roads (1 unit) Quarters G–8	52,000	52,000
Hampton Roads (1 unit) Quarters G–28	61,000	61,000
Guam: (102 units) New Apra, Phase II	16,208,000	16,208,000
Iceland: Keflavik (1 unit) Bldg. 627	689,000	689,000

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Italy: Naples (1 unit) Villa Nike	28,000	28,000
Japan:		
Yokosuka (129 units) Townhouses, Phase III	12,184,000	12,184,000
MCAS Iwakuni (44 units)	1,527,000	1,527,000
MCAS Iwakuni (44 units)	1,527,000	1,527,000
Total	139,468,000	139,468,000

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2002	$1,395,418,000
Budget estimate, 2003	1,550,744,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,541,962,000

The Committee recommends $1,541,962,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 2003, which is $8,782,000 below the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $416,438,000 for new construction, as requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Arizona: Luke AFB (140 units)	$18,954,000	$18,954,000
California: Travis AFB (110 units)	24,320,000	24,320,000
Colorado:		
USAF Academy (71 units)	12,424,000	12,424,000
Peterson AFB (2 units)	959,000	959,000
Delaware: Dover AFB (112 units)	19,615,000	19,615,000
Florida:		
Eglin AFB (134 units)	15,906,000	15,906,000
Eglin AFB Housing office	597,000	597,000
MacDill AFB (96 units)	18,086,000	18,086,000
Hawaii: Hickam AFB (96 units)	29,050,000	29,050,000
Idaho: Mountain Home AFB (95 units)	24,392,000	24,392,000
Kansas: McConnell AFB Housing Maintenance	1,514,000	1,514,000
Maryland:		
Andrews AFB (53 units)	9,838,000	9,838,000
Andrews AFB (52 units)	8,807,000	8,807,000
Missouri: Whiteman AFB (22 units)	3,977,000	3,977,000
Mississippi:		
Columbus AFB Housing office	412,000	412,000
Keesler AFB (117 units)	16,505,000	16,505,000
Montana: Malmstrom AFB (18 units)	4,717,000	4,717,000
North Carolina:		
Pope AFB Housing Maintenance	991,000	991,000
Seymour Johnson AFB (126 units)	18,615,000	18,615,000
North Dakota:		
Grand Forks AFB (150 units)	30,140,000	30,140,000
Minot AFB (112 units)	21,428,000	21,428,000
Minot AFB (102 units)	20,315,000	20,315,000
New Mexico: Holloman AFB (101 units)	20,161,000	20,161,000
Oklahoma: Vance AFB (59 units)	11,423,000	11,423,000
South Dakota:		
Ellsworth AFB (22 units)	4,794,000	4,794,000
Ellsworth AFB Housing Maintenance	447,000	447,000
Texas:		
Dyess AFB (85 units)	14,824,000	14,824,000
Randolph AFB (112 units)	14,311,000	14,311,000
Randolph AFB Housing Maintenance	447,000	447,000
Virginia: Langley AFB Housing office	1,193,000	1,193,000
Germany: Ramstein AFB (19 units)	8,534,000	8,534,000
Korea: Osan AFB (113 units)	35,705,000	35,705,000

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Korea: Osan AFB Housing Warehouse	834,000	834,000
United Kingdom: Lakenheath AFB Housing Office Maintenance	2,203,000	2,203,000
Total	416,438,000	416,438,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Alabama:		
Maxwell AFB (614 units) Privatization	(1)	(1)
Maxwell AFB Infrastructure	$225,000	$225,000
Alaska:		
Eielson AFB—Improve Utility System	2,352,000	2,352,000
Eielson AFB—Improve Utility System	1,675,000	1,675,000
Elmendorf AFB (192 units)	26,927,000	26,927,000
Davis-Monthan AFB—Improve Utility System	81,000	81,000
Davis-Monthan AFB—Improve Common Neighborhood Areas	482,000	482,000
Davis-Monthan AFB—Improve Common Neighborhood Areas	398,000	398,000
California:		
Beale AFB—Improve Playground	127,000	127,000
Los Angeles AFB—Improve Infrastructure	491,000	491,000
Colorado:		
USAF Academy (66 units)	6,466,000	6,466,000
USAF Academy—Improve Infrastructure	134,000	134,000
Peterson AFB (87 units)	7,531,000	7,531,000
Peterson AFB—Improve Parking	795,000	795,000
Washington, DC: Bolling AFB—Improve Electrical System	562,000	562,000
Florida:		
Eglin AFB (Hurlburt) (213 units)	12,422,000	12,422,000
Eglin AFB (Hurlburt) Construct Parking Cover	103,000	103,000
Patrick AFB—Improve Common Neighborhood Areas	452,000	452,000
Tyndall AFB Housing Supply	101,000	101,000
Georgia: Robins AFB—Improve Infrastructure	256,000	256,000
Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB (687 units) Privatization	(1)	(1)
Missouri: Whiteman AFB—Improve Utility System	474,000	474,000
Mississippi: Keesler AFB—Improve Street	175,000	175,000
New Mexico: Cannon AFB (1,294 units) Privatization	7,754,000	7,754,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB (222 units)	13,436,000	13,436,000
South Carolina:		
Charleston AFB—Improve Housing Office	258,000	258,000
Shaw AFB (1,704 units) Privatization	(1)	(1)
Texas: Laughlin AFB—Improve Housing Office	255,000	255,000
Virginia:		
Langley AFB (46 units)	15,643,000	15,643,000
Langley AFB—Improve Infrastructure	362,000	362,000
Washington: McChord AFB—Improve Housing Office	376,000	376,000
Wyoming: F. E. Warren AFB (265 units) Privatization	(1)	(1)
Germany:		
Ramstein AFB (376 units)	51,526,000	51,526,000
Spangdahlem AFB (192 units)	21,229,000	21,229,000
Guam: Andersen AFB (112 units)	16,479,000	16,479,000
Japan: Kadena AFB (124 units)	15,989,000	15,989,000
United Kingdom: Lakenheath AFB (96 units)	20,532,000	20,532,000
Total	226,068,000	226,068,000

[1] No cost to the government privatization.

Family Housing, Dyess AFB, TX.—The Committee urges the Air Force to evaluate family housing privatization as an option to improve the overall quality of family housing at Dyess AFB. In accordance with the Air Force fiscal year 2003 budget request, the

Committee recommends $14,824,000 of traditional military construction funding to replace 85 units of base housing. Privatization could potentially leverage this initial investment to not only replace these units but could also modernize additional family housing units on the base.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2002	$44,012,000
Budget estimate, 2003	47,875,000
Committee recommendation	47,875,000

The Committee recommends $47,875,000 for family housing, Defense-wide, in fiscal year 2003. This amount is equal to the budget request. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2002	$2,000,000
Budget estimate, 2003	2,000,000
Committee recommendation	2,000,000

The Committee recommends $2,000,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund. This amount is equal to the budget request.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

OVERVIEW

The Congress has appropriated, to date, a net total of $22,026,046,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure program for fiscal years 1990 through 2002. In the bill for fiscal year 2003, the Committee is recommending total funding of $645,138,000 under one account.

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function and by base. The Committee, in recognizing the complexities of realigning and closing bases and providing for environmental restoration, has provided such flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor the program execution of the Services and to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of realignment and closures along with environmental restoration.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2003:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2003]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2001	Fiscal year 2002 enacted	Fiscal year 2003 Committee recommended	Total
Part I	$2,684,577,000	(1)	(1)	$2,684,577,000
Part II	4,915,636,000	(1)	(1)	4,915,636,000
Part III	7,269,267,000	(1)	(1)	7,269,267,000
Part IV	6,523,853,000	$632,713,000	$645,138,000	7,801,704,000

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2003]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2001	Fiscal year 2002 enacted	Fiscal year 2003 Committee recommended	Total
Total	21,393,333,000	632,713,000	645,138,000	22,671,184,000

[1] Not Applicable

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure, Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a net total of $22,026,046,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 2002. The total amount appropriated combined with the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2003 Base Realignment and Closure is $22,671,184,000.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Appropriations, 2002	$632,713,000
Budget estimate, 2003	545,138,000
Committee recommendation	645,138,000

The Committee recommends $645,138,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV. This is an increase of $100,000,000 over the amount requested for fiscal year 2003, and $12,425,000 over the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2002.

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP ACCELERATION INITIATIVE

The Committee recommendation includes $100,000,000 for a BRAC Environmental Cleanup Acceleration Initiative. This initiative is intended to address the backlog of critical environmental cleanup requirements at military installations throughout the Nation that have been closed or realigned over the past 12 years. Until environmental cleanup at these installations is completed, the properties cannot be returned to productive use in the communities. To date, the Department of Defense (DOD) has transferred less than half of the property at closed or realigned bases, primarily as a result of delays due to environmental cleanup-related issues.

According to an April 2002 General Accounting Office report, "Environmental cleanup has been a long-standing concern in the BRAC program—one that has been not only costly and challenging for DOD but also frustrating for intended users of the property. While DOD has already spent an estimated $7,000,000,000 through fiscal year 2001 on BRAC environmental-related actions, DOD expects to spend an additional $3,500,000,000 beyond 2001 to complete its cleanup work."

The Committee remains extremely concerned about the pace of environmental cleanup at closed or realigned bases, and urges the Department of Defense to provide additional resources in future budget requests to address this urgent requirement. The Army, Navy and Air Force have identified a total of $237,168,000 in unfunded BRAC environmental cleanup requirements that could be executed in fiscal year 2003 if additional funding were made available. The total includes $44,868,000 for the Army, $133,100,000 for the Navy and $59,200,000 for the Air Force.

Under the BRAC Environmental Cleanup Acceleration Initiative, the Committee directs that an additional $20,000,000 be made available for the Army, $55,000,000 for the Navy, and $25,000,000 for the Air Force for environmental cleanup. These funds are to be allocated at the discretion of the Services to meet the most pressing unfunded environmental cleanup requirements at closed or realigned bases.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 101. Restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. Permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. Prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. Limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. Prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. Limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. Prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. Prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. Prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. Establishes a threshold for American preference of $500,000 relating to architect and engineering services.

SEC. 112. Establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Sea.

SEC. 113. Requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of $100,000.

SEC. 114. Limits obligations during the last 2 months of the year.

SEC. 115. Permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. Permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. Permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. Allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign currency fluctuations, construction, defense" account.

SEC. 119. Directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense budget.

SEC. 120. Allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base realignment and closure accounts to the continuing base realignment and closure accounts.

SEC. 121. Permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

SEC. 122. Restricts the use of funds for the Partnership for Peace Program.

SEC. 123. Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 124. Provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

SEC. 125. Requires that all Military Construction Appropriations Acts be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to $35,000 per year without prior notification to the defense committees.

SEC. 126. Directs the Department of Defense to accurately reflect the costs of environmental remediation activities in its budget submissions for the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) account.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill "which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session."

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on June 27, 2002, the Committee ordered reported S. 2708, an original Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations bill, 2003, and S. 2709, an original Military Construction Appropriations bill, 2003, both subject to amendment and both subject to their budget allocations, by a recorded vote of 29–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Byrd	
Mr. Inouye	
Mr. Hollings	
Mr. Leahy	

Mr. Harkin
Ms. Mikulski
Mr. Reid
Mr. Kohl
Mrs. Murray
Mr. Dorgan
Mrs. Feinstein
Mr. Durbin
Mr. Johnson
Mrs. Landrieu
Mr. Reed
Mr. Stevens
Mr. Cochran
Mr. Specter
Mr. Domenici
Mr. Bond
Mr. McConnell
Mr. Burns
Mr. Shelby
Mr. Gregg
Mr. Bennett
Mr. Campbell
Mr. Craig
Mrs. Hutchison
Mr. DeWine

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ALABAMA			
ARMY:			
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION DOORS	1,900	1,900	.....
FORT RUCKER:			
CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	9,258	9,258	.....
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	.....	3,500	+3,500
UH–60 PARKING APRON	.....	3,050	+3,050
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GADSEN: ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER	1,781	1,781	.....
TOTAL, ALABAMA	12,939	19,489	+6,550

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT GREELY: FENCING (DERF)	2,700	2,700	.....
FORT RICHARDSON:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—D STREET (PHASE II)	21,000	21,000	.....
COMMUNITY CENTER	.....	15,000	+15,000
PERIMETER FENCING (DERF)	5,011	5,011	.....
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION WINDOWS (DERF)	910	910	.....
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	1,600	1,600	.....
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	18,000	18,000	.....
FENCING INSTALLATION BOUNDARY (DERF)	6,896	6,896	.....
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	24,000	24,000	.....
MISSION SUPPORT TRAINING FACILITY	50,000	50,000	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	16,500	16,500	.....
AIR FORCE:			
CLEAR AIR STATION: UPGRADE POWER PLANT	14,400	14,400	.....
EIELSON AFB:			
BLAIR LAKES RANGE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	.....	19,500	+19,500
CENTRAL HEAT PLANT BAG HOUSES	21,600	21,600	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ELMENDORF AFB: HOSPITAL CONSTRUCTION CLAIM PAYMENT	10,400	10,400	.....
FORT WAINWRIGHT: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE IV)	53,000	53,000	.....
TOTAL, ALASKA	246,017	280,517	+34,500
ARIZONA			
NAVY: YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: COMBAT AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON (PHASE II)	3,000	3,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB:			
DORMITORY	9,110	9,110	.....
HH–60 APRON/TAXIWAY AND SHOULDERS	3,720	3,720	.....
HH–60 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,440	6,440	.....
TOTAL, ARIZONA	22,270	22,270	.....
ARKANSAS			
ARMY: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: NON-STOCKPILE AMMUNITION DEMOLITION SHOP	18,937	.....	−18,937
AIR FORCE:			
LITTLE ROCK AFB:			
ADD/ALTER FUSELAGE TRAINER FACILITY	2,500	2,500	.....
ENGINE/PROPELLER STORAGE FACILITY	2,100	2,100	.....
MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	8,100	8,100	.....
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,900	12,900	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: NON-STOCKPILE AMMUNITION DEMOLITION SHOP	.....	17,990	+17,990
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
LITTLE ROCK AFB: OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	.....	5,100	+5,100
FORT SMITH MAP: OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	.....	6,000	+6,000
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	44,537	54,690	+10,153
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY: FORT IRWIN: FENCING (DERF)	2,522	2,522	.....
NAVY:			
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:			
ADVANCED AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE TRAINING CMPLX	28,810	28,810	.....
AVIATION ARMAMENT SHOP	6,610	6,610	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	23,230	23,230	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	8,230	+8,230
FIRE PROTECTION PIPELINE	5,320	5,320	.....
FORCE INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS CENTER (DERF)	20,000	20,000	.....
WATER TREATMENT, RESERVOIR AND DISTRIBUTION	12,000	12,000	.....
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION:			
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL	8,070	8,070	.....
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	8,450	8,450	.....
SECURITY UPGRADES (DERF)	19,335	19,335	.....
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,540	5,540	.....
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE	3,160	3,160	.....
MONTEREY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL: REPLACE PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE (DERF)	2,020	2,020	.....
POINT MUGU NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER: EXTEND AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	6,760	6,760	.....
PORT HUENEME: SEABEE TRAINING FACILITY	.....	10,170	+10,170
SAN DIEGO:			
PERIMETER SECURITY LIGHTING (DERF)	1,580	1,580	.....
PIER 2 ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	3,530	3,530	.....
REPLACE PIER (SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND)	6,150	6,150	.....
SECURITY LIGHTS, PIERS AND QUAYWALLS (DERF)	7,100	7,100	.....
TWENTYNINE PALMS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	25,770	25,770	.....
AIR FORCE:			
BEALE AFB:			
GLOBAL HAWK DINING FACILITY	3,470	3,470	.....
GLOBAL HAWK SQUADRON OPS/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,670	3,670	.....
GLOBAL HAWK UPGRADE MAINTENANCE DOCK	4,600	4,600	.....
TRAVIS AFB:			
C–17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR	.....	4,596	+4,596
C–17 PARTS STORE	.....	7,998	+7,998
ELECTRICAL/UTILITIES AND SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	.....	11,297	+11,297
VANDENBERG AFB:			
INSTALL STORMWATER DRAINAGE	3,100	3,100	.....
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (PHASE II)	7,400	7,400	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: TRAVIS AFB: REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS	16,000	16,000	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
MORENA VALLEY: READINESS CENTER	12,044	12,044	.....
SAN DIEGO: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	6,774	6,774	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SEPULVEDA: COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS TRAINING FACILITY	.....	7,000	+7,000
ARMY RESERVE: VALLEJO: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/MARINE AMSA	6,501	6,501	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
MARCH ARB:			
C–17 ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	1,696	+1,696
C–17 ALTER CO-LOCATED LIFE SUPPORT BUILDING	.....	3,026	+3,026
C–17 ALTER GENERAL MAINTENANCE SHOPS	.....	2,004	+2,004
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	259,516	315,533	+56,017
COLORADO			
ARMY:			
FORT CARSON:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—NELSON BLVD (PHASE II)	42,000	42,000	.....
FENCING (DERF)	4,348	4,348	.....
TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING DOCKS	1,100	1,100	.....
PUEBLO DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	38,000	.....	−38,000
AIR FORCE:			
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE:			
ADD/ALTER SBIRS MISSION CONTROL STATION	6,900	6,900	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
WING HEADQUARTERS/ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY	10,800	10,800	.....
PETERSON AFB:			
AT/FP SITE IMPROVEMENTS FOR HQ COMPLEX (DERF)	2,000	2,000	.....
NORAD BATTLE MANAGEMENT CENTER (DERF)	3,500	3,500	.....
SCHRIEVER AFB: VISITORS CENTER/ENTRY CONTROL GATES (DERF)	5,700	5,700	.....
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY: PERIMETER FENCE—CADET AREA (PHASE I) (DERF)	4,200	4,200	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PETERSON AFB: FAC REFURBISHMENT HOMELAND SECURITY CINC (DERF)	25,000	23,000	−2,000
PUEBLO DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	.....	36,100	+36,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BUCKLEY AFB: CONTROL TOWER	.....	5,900	+5,900
TOTAL, COLORADO	143,548	145,548	+2,000
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY:			
NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE:			
GATE 1 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	4,080	4,080	.....
GATE 7 TRUCK ACCESS SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	3,800	3,800	.....
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	7,880	7,880	.....
DELAWARE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT: PARKING APRON	.....	10,800	+10,800
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
ARMY:			
WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER:			
ELECTRICAL SWITCH STATION (DERF)	7,400	7,400	.....
PHYSICAL SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS/FOREST GLEN (DERF)	2,550	2,550	.....
PHYSICAL SECURITY, MAIN SECTION (DERF)	3,844	3,844	.....
NAVY:			
8TH AND I MARINE BARRACKS: SITE IMPROVEMENTS	3,700	3,700	.....
WASHINGTON NAVAL YARD: O STREET VISITOR PROCESS CENTER (DERF)	2,690	2,690	.....
AIR FORCE:			
BOLLING AFB:			
PERIMETER WALL, NORTH GATE TO NAVY LINE (DERF)	1,500	1,500	.....
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY (DERF)	3,500	3,500	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BOLLING AFB: DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS CENTER	121,958	121,958	.....
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: PARKING GARAGE	2,500	.....	−2,500
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	149,642	147,142	−2,500
FLORIDA			
NAVY:			
EGLIN AFB: ADVANCED EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL TRAINING FAC	6,350	6,350	.....
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION:			
BIRMINGHAM GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	1,890	1,890	.....
COMMERCIAL GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	2,680	2,680	.....
YORKTOWN GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	2,200	2,200	.....
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION: PERIMETER SECURITY UPGRADES (DERF)	1,900	1,900	.....
PANAMA CITY NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER: SPECIAL OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	10,700	+10,700
PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION: RUNWAY APPROACH LIGHTS	990	990	.....
AIR FORCE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
BARRIERS AND INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM (DERF)	1,050	1,050	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
SECURITY FENCING (DERF)	3,200	3,200	.....
HURLBURT FIELD:			
DORMITORY	9,000	9,000	.....
FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS (PHASE I) (DERF)	3,500	3,500	.....
FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS (PHASE II) (DERF)	2,500	2,500	.....
MACDILL AFB: ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION GATES (DERF)	7,000	7,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
ADD/ALTER COMMAND AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	9,000	9,000	.....
ALTER FACILITIES FOR CV–22	2,100	2,100	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
HOMESTEAD ARB: INSTALLATION PERIMETER FENCE (DERF)	1,100	1,100	.....
TOTAL, FLORIDA	54,460	65,160	+10,700
GEORGIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BENNING:			
AT/FP ACCESS CONTROL POINTS (DERF)	8,000	8,000	.....
BARRACKS COMPLEX—MAIN POST (PHASE I)	45,000	45,000	.....
CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	11,986	11,986	.....
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,250	3,250	.....
FORT STEWART: SABER HALL COMPLEX	26,000	26,000	.....
NAVY: KINGS BAY NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: STIMSON GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	1,580	1,580	.....
AIR FORCE:			
ROBINS AFB:			
BASE ENTRANCE/VISITORS FACILITY (DERF)	5,400	5,400	.....
CORROSION PAINT/DE-PAINT FACILITY	.....	24,000	+24,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SAVANNAH IAP: BASE ENTRANCE ROAD (DERF)	1,450	1,450	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
ATLANTA NAVAL AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	6,730	6,730	.....
SAVANNAH MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER: RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	5,900	5,900	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE: DOBBINS ARB: VISITORS CENTER (DERF)	2,000	2,000	.....
TOTAL, GEORGIA	117,296	141,296	+24,000
HAWAII			
ARMY:			
POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA: ACCESS ROAD (SADDLE ROAD) PHASE I	.....	13,000	+13,000
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—CAPRON AVENUE (PHASE I)	49,000	49,000	.....
BARRACKS COMPLEX—QUAD C	42,000	42,000	.....
NAVY:			
FORD ISLAND: SITE IMPROVEMENTS (UTILITY SYSTEMS)	.....	19,400	+19,400
MARINE CORPS BASE/OAHU: RELIGIOUS MINISTRY FACILITY (CHAPEL)	.....	9,500	+9,500
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION:			
RECAPITALIZE BRAVO WHARFS	10,490	10,490	.....
SECURITY LIGHTING (DERF)	4,200	4,200	.....
AIR FORCE: HICKAM AFB: FLIGHTLINE SECURITY FENCING/GATES (PHASE I) (DERF)	1,350	1,350	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
HICKAM AFB: LIFE SKILLS CLINIC REPLACEMENT	2,700	2,700	.....
KAUAI PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FACILITY: THAAD TEST FACILITY	23,400	23,400	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BARBERS POINT: ADD/ALTER ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING (PHASE I)	22,473	22,473	.....
TOTAL, HAWAII	155,613	197,513	+41,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
IDAHO			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GOWEN FIELD/BOISE: READINESS CENTER	.....	1,500	+1,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
GOWEN FIELD/BOISE: AIR SUPPORT SQUADRON (BEDDOWN)	.....	6,700	+6,700
TOTAL, IDAHO	.....	8,200	+8,200
ILLINOIS			
NAVY:			
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER:			
COMMERCIAL TRUCK INSPECTION FACILITY (DERF)	1,620	1,620	.....
INTRUSION RESISTANT GATES (DERF)	6,470	6,470	.....
RECRUIT BARRACKS	43,360	38,360	−5,000
RECRUIT BARRACKS	41,740	36,740	−5,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SPRINGFIELD (CAPITOL AIRPORT): COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	10,000	+10,000
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	93,190	93,190	.....
INDIANA			
ARMY: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	61,494	.....	−61,494
DEFENSE-WIDE: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	.....	58,420	+58,420
AIR FORCE RESERVE: GRISSOM AIR RESERVE BASE: ADDITION/ALTERATION AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	.....	6,000	+6,000
TOTAL, INDIANA	61,494	64,420	+2,926
IOWA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
DES MOINES: AIRFIELD FACILITIES UPGRADE	.....	9,200	+9,200
SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT:			
KC–135 UPGRADE AIRCRAFT MAINT. HANGAR AND SHOP	6,900	6,900	.....
KC–135 UPGRADE SHOPS AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,800	4,800	.....
TOTAL, IOWA	11,700	20,900	+9,200
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT LEAVENWORTH:			
ACCESS CONTROL POINTS (DERF)	3,150	3,150	.....
CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	4,829	4,829	.....
FORT RILEY:			
ACCESS CONTROL GATES (DERF)	6,000	6,000	.....
BARRACKS COMPLEX—INFANTRY DRIVE EAST	41,000	41,000	.....
CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	7,095	7,095	.....
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY, PH I	.....	13,800	+13,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT RILEY: ADD/ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	770	770	.....
KANSAS CITY: ADD/ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	771	771	.....
TOPEKA: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	14,607	14,607	.....
TOTAL, KANSAS	78,222	92,022	+13,800
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)	10,300	.....	−10,300
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE III)	8,300	.....	−8,300
RAILYARD INFRASTRUCTURE	5,500	5,500	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
FORT CAMPBELL: BARRACKS COMPLEX—RANGE ROAD (PHASE I)	49,000	49,000	.....
FORT KNOX:			
ACCESS CONTROL (DERF)	2,529	2,529	.....
CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	3,344	3,344	.....
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	6,800	+6,800
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	.....	9,785	+9,785
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE III)	.....	7,885	+7,885
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	78,973	84,843	+5,870
LOUISIANA			
ARMY:			
FORT POLK:			
DIGITAL MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	31,000	31,000	.....
FENCING (DERF)	6,620	6,620	.....
AIR FORCE:			
BARKSDALE AFB:			
DORMITORY	10,900	10,900	.....
PARKING APRON	.....	12,000	+12,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION: REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS	9,500	9,500	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION:			
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	1,500	1,500	.....
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE	2,690	2,690	.....
PERIMETER ROAD AND FENCING (DERF)	1,510	1,510	.....
RUNWAY AND TAXIWAY EXTENSION	14,600	14,600	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NEW ORLEANS JOINT RESERVE BASE BELLE CHASSE: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	.....	5,500	+5,500
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	78,320	95,820	+17,500
MAINE			
NAVY:			
BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION: CONTROL TOWER UPGRADE	.....	9,830	+9,830
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD:			
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS	11,600	11,600	.....
GATE 1 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	3,600	3,600	.....
TOTAL, MAINE	15,200	25,030	+9,830
MARYLAND			
ARMY:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	30,600	.....	−30,600
FORT DETRICK:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—PORTER STREET SOUTH	16,000	16,000	.....
COMMUNITY SUPPORT CENTER	3,700	3,700	.....
NAVY:			
ANDREWS AFB NAVAL AIR FACILITY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	9,680	9,680	.....
CARDEROCK (NSWC): NATIONAL MARITIME TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER	.....	12,900	+12,900
AIR FORCE:			
ANDREWS AFB:			
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION POV GATES (DERF)	4,100	4,100	.....
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROT REMAINING GATES (DERF)	5,500	5,500	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	.....	29,070	+29,070

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
FORT MEADE:			
PERIMETER SECURITY	1,896	1,896	.....
OPERATIONS BUILDING 1 STAIR TOWERS	2,588	2,588	.....
TOTAL, MARYLAND	74,064	85,434	+11,370
MASSACHUSETTS			
AIR FORCE:			
FOURTH CLIFF RECREATION AREA: EROSION CONTROL/RETAINING WALL	.....	9,476	+9,476
HANSCOM AFB: ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	7,700	7,700	.....
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	7,700	17,176	+9,476
MICHIGAN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LANSING: JOINT/MULTI-UNIT READINESS CENTER, PHASE I	.....	16,928	+16,928
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
SELFRIDGE ANGB:			
ADD PERIMETER FENCING (DERF)	1,000	1,000	.....
JOINT DINING FACILITY	.....	8,500	+8,500
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	1,000	26,428	+25,428
MINNESOTA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR & SHOP, PHASE II	.....	15,000	+15,000
NAVY RESERVE: DULUTH NAVAL RESERVE CENTER: HARDEN RESERVE BUILDING (DERF)	1,450	1,450	.....
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	1,450	16,450	+15,000
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY:			
GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: COMMUNICATIONS/INSTRUCTION FACILITY	5,460	5,460	.....
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION: CONTROL TOWER AND BEACON TOWER	.....	2,850	+2,850
PASCAGOULA NAVAL STATION:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (100 SPACES)	.....	10,500	+10,500
CONSTRUCT NEW NAVY CHANNEL	4,160	4,160	.....
AIR FORCE: KEESLER AFB: STUDENT DORMITORY	22,000	22,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND: STENNIS SPACE CENTER, LAND/WATER RANGES	.....	5,000	+5,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: KOSCIUSKO: READINESS CENTER	.....	2,300	+2,300
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
JACKSON IAP:			
C–17 CONSTRUCT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	4,100	4,100	.....
C–17 REPLACE FUEL CELL HANGAR/SHOP	25,000	25,000	.....
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	60,720	81,370	+20,650
MISSOURI			
ARMY:			
FORT LEONARD WOOD:			
ACCESS CONTROL POINTS 4 LOCATIONS (DERF)	9,493	9,493	.....
TACTICAL VEHICLE SIMULATOR BUILDING	15,500	15,500	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT LEONARD WOOD: AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	14,767	+14,767
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ST. LOUIS/LAMBERT FIELD: BASE RELOCATION/FACILITIES UPGRADE	.....	4,000	+4,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, MISSOURI	24,993	43,760	+18,767
MONTANA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: GORE HILL/GREAT FALLS: LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY	.....	3,500	+3,500
NAVY RESERVE:			
BILLINGS NAVAL RESERVE CENTER	16,293		
RESERVE CENTER WITH LAND	5,905	5,905	.....
TOTAL, MONTANA	5,905	9,405	+3,500
NEBRASKA			
AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AFB: FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	.....	11,000	+11,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LINCOLN: ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER	757	757	.....
NORFOLK: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,666	3,666	.....
ARMY RESERVE: LINCOLN: RESERVE CENTER/MAINT SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE	8,732	8,732	.....
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	13,155	24,155	+11,000
NEVADA			
AIR FORCE:			
NELLIS AFB:			
DORMITORY	12,280	12,280	.....
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL FACILITY (DERF)	6,900	6,900	.....
F–22 MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,170	3,170	.....
LAND ACQUISITION	15,000	15,000	.....
LAND ACQUISITION	.....	19,500	+19,500
TOTAL, NEVADA	37,350	56,850	+19,500
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PEASE AIR BASE: FIRE STATION	.....	4,450	+4,450
NEW JERSEY			
NAVY:			
EARLE NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: WATERFRONT MAIN GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	5,600	5,600	.....
LAKEHURST NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER: STRUCTURAL & AIRCRAFT FIRE RESCUE STATION	.....	5,200	+5,200
AIR FORCE: MCGUIRE AFB: C–17 FLIGHTLINE OPERATIONS FACILITIES	24,631	24,631	.....
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	30,231	35,431	+5,200
NEW MEXICO			
AIR FORCE:			
CANNON AFB: SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY (DERF)	4,650	4,650	.....
HOLLOMAN AFB: SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	.....	4,650	+4,650
KIRTLAND AFB:			
VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS	.....	8,400	+8,400
RELOCATE TRUMAN GATE (DERF)	2,500	2,500	.....
UPGRADE MUNITIONS MAINT STORAGE COMPLEX SEC (DERF)	11,000	11,000	.....
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	18,150	31,200	+13,050
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
FORT DRUM: SHOOT HOUSE	1,500	1,500	.....
WEST POINT: FENCING WEST POINT PROPER (DERF)	4,991	4,991	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
WEST POINT:			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	3,898	3,898	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	449	449	.....
ARMY RESERVE: OSWEGO: RESERVE CENTER/MAINT SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE	5,492	5,492	.....
NAVY RESERVE: SYRACUSE MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,030	2,030	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE: NIAGARA FALLS AIR RESERVE STATION: VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS, PHASE I	.....	9,000	+9,000
TOTAL, NEW YORK	18,360	27,360	+9,000
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—ARMISTEAD STREET	50,000	50,000	.....
BARRACKS COMPLEX—BUTNER ROAD (PHASE III)	50,000	50,000	.....
CONSOLIDATED FUEL FACILITY	17,500	17,500	.....
FENCING (DERF)	4,732	4,732	.....
FORCE PROTECTION PLAN (PHASE II) (DERF)	18,000	18,000	.....
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE: FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	5,370	5,370	.....
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: T–56 TEST CELL	6,040	6,040	.....
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY	6,920	6,920	.....
AIR FORCE:			
POPE AFB: DORMITORY	9,700	9,700	.....
SEYMOUR JOHNSON: FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	.....	10,600	+10,600
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE:			
REPLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	10,884	10,884	.....
REPLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (DERF)	1,254	1,254	.....
FORT BRAGG:			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	900	900	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	104	104	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	925	925	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	107	107	.....
RENOVATE BRYANT HALL	11,600	11,600	.....
WEAPONS TRAINING FACILITY	19,200	19,200	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ELIZABETH CITY: MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE COMPOUND	208	208	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CHARLOTTE/DOUGLAS IAP: RELOCATE ROAD AND GATE HOUSE (DERF)	2,500	2,500	.....
ARMY RESERVE: FORT BRAGG: ADD/ALTER ARMY RESERVE CENTER	1,624	1,624	.....
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	217,568	228,168	+10,600
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: MINOT AFB: CRUISE MISSILE STORAGE FACILITY	.....	18,000	+18,000
OHIO			
AIR FORCE:			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB:			
AFTER GRADUATE EDUCATION FACILITY	.....	13,000	+13,000
DORMITORY	10,400	.....	−10,400
FULLY CONTAINED SMALL ARMS RANGE COMPLEX (DERF)	12,000	9,700	−2,300
CONSOLIDATE MATERIALS COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH FAC	.....	15,200	+15,200
DEFENSE-WIDE: COLUMBUS DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER: PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	5,021	5,021	.....
NAVY RESERVE: COLUMBUS NAVAL AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER: HARDEN RESERVE BUILDING (DERF)	1,040	1,040	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR FORCE RESERVE: YOUNGSTOWN ARS: VISITORS CENTER (DERF)	2,500	.....	−2,500
TOTAL, OHIO	30,961	43,961	+13,000
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
FORT SILL:			
CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	4,652	4,652	.....
LOGISTICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY, PHASE I	.....	10,000	+10,000
AIR FORCE:			
ALTUS AFB: CONSOLIDATE BASE ENGINEER COMPLEX, PHASE I	.....	7,700	+7,700
VANCE AFB: ROAD REPAIR (ELAM ROAD)	.....	4,800	+4,800
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	4,652	27,152	+22,500
OREGON			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: KLAMATH FALLS AIRPORT-KINGSLEY FIELD: REPLACE PERIMETER FENCING (DERF)	1,000	1,000	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PORTLAND IAP:			
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	525	525	.....
ALTER MAINTENANCE SHOPS	2,650	2,650	.....
CONSOLIDATED TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE I)	1,609	1,609	.....
HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM (PHASE I)	6,400	6,400	.....
TOTAL, OREGON	12,184	12,184	.....
PENNSYLVANIA			
ARMY: LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE	1,550	1,550	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT INDIANTOWN GAP: BASE ENTRY, RELOCATE ROAD AND FENCING (DERF)	2,300	2,300	.....
PITTSBURGH: SQUADRON OPERATIONS & SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	7,700	+7,700
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	3,850	11,550	+7,700
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY: NEWPORT NAVAL STATION: CONSOLIDATED POLICE/FIRE/SECURITY FACILITY	.....	9,030	+9,030
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT JACKSON:			
BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE II)	39,000	39,000	.....
CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	3,051	3,051	.....
NAVY:			
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: AIRCRAFT ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURE	13,700	13,700	.....
CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: SECURITY CONSOLIDATION (DERF)	5,740	5,740	.....
PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT:			
ALL WEATHER TRAINING FACILITY	7,410	7,410	.....
RECRUIT TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION	3,080	3,080	.....
AIR FORCE: SHAW AFB: FIGHTER SQUADRON MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	.....	6,800	+6,800
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT JACKSON:			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	865	865	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	100	100	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,382	1,382	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	159	159	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE: REPLACE OPERATIONS & TRAINING FACILITY	.....	10,200	+10,200
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	74,487	91,487	+17,000
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	13,200	+13,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP RAPID: BARRACKS/DINING/ADMINISTRATION & PARKING, PHASE I	.....	10,593	+10,593
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	.....	23,793	+23,793
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT BLISS: CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	4,291	4,291	.....
FORT HOOD:			
ACCESS CONTROL BUILDING (DERF)	24,000	24,000	.....
BARRACKS COMPLEX—CLEAR CREEK ROAD	45,000	45,000	.....
FENCING (DERF)	2,461	2,461	.....
NAVY:			
INGLESIDE: MINE WARFARE TRAINING CENTER	.....	5,480	+5,480
KINGSVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION: UPGRADE AIRFIELD LIGHTING AND CONTROLS	6,210	6,210	.....
AIR FORCE:			
CAMP BULLIS:			
MOUT TRAINING FACILITY (DERF)	6,000	6,000	.....
VISITING QUARTERS (DERF)	4,000	4,000	.....
GOODFELLOW AFB: WING SUPPORT COMPLEX	.....	10,600	+10,600
LACKLAND AFB:			
STUDENT DORMITORY	18,500	18,500	.....
MILITARY OPERATIONS IN URBAN TERRAIN (MOUT) (DERF)	13,000	13,000	.....
SHEPPARD AFB:			
DORMITORY	10,000	10,000	.....
EURO-NATO JOINT PILOT TRAINING, FLIGHT SIMULATOR	6,000	6,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FORT BLISS: BASE DEFENSE TRAINING CENTER	.....	8,700	+8,700
ARMY RESERVE: GRAND PRAIRIE: RESERVE CENTER/MAINTENANCE SHOP	9,113	9,113	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
FORT WORTH NAVAL AIR STATION/JOINT RESERVE BASE: BASE PASS, ID AND VISITORS CENTER (DERF)	1,500	1,500	.....
WACO MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,140	4,140	.....
TOTAL, TEXAS	154,215	178,995	+24,780
UTAH			
AIR FORCE: HILL AFB: CONSOLIDATED SOFTWARE SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	16,500	+16,500
VERMONT			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SOUTH BURLINGTON: READINESS CENTER, PHASE I	.....	11,241	+11,241
VIRGINIA			
ARMY:			
FORT EUSTIS: FENCING AND ACCESS ROADS (DERF)	4,133	4,133	.....
FORT LEE: CANTONMENT FENCING (DERF)	1,903	1,903	.....
NAVY:			
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER:			
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DETACHMENT FAC (DERF)	6,600	6,600	.....
THEATER WARFARE INTEGRATION CENTER	9,230	9,230	.....
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE:			
INFORMATION WARFARE OPERATIONAL CONTROL CTR (DERF)	5,370	5,370	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NEW TRUCK ACCESS GATE (DERF)	4,400	4,400	.....
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD: SHIP COMPONENT SERVICE FACILITY	.....	16,810	+16,810
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	34,450	34,450	.....
AIRFIELD RECAPITALIZATION	11,290	11,290	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QRTS, SHIPBOARD ASHORE (PHASE I)	37,310	37,310	.....
GATE 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	4,400	4,400	.....
GATE 3A SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	4,005	4,005	.....
GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	2,260	2,260	.....
MAIN GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	2,200	2,200	.....
PIER REPLACEMENT (PHASE II)	33,520	33,520	.....
SHORELINE SECURITY FENCING	2,030	2,030	.....
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION (PHASE II)	25,160	25,160	.....
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
AIRFIELD APPROACH LIGHTING	2,000	2,000	.....
AIRFIELD PERIMETER SECURITY (DERF)	10,500	10,500	.....
POST 1 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	3,990	3,990	.....
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD: ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS	19,660	19,660	.....
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS BASE:			
ARMORY/FLEET WEAPONS SUPPORT FACILITY	4,234	4,234	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,280	10,280	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ADDITION	5,040	5,040	.....
YORKTOWN NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	15,020	15,020	.....
AIR FORCE:			
LANGLEY AFB:			
AIR COMBAT COMMAND OPERATIONS SUPPORT CTR (DERF)	24,000	24,000	.....
DORMITORY	8,320	8,320	.....
F–22 FLIGHT SIMULATOR	8,120	8,120	.....
F–22 INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES	10,700	10,700	.....
F–22 SQUADRON OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	20,800	20,800	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ARLINGTON: LAND ACQUISITION	18,000	.....	−18,000
RICHMOND DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER: RENOVATE OPERATIONS CENTER	5,500	5,500	.....
FORT BELVOIR: DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION CENTER	50,188	50,188	.....
LITTLE CREEK:			
OPERATIONS TRAINER	4,400	4,400	.....
SEAL TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY	9,900	9,900	.....
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS BASE.			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,272	1,272	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	146	146	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT PICKETT: MANUEVER & EQUIPMENT TRAINING SITE	.....	8,957	+8,957
ARMY RESERVE: FORT STORY: RESERVE CENTER/MAINT SHOP/UNHEATED STORAGE	12,385	12,385	.....
NAVY RESERVE: NORFOLK: RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	4,770	4,770	.....
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	437,486	445,253	+7,767
WASHINGTON			
ARMY:			
FORT LEWIS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—17TH & B STREET (PHASE II)	50,000	50,000	.....
BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER	24,000	24,000	.....
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	29,800	29,800	.....
FENCING (DERF)	2,395	2,395	.....
NAVY:			
BANGOR:			
MISSILE SPARES STORAGE BUILDING	7,340	7,340	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
RELOCATE ENCUMBERED WATERFRONT SHOPS	5,900	5,900	.....
SMALL ARMS TRAINING CENTER (DERF)	16,410	16,410	.....
BREMERTON NAVAL STATION:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SHIPBOARD ASHORE	35,120	35,120	.....
SHIP MOVEMENTS OFFICE WITH CONTROL TOWER (DERF)	2,200	2,200	.....
WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION	8,550	8,550	.....
PORT HADLOCK NAVAL MAGAZINE: AMMUNITION WHARF IMPROVEMENTS	4,030	4,030	.....
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD:			
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION IMPROVEMENTS	21,670	21,670	.....
INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY	11,390	11,390	.....
WATERFRONT SUPPORT FACILITIES	21,072	21,072	.....
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION:			
AIRCRAFT DIRECT REFUELING FACILITY	9,180	9,180	.....
AULT FIELD SECURITY FENCING (DERF)	8,400	8,400	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SPOKANE: READINESS CENTER (PHASE I)	.....	11,598	+11,598
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	257,457	269,055	+11,598
WEST VIRGINIA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LEWISBURG: READINESS CENTER	.....	5,715	+5,715
SUMMERSVILLE: READINESS CENTER	6,800	6,800	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MARTINSBURG AIRBASE: SITE IMPROVEMENT AND UTILITIES	.....	12,200	+12,200
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	6,800	24,715	+17,915
WISCONSIN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP WILLIAMS: UNITED STATES PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE WAREHOUSE	6,045	6,045	.....
MADISON: UNITED STATES PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE	5,245	5,245	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT MCCOY:			
BATTALION DINING FACILITY	5,117	5,117	.....
BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER	.....	3,863	+3,863
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	16,407	20,270	+3,863
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE: WARREN AFB: STORMWATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM	.....	10,000	+10,000
NAVY RESERVE: CHEYENNE NAVAL RESERVE CENTER: HARDEN RESERVE BUILDING (DERF)	1,240	1,240	.....
TOTAL, WYOMING	1,240	11,240	+10,000
BAHRAIN			
NAVY: BAHRAIN NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: INSTALLATION SERVICE SUPPORT CENTER	25,970	25,970	.....
BELGIUM			
ARMY: SHAPE HEADQUARTERS: BARRACKS COMPLEX—CHIEVRES	13,600	13,600	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SHAPE HEADQUARTERS:			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,410	1,410	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	163	163	.....
TOTAL, BELGIUM	15,173	15,173	.....
CUBA			
NAVY: GUANTANAMO BAY: SECURITY UPGRADES (DERF)	4,280	4,280	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
DIEGO GARCIA			
NAVY:			
DIEGO GARCIA NAVAL SUPPORT FACILITY:			
PHYSICAL READINESS CENTER	8,370	8,370	.....
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	2,720	2,720	.....
AIR FORCE: DIEGO GARCIA: B–2 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	17,100	17,100	.....
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA	28,190	28,190	.....
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
BAMBERG:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—WARNER BUILDING 7002	10,200	10,200	.....
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,000	7,000	.....
DARMSTADT: MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE, AUTOMATED	3,500	3,500	.....
CAMPBELL BARRACKS: AT/FP BLAST MITIGATION WINDOWS (DERF)	8,300	8,300	.....
COLEMAN BARRACKS: ACCESS CONTROL FACILITY/PERIMETER SECURITY (DERF)	1,350	1,350	.....
GRAFENWOEHR:			
BRIGADE COMPLEX—UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE	46,666	46,666	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS	13,200	13,200	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX-SITE PREPARATION	10,000	10,000	.....
LANDSTUHL:			
ACCESS CONTROL FACILITIES (DERF)	1,100	1,100	.....
ACCESS CONTROL/PERIMETER SECURITY (DERF)	1,300	1,300	.....
MANNHEIM: BARRACKS COMPLEX—COLEMAN BUILDING 18	42,000	42,000	.....
SCHWEINFURT: CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	2,000	2,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
RAMSTEIN AB:			
COMBINED FLEET SERVICE/IN-FLIGHT KITCHEN	7,500	7,500	.....
KMC CENTER SUPPORT	21,300	21,300	.....
LARGE VEHICLE SECURITY INSPECTION STATION (DERF)	1,600	1,600	.....
PASSENGER TERMINAL ANNEX	17,683	17,683	.....
RAMP 1 (PHASE I)	23,700	23,700	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
RAMSTEIN AB:			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	858	858	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	99	99	.....
SPANGDAHLEM AB:			
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT	39,629	39,629	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	894	894	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	103	103	.....
TOTAL, GERMANY	259,982	259,982	.....
GREECE			
NAVY: LARISSA: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND SUPPORT FACILITY	14,800	.....	−14,800
GUAM			
NAVY: GUAM: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	13,400	13,400	.....
AIR FORCE:			
ANDERSEN AFB:			
FITNESS CENTER	16,000	16,000	.....
REPLACE OFF-BASE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM (DERF)	15,000	15,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ANDERSEN AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (PHASE IV)	17,586	17,586	.....
GUAM: MARINE LOADING ARMS	6,000	6,000	.....
TOTAL, GUAM	67,986	67,986	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ICELAND			
NAVY: KEFLAVIK NAVAL AIR STATION: COMBINED DINING FACILITY	14,920	14,920	.....
ITALY			
ARMY:			
VICENZA:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX-CAMP EDERLE	31,000	31,000	.....
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,700	3,700	.....
NAVY:			
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
OFF BASE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (DERF)	11,300	11,300	.....
PARKING GARAGE AND PERIMETER SECURITY UPGRADE	19,560	19,560	.....
QUALITY OF LIFE SUPPORT (PHASE II)	33,530	33,530	.....
AIR FORCE:			
AVIANO AB:			
CONSOLIDATE AREA A–1/AREA A–2 FOR FORCE PRT (DERF)	5,000	5,000	.....
LARGE VEHICLE SECURITY INSPECTION STATION (DERF)	1,600	1,600	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT	41,449	41,449	.....
VICENZA:			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,898	1,898	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	219	219	.....
TOTAL, ITALY	149,256	149,256	.....
JAPAN			
AIR FORCE: KADENA AB: VISITOR AND TRAFFIC CNTRL FAC/SEC FENCING (DERF)	6,000	6,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: YOKOTA AB: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS	23,000	23,000	.....
TOTAL, JAPAN	29,000	29,000	.....
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP CARROLL: BARRACKS COMPLEX	20,000	20,000	.....
CAMP CASTLE: PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	6,800	6,800	.....
CAMP HOVEY: BARRACKS COMPLEX	25,000	25,000	.....
CAMP HUMPHREYS: BARRACKS COMPLEX	36,000	36,000	.....
CAMP HENRY: BARRACKS COMPLEX	10,200	10,200	.....
K–16 AIRFIELD, YONGSAN: BARRACKS COMPLEX	40,000	40,000	.....
YONGSAN ARMY GARRISON: C4I HARDENING, CAMP TANGO (DERF)	12,600	12,600	.....
AIR FORCE: OSAN AB: DORMITORY	15,100	15,100	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SEOUL:			
MIDDLE SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	28,409	28,409	.....
MIDDLE SCHOOL REPLACEMENT (DERF)	3,274	3,274	.....
TOTAL, KOREA	197,383	197,383	.....
PORTUGAL			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
LAJES FIELD:			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	19,000	19,000	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,069	1,069	.....
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION (DERF)	123	123	.....
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	20,192	20,192	.....
QATAR			
ARMY: QATAR: UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	8,600	8,600	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
SPAIN			
NAVY:			
MADRID: NAVY EXCHANGE/MORALE, WELFARE, RECREATION FAC	2,890	.....	−2,890
ROTA NAVAL STATION: SECURITY COMPLEX (DERF)	18,700	18,700	.....
AIR FORCE: ROTA NAVAL STATION: AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE I)	31,818	31,818	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: ROTA NAVAL STATION: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	23,400	23,400	.....
TOTAL, SPAIN	76,808	73,918	−2,890
TURKEY			
AIR FORCE: INCIRLIK AB: LARGE VEHICLE SECURITY INSPECTION STATION (DERF)	1,550	1,550	.....
UNITED KINGDOM			
AIR FORCE:			
RAF FAIRFORD: B–2 MAINTENANCE HANGAR/APRON	19,000	19,000	.....
RAF LAKENHEATH:			
ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	10,800	10,800	.....
MOBILITY PROCESSING FACILITY	2,600	2,600	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: RAF FAIRFORD: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	17,000	17,000	.....
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	49,400	49,400	.....
WAKE ISLAND			
AIR FORCE: WAKE ISLAND AIRFIELD: REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT (PHASE I)	24,900	24,900	.....
NATO			
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	168,200	168,200	.....
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED			
AIR FORCE:			
CLASSIFIED LOCATION:			
C–17 VARIOUS FACILITIES	30,569	.....	−30,569
CLASSIFIED MILCON PROJECT	1,993	1,993	.....
VARIOUS FACILITIES/UTILITIES/INFRASTRUCTURE	23,000	23,000	.....
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED	55,562	24,993	−30,569
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENT	−26,083	−26,083	.....
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,000	4,000	.....
HOST NATION SUPPORT	23,700	23,700	.....
IBCT TRANSFORMATION, VARIOUS FACILITIES	.....	100,000	+100,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	119,824	122,114	+2,290
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF)	5,340	5,340	.....
PLANNING & DESIGN (DERF)	9,381	9,381	.....
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,500	27,000	+6,500
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION)	.....	−13,676	−13,676
NAVY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENT	−10,470	−10,470	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	68,573	73,990	+5,417
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF)	17,630	17,630	.....
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	23,262	26,187	+2,925
HOST NATION INFRASTRUCTURE	1,000	1,000	.....
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION)	.....	−1,340	−1,340

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR FORCE:			
VARIOUS WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
C–17 TRANSFORMATION, VARIOUS FACILITIES	.....	100,000	+100,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	41,496	65,758	+24,262
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF)	21,797	21,797	.....
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	11,500	12,620	+1,120
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION)	.....	−10,281	−10,281
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000	.....
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM	49,531	49,531	.....
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION)	.....	−2,976	−2,976
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	14,200	17,200	+3,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,932	5,032	+100
CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	5,000	5,000	.....
UNDISTRIBUTED	20,000	28,557	+8,557
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF)	.....	2,000	+2,000
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	44,132	57,789	+13,657
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	3,363	3,363	.....
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,000	2,000	.....
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,500	1,500	.....
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	6,430	6,430	.....
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000	.....
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	16,293	16,293	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	14,724	29,543	+14,819
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,930	13,399	+8,469
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,273	22,405	+14,132
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF)	683	683	.....
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,400	5,400	+1,000
ARMY RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,965	10,810	+3,845
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,850	2,850	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,509	2,509	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF)	377	377	.....
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	780	780	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
JUDGMENT FUND PAYMENT	11,900	11,900	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,656	3,863	+207
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DERF)	476	476	.....
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,160	5,960	+800
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	519,089	790,259	+271,170
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
ALASKA: FORT WAINWRIGHT (38 UNITS)	17,752	17,752	.....
ARIZONA: YUMA PROVING GROUND (33 UNITS)	6,100	6,100	.....
GERMANY: STUTTGART (1 UNIT)	990	500	−490
KOREA: YONGSAN (10 UNITS)	3,100	3,100	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	239,751	239,751	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	15,653	15,653	.....
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION)	.....	−4,920	−4,920
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	283,346	277,936	−5,410
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	91,567	91,567	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	41,846	41,846	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	48,673	48,673	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,321	1,321	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	212,432	212,432	.....
LEASING	215,251	215,251	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	485,257	485,257	.....
INTEREST PAYMENT	1	1	.....
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	25,926	25,926	.....
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENT	−3,267	−3,267	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,119,007	1,119,007	.....
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,402,353	1,396,943	−5,410
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
CALIFORNIA:			
TWENTYNINE PALMS (76 UNITS)	19,425	19,425	.....
LEMOORE (178 UNITS)	40,981	40,981	.....
CONNECTICUT: NEW LONDON (100 UNITS)	24,415	24,415	.....
FLORIDA: MAYPORT (1 UNIT)	329	329	.....
HAWAII: KANEOHE BAY (65 UNITS)	24,797	24,797	.....
MISSISSIPPI: MERIDIAN (56 UNITS)	9,755	9,755	.....
NORTH CAROLINA: CAMP LEJEUNE (317 UNITS)	43,650	43,650	.....
VIRGINIA: QUANTICO (290 UNITS)	41,843	41,843	.....
GREECE: LARISSA (2 UNITS)	1,232	.....	−1,232
UNITED KINGDOM: ST MAWGAN (62 UNITS)	18,524	18,524	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	139,468	139,468	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,281	11,281	.....
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION)	.....	−2,652	−2,652
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	375,700	371,816	−3,884
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	30,344	30,344	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	82,114	82,114	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	913	913	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	62,583	62,583	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	174,219	174,219	.....
LEASING	129,085	129,085	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	381,388	381,388	.....
INTEREST PAYMENT	71	71	.....
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	7,071	7,071	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	867,788	867,788	.....
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,243,488	1,239,604	−3,884
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
ARIZONA: LUKE AFB (140 UNITS)	18,954	18,954	.....
CALIFORNIA: TRAVIS AFB (110 UNITS)	24,320	24,320	.....
COLORADO:			
PETERSON AFB (2 UNITS)	959	959	.....
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY (71 UNITS)	12,424	12,424	.....
DELAWARE: DOVER AFB (112 UNITS)	19,615	19,615	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
FLORIDA:			
EGLIN AFB (HOUSING OFFICE)	597	597	.....
EGLIN AFB (134 UNITS)	15,906	15,906	.....
MACDILL AFB (96 UNITS)	18,086	18,086	.....
HAWAII: HICKAM AFB (96 UNITS)	29,050	29,050	.....
IDAHO: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB (95 UNITS)	24,392	24,392	.....
KANSAS: MCCONNELL AFB (MAINTENANCE BUILDING AND ROADS)	1,514	1,514	.....
MARYLAND:			
ANDREWS AFB (53 UNITS)	9,838	9,838	.....
ANDREWS AFB (52 UNITS)	8,807	8,807	.....
MISSOURI: WHITEMAN AFB (22 UNITS)	3,977	3,977	.....
MISSISSIPPI:			
COLUMBUS AFB (MANAGEMENT OFFICE)	412	412	.....
KEESLER AFB (117 UNITS)	16,505	16,505	.....
MONTANA: MALMSTROM AFB (18 UNITS)	4,717	4,717	.....
NORTH CAROLINA:			
POPE AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE BUILDING)	991	991	.....
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB (126 UNITS)	18,615	18,615	.....
NORTH DAKOTA:			
GRAND FORKS AFB (150 UNITS)	30,140	30,140	.....
MINOT AFB (112 UNITS)	21,428	21,428	.....
MINOT AFB (102 UNITS)	20,315	20,315	.....
NEW MEXICO: HOLLOMAN AFB (101 UNITS)	20,161	20,161	.....
OKLAHOMA: VANCE AFB (59 UNITS)	11,423	11,423	.....
SOUTH DAKOTA:			
ELLSWORTH AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY)	447	447	.....
ELLSWORTH AFB (22 UNITS)	4,794	4,794	.....
TEXAS:			
DYESS AFB (85 UNITS)	14,824	14,824	.....
RANDOLPH AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE OFFICE)	447	447	.....
RANDOLPH AFB (112 UNITS)	14,311	14,311	.....
VIRGINIA: LANGLEY AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE OFFICE)	1,193	1,193	.....
GERMANY: RAMSTEIN AB (19 UNITS)	8,534	8,534	.....
KOREA:			
OSAN AB (FURNISHINGS MANAGEMENT OFFICE)	834	834	.....
OSAN AB (113 UNITS)	35,705	35,705	.....
UNITED KINGDOM: RAF LAKENHEATH (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY)	2,203	2,203	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	226,068	226,068	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	34,188	34,188	.....
RESCISSION (FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION)	.....	−8,782	−8,782
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	676,694	667,912	−8,782
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
AT/FP FACILITY UPGRADES (DERF)	29,631	29,631	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	35,619	35,619	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	48,473	48,473	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	25,178	25,178	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	132,945	132,945	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,511	1,511	.....
LEASING	103,690	103,690	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	476,485	476,485	.....
INTEREST PAYMENT	36	36	.....
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	20,482	20,482	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	874,050	874,050	.....
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,550,744	1,541,962	−8,782
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	5,480	5,480	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	120	120	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA)	3,689	3,689	.....
FURNISHINGS (DLA)	26	26	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	15	15	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	308	308	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA)	58	58	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	339	339	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	76	76	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	407	407	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA)	410	410	.....
LEASING (NSA)	9,643	9,643	.....
LEASING (DIA)	26,220	26,220	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	611	611	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	510	510	.....
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING ADJ (DLA)	−37	−37	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	42,395	42,395	.....
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	47,875	47,875	.....
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND			
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,000	2,000	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	545,138	645,138	+100,000
GRAND TOTAL	9,664,041	10,622,000	+957,959
RECAPITULATION			
ARMY	1,450,438	1,456,747	+6,309
DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (DERF)	222,465	222,465	.....
RESCISSION	.....	−13,676	−13,676
NAVY	884,661	995,913	+111,252
DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (DERF)	220,730	220,730	.....
RESCISSION	.....	−1,340	−1,340
AIR FORCE	644,090	987,320	+343,230
DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (DERF)	190,597	188,297	−2,300
RESCISSION	.....	−10,281	−10,281
DEFENSE-WIDE	740,535	895,942	+155,407
DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (DERF)	31,300	31,300	.....
RESCISSION	.....	−2,976	−2,976
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	101,595	208,482	+106,887
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	53,473	209,055	+155,582
DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (DERF)	8,933	8,933	.....
ARMY RESERVE	58,779	66,487	+7,708
NAVAL RESERVE	51,554	51,554	.....
DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (DERF)	7,117	7,117	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE	31,900	54,633	+22,733
DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (DERF)	6,076	3,576	−2,500
TOTAL, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	4,704,243	5,580,278	+876,035
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	168,200	168,200	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,402,353	1,396,943	−5,410
(CONSTRUCTION)	(283,346)	(282,856)	(−490)
(RESCISSION)	.....	(−4,920)	(−4,920)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(1,119,007)	(1,119,007)	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,243,488	1,239,604	−3,884
(CONSTRUCTION)	(375,700)	(374,468)	(−1,232)
(RESCISSION)	.....	(−2,652)	(−2,652)

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(867,788)	(867,788)	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,550,744	1,541,962	−8,782
(CONSTRUCTION)	(676,694)	(676,694)	.....
(RESCISSION)	.....	(−8,782)	(−8,782)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(844,419)	(844,419)	.....
(DEFENSE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND) (DERF)	(29,631)	(29,631)	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	47,875	47,875	.....
(CONSTRUCTION)	(5,480)	(5,480)	.....
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(42,395)	(42,395)	.....
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,000	2,000	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	545,138	645,138	+100,000
GRAND TOTAL	9,664,041	10,622,000	+957,959

○